			3
	1 -		3
		1	WALLEY WITH COOD WANT
	1 STATE OF MICHIGAN 10:03	2	WILLIAM H. GOODMAN
	2 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE	3	Goodman & Hurwitz, P.C.
	3 4 DETROIT FREE PRESS, INC., a	4	1394 East Jefferson Avenue
	5 Michigan Corporation,	5	Detroit, Michigan 48207
	6 Plaintiff,	6	(313) 567-6170
	7 Case No. 08-100214-CZ	7	Counsel Appearing on behalf of Defendant Detroit City
	8 Hon. Robert J. Colombo, Jr.	8	Council.
	9 DETROIT NEWS, INC.,	9	
1	· ·	10	JAMES C. THOMAS
1		11	Plunkett & Cooney, P.C.
1		12	535 Griswold Street, Suite 2400
1		13	Detroit, Michigan 48226
1	5	14	
1	6	]	(313) 983-4804
1	7	15	Appearing on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick.
1	• • •	16	
1:		17	MICHAEL C. NAUGHTON
2:		18	Law Offices of James C. Thomas, P.C.
2:		19	535 Griswold Street, Suite 2632
2:		20	Detroit, Michigan 48226
2.		21	(313) 963-2420
2!	5	22	Co-Counsel appearing on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick
		23	
		24	
***		25	
)	2		4
-	<b>/</b>		- T
4		1 4	
1	ADDE AD ANGEGO	1	MAYER MORCANDOTH
1 2	APPEARANCES:	2	MAYER MORGANROTH
3		3	JASON R. HIRSCH
3	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN	2 3 4	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C.
3	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN LARA PHILLIP	2 3 4 5	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500
3	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.	2 3 4	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C.
3 4 5	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN LARA PHILLIP	2 3 4 5	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500
3 4 5 6	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.	2 3 4 5 6	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075
3 4 5 6 7	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290	2 3 4 5 6 7	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084
3 4 5 6 7 8	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290  Detroit, Michigan 48226	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN LARA PHILLIP Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P. 660 Woodward, Suite 2290 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 465-7000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN LARA PHILLIP Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P. 660 Woodward, Suite 2290 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 465-7000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 465-7000  Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 465-7000  Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.  JAMES E. STEWART  Butzel Long, P.C.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 465-7000  Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.  JAMES E. STEWART  Butzel Long, P.C.  150 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 465-7000  Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.  JAMES E. STEWART  Butzel Long, P.C.  150 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 900  Detroit, Michigan 48226	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN LARA PHILLIP Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P. 660 Woodward, Suite 2290 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 465-7000 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.  JAMES E. STEWART Butzel Long, P.C. 150 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 900 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 225-7000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 465-7000  Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.  JAMES E. STEWART  Butzel Long, P.C.  150 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 900  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 225-7000  Appearing on behalf of Intervening Plaintiff Detroit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN LARA PHILLIP Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P. 660 Woodward, Suite 2290 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 465-7000 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.  JAMES E. STEWART Butzel Long, P.C. 150 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 900 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 225-7000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 465-7000  Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.  JAMES E. STEWART  Butzel Long, P.C.  150 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 900  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 225-7000  Appearing on behalf of Intervening Plaintiff Detroit News.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 465-7000  Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.  JAMES E. STEWART  Butzel Long, P.C.  150 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 900  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 225-7000  Appearing on behalf of Intervening Plaintiff Detroit News.  WILLIAM J. LIEDEL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring Travis Jewell, Video Technician
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 465-7000  Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.  JAMES E. STEWART  Butzel Long, P.C.  150 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 900  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 225-7000  Appearing on behalf of Intervening Plaintiff Detroit News.  WILLIAM J. LIEDEL  Liedel, Grinnan & Liedel, P.C.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 465-7000  Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.  JAMES E. STEWART  Butzel Long, P.C.  150 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 900  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 225-7000  Appearing on behalf of Intervening Plaintiff Detroit News.  WILLIAM J. LIEDEL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring Travis Jewell, Video Technician
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 465-7000  Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.  JAMES E. STEWART  Butzel Long, P.C.  150 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 900  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 225-7000  Appearing on behalf of Intervening Plaintiff Detroit News.  WILLIAM J. LIEDEL  Liedel, Grinnan & Liedel, P.C.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring Travis Jewell, Video Technician
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 465-7000  Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.  JAMES E. STEWART  Butzel Long, P.C.  150 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 900  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 225-7000  Appearing on behalf of Intervening Plaintiff Detroit News.  WILLIAM J. LIEDEL  Liedel, Grinnan & Liedel, P.C.  630 East Fourth Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring Travis Jewell, Video Technician
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	RICHARD E. ZUCKERMAN  LARA PHILLIP  Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn, L.L.P.  660 Woodward, Suite 2290  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 465-7000  Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Detroit Free Press.  JAMES E. STEWART  Butzel Long, P.C.  150 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 900  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 225-7000  Appearing on behalf of Intervening Plaintiff Detroit News.  WILLIAM J. LIEDEL  Liedel, Grinnan & Liedel, P.C.  630 East Fourth Street  Royal Oak, Michigan 48067	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JASON R. HIRSCH Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C. 3000 Town Center, Suite 1500 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 355-3084 Appearing on behalf of Christine Beatty.  ALSO PRESENT: Marlene Ring Travis Jewell, Video Technician

				T		
			5			7
		1	Detroit, Michigan	10:04 1		1:30, okay, so we'll try to end up to give you enough
l		2	Wednesday, July 9, 2008	10:04 2		time to get you there, how's that?
		3	10:01 a.m.	10:04 3		That will be much appreciated.
		4		10:04 4		Okay.
1'	υ:03	5	VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We are now on the	10:04 5	Α.	In fact, I'll tell you this. We're going to be done
1	0:03	6	record. This is the videotaped deposition of William	10:04 6		at 12:30, because I got to be there by 1:30.
1	0:03	7	Mitchell being taken on Wednesday, July 9th, 2008.	10:04 <b>7</b>		That's fine.
ı	0:03		The time is now 10:01 and 41 seconds a.m. We are	10:04 8		Okay. Not later than 12:30.
1	0:03	9	located at 660 Woodward Avenue, in Detroit, Michigan.	10:04 <b>9</b>	Q.	Okay. And you do not have counsel with you, is
1	0:03	10	We are here in the matter of the Detroit Free Press	10:04 10		that
-	0:03		versus City of Detroit. This is case No.	10:04 11	_	I do not.
- 1	0:03		08-100214-CZ, and this matter is being held in the	10:04 12	Q.	Okay. Do you have counsel that represents you in this
ı	0:03		circuit court for the County of Wayne. My is Travis	10:05 13		matter?
- 1	0:03		Jewel, video technician.	10:05 14		I do not.
1	0:03		Will the court reporter swear in the	10:05 15		Okay. And your full name is William Mitchell?
		16	witness and the attorneys briefly identify themselves	10:05 <b>16</b>	_	The third.
		17	for the record, please.	10:05 17	_	The third, okay.
		18	WILLIAM MITCHELL, III,	10:05 18	Α.	
		19	was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after	10:05 19		Can I have your business address?
		20	having first been duly sworn to testify to the truth,	10:05 20	Α.	2000 Town Center, Suite 1000, Southfield, Michigan
		21	the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was	10:05 21	_	48075.
1	0:03		examined and testified as follows:	10:05 22	•	And you're lawyer admitted to practice in Michigan?
1	0:03		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Go around this side,	10:05 23	_	I am.
İ	0:03		Richard Zuckerman, Honigman, Miller for the Free	10:05 24	-	Any other jurisdictions?
	ે'03 —	25	Press.	10:05 25	<u>A.</u>	Pennsylvania, United States Military, Court of
	22F -		6			8
Ι.				10.05		
1	0:03		MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman,	10:05 <b>1</b>	•	Appeals, and some others.
11	0:03	2	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press.	10:05 <b>2</b>		Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?
11	0:03 0:03	2 3	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b>	A.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.
11	0:03 0:03 0:04	2 3 4	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press.	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b> 10:05 <b>4</b>	A. Q.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?
11	0:03 0:03 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press.  MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press.  MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b> 10:05 <b>4</b> 10:05 <b>5</b>	A. Q. A.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.
11	0:03 0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council.	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b> 10:05 <b>4</b> 10:05 <b>5</b> 10:05 <b>6</b>	A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?
10	0:03 0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b> 10:05 <b>4</b> 10:05 <b>5</b> 10:05 <b>6</b> 10:05 <b>7</b>	A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.
10	0:03 0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News.	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b> 10:05 <b>4</b> 10:05 <b>5</b> 10:05 <b>6</b> 10:05 <b>7</b> 10:05 <b>8</b>	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	0:03 0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth &	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b> 10:05 <b>4</b> 10:05 <b>5</b> 10:05 <b>6</b> 10:05 <b>7</b> 10:05 <b>8</b> 10:05 <b>9</b>	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.
10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty.	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b> 10:05 <b>4</b> 10:05 <b>5</b> 10:05 <b>6</b> 10:05 <b>7</b> 10:05 <b>8</b> 10:05 <b>9</b> 10:05 <b>10</b>	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.  Can you spell that?
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0:03 0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty. MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b> 10:05 <b>4</b> 10:05 <b>5</b> 10:05 <b>6</b> 10:05 <b>7</b> 10:05 <b>8</b> 10:05 <b>9</b> 10:05 <b>10</b>	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.  Can you spell that?  S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell.
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0:03 0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty. MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick.	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b> 10:05 <b>4</b> 10:05 <b>5</b> 10:05 <b>6</b> 10:05 <b>7</b> 10:05 <b>8</b> 10:05 <b>9</b> 10:05 <b>10</b>	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.  Can you spell that?  S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell.
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0:03 0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty. MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick. MR. LIEDEL: William Liedel on behalf of	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b> 10:05 <b>4</b> 10:05 <b>5</b> 10:05 <b>6</b> 10:05 <b>7</b> 10:05 <b>8</b> 10:05 <b>9</b> 10:05 <b>10</b> 10:05 <b>11</b> 10:05 <b>12</b> 10:05 <b>13</b>	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.  Can you spell that?  S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell.  And how long were you with that firm?
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0:03 0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty. MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick. MR. LIEDEL: William Liedel on behalf of the City.	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b> 10:05 <b>4</b> 10:05 <b>5</b> 10:05 <b>6</b> 10:05 <b>7</b> 10:05 <b>8</b> 10:05 <b>9</b> 10:05 <b>10</b> 10:05 <b>11</b> 10:05 <b>12</b> 10:05 <b>13</b>	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.  Can you spell that?  S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell.  And how long were you with that firm?  About eight years.
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0:03 0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty. MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick. MR. LIEDEL: William Liedel on behalf of	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b> 10:05 <b>4</b> 10:05 <b>5</b> 10:05 <b>6</b> 10:05 <b>7</b> 10:05 <b>8</b> 10:05 <b>9</b> 10:05 <b>10</b> 10:05 <b>11</b> 10:05 <b>12</b> 10:05 <b>13</b>	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.  Can you spell that?  S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell.  And how long were you with that firm?  About eight years.  Okay. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1 the subpoena
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty. MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick. MR. LIEDEL: William Liedel on behalf of the City.  EXAMINATION BY MR. ZUCKERMAN:	10:05	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others. Okay. And you how do you style your practice? I don't know what you mean. Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.? The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates. Okay, and how long have you been with that firm? Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years. Okay. And where were you before then? Sherbow & Mitchell. Can you spell that? S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell. And how long were you with that firm? About eight years. Okay. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1 the subpoena that was served upon you in this case and I have a
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty. MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick. MR. LIEDEL: William Liedel on behalf of the City.  EXAMINATION BY MR. ZUCKERMAN:	10:05 <b>2</b> 10:05 <b>3</b> 10:05 <b>4</b> 10:05 <b>5</b> 10:05 <b>6</b> 10:05 <b>7</b> 10:05 <b>8</b> 10:05 <b>9</b> 10:05 <b>10</b> 10:05 <b>11</b> 10:05 <b>12</b> 10:05 <b>13</b> 10:05 <b>14</b> 10:06 <b>15</b>	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.  Can you spell that?  S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell.  And how long were you with that firm?  About eight years.  Okay. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1 the subpoena that was served upon you in this case and I have a copy for you.
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 11 11	0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty. MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick. MR. LIEDEL: William Liedel on behalf of the City.  EXAMINATION BY MR. ZUCKERMAN: Q. Okay, good morning, Mr. Mitchell.	10:05	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others. Okay. And you how do you style your practice? I don't know what you mean. Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.? The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates. Okay, and how long have you been with that firm? Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years. Okay. And where were you before then? Sherbow & Mitchell. Can you spell that? S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell. And how long were you with that firm? About eight years. Okay. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1 the subpoena that was served upon you in this case and I have a copy for you. Thank you.
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	0:03 0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty. MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick. MR. LIEDEL: William Liedel on behalf of the City. EXAMINATION BY MR. ZUCKERMAN: Q. Okay, good morning, Mr. Mitchell. A. Good morning.	10:05	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.  Can you spell that?  S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell.  And how long were you with that firm?  About eight years.  Okay. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1 the subpoena that was served upon you in this case and I have a copy for you.  Thank you.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 1 10:04 a.m.
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty. MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick. MR. LIEDEL: William Liedel on behalf of the City.  EXAMINATION BY MR. ZUCKERMAN: Q. Okay, good morning, Mr. Mitchell. A. Good morning. Q. I understand from a phone call that was placed to one	10:05 2 10:05 3 10:05 4 10:05 5 10:05 6 10:05 7 10:05 8 10:05 10 10:05 11 10:05 12 10:05 13 10:05 14 10:06 15 10:06 17 10:06 18 10:06 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.  Can you spell that?  S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell.  And how long were you with that firm?  About eight years.  Okay. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1 the subpoena that was served upon you in this case and I have a copy for you.  Thank you.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 1 10:04 a.m.
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty. MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick. MR. LIEDEL: William Liedel on behalf of the City. EXAMINATION BY MR. ZUCKERMAN: Q. Okay, good morning, Mr. Mitchell. A. Good morning. Q. I understand from a phone call that was placed to one of our associates that you have limited time today; is	10:05	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.  Can you spell that?  S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell.  And how long were you with that firm?  About eight years.  Okay. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1 the subpoena that was served upon you in this case and I have a copy for you.  Thank you.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 1 10:04 a.m.
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press. MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press. MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council. MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News. MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty. MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick. MR. LIEDEL: William Liedel on behalf of the City. EXAMINATION BY MR. ZUCKERMAN: Q. Okay, good morning, Mr. Mitchell. A. Good morning. Q. I understand from a phone call that was placed to one of our associates that you have limited time today; is that correct?	10:05	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.  Can you spell that?  S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell.  And how long were you with that firm?  About eight years.  Okay. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1 the subpoena that was served upon you in this case and I have a copy for you.  Thank you.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 1  10:04 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press.  MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press.  MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council.  MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News.  MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty.  MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick.  MR. LIEDEL: William Liedel on behalf of the City.  EXAMINATION BY MR. ZUCKERMAN:  Q. Okay, good morning, Mr. Mitchell.  A. Good morning.  Q. I understand from a phone call that was placed to one of our associates that you have limited time today; is that correct?  A. I do, I have a matter that I have to appear in in	10:05 2 10:05 3 10:05 4 10:05 5 10:05 6 10:05 7 10:05 8 10:05 9 10:05 10 10:05 11 10:05 12 10:05 13 10:05 14 10:06 15 10:06 16 10:06 17 10:06 18 10:06 20 10:06 21 10:06 22	A. Q. A. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.  Can you spell that?  S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell.  And how long were you with that firm?  About eight years.  Okay. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1 the subpoena that was served upon you in this case and I have a copy for you.  Thank you.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 1  10:04 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  Take a quick look through that, if you will.
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	0:03 0:03 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04 0:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. PHILLIP: Lara Phillip, Honigman, Miller, for the Free Press.  MS. RING: Marlene Ring, Honigman, Miller for the Free Press.  MR. GOODMAN: William Goodman on behalf of the Detroit City Council.  MR. STEWART: James Stewart for the Detroit News.  MR. HIRSCH: Jason Hirsch, Morganroth & Morganroth, for Christine Beatty.  MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of Kwame Kilpatrick.  MR. LIEDEL: William Liedel on behalf of the City.  EXAMINATION BY MR. ZUCKERMAN:  Q. Okay, good morning, Mr. Mitchell.  A. Good morning.  Q. I understand from a phone call that was placed to one of our associates that you have limited time today; is that correct?  A. I do, I have a matter that I have to appear in in Oakland County this afternoon.	10:05	A. Q. A. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Appeals, and some others.  Okay. And you how do you style your practice?  I don't know what you mean.  Is it William Mitchell, III, P.C.?  The name of the firm is Mitchell, Lord & Associates.  Okay, and how long have you been with that firm?  Mr. Lord and I have been together about three years.  Okay. And where were you before then?  Sherbow & Mitchell.  Can you spell that?  S-h-e-r-b-o-w, and Mitchell.  And how long were you with that firm?  About eight years.  Okay. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1 the subpoena that was served upon you in this case and I have a copy for you.  Thank you.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 1  10:04 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  Take a quick look through that, if you will.  I've seen it before.

			Т			
40.00		9	(0.00			11
10:06 1	Α.	I did.	10:09	1	_	No. 5, I don't have any of that.
10:06 2	Q.	deposition? It contained within it is a	10:09	2	Q.	Okay, for the record, the items that Mr. Mitchell
10:06 3		production request. We did not receive any any	10:09	3		referred to are on deposition Mitchell Deposition
े6 <b>4</b>		documents. Did you send us any documents?	10:09			Exhibit 1 but Bates stamped FREEP, F-R-E-E-P, 326 and
10:06 5	Α.	I don't believe I have any documents. I take that	10:09	_		327, that's where items 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 can be
10:06 6		back. I don't believe I have any documents other than	10:09			found. This is for purposes of identification.
10:06 7		possibly some correspondence that I had with SkyTel		7		Are you withholding any documents that are
10:06 8	_	that would be relevant or not otherwise privileged.		8		otherwise called for in the subpoena on the grounds of
10:07 9	Q.	Okay. You have correspondence to and from a company		9		any privilege?
10:07 10		called SkyTel; is that correct?	10:09		Α.	No.
10:07 11	Α.	That's correct.	10:09		Q.	Okay. Do you know who Christine Beatty is?
10:07 12	Q.	What is your understanding of what SkyTel is?	10:09		Α.	I do.
10:07 13	Α.	SkyTel is a communications company located outside of	10:09			Have you ever represented her?
10:07 14	_	Jackson, Mississippi.	10:09			I have.
10:07 15	Q.	Okay, and you you have in your possession letters	10:09		_	Can you tell me when?
10:07 16	_	you sent to SkyTel?	10:09		Α.	Between the time period of late October of 2007 and
10:07 17	Α.	Letters back and forth, letters I had sent and I	10:10		_	about December or January of 2008.
10:07 18	_	received.	10:10		Q.	Was your representation strike that.
10:07 19	Q.	Okay, and you are not producing them because you	10:10			Are you aware of two lawsuits which I will
10:07 20		believe they're privileged?	10:10			generally describe but if you need more detail, I can
10:07 21	Α.	No, I have those to produce for you.	10:10			show you documents, one of which is Brown and
10:07 22	Q.	Oh, okay.	10:10			Nelthrope versus the City of Detroit and the other is
10:07 23	A.	Actually, I knowing what kind of lawyers you are, I	10:10			either a lawsuit or a claim filed by a Mr. Harris
10:07 24		would assume that you already have these letters, but	10:10			against the City of Detroit?
' ^07 <b>25</b>		nonetheless, I do have, I think, a copy of them for	10:10	25	Α.	I I know about those lawsuits. I was not involved
		10		_		12
10:07 <b>1</b>		you.	10:10	1	_	in either one.
10:07 2	Q.	Okay. I'd like to see them, please.	10:10	2	Q.	Okay. And does your did your representation of
10:07 3		I believe that's a full set.	10:10	3		Ms. Beatty pertain to either of those two lawsuits or
10:07 4	Q.	Okay, just I've noticed that they're Bates stamped.	10:10	4	_	the settlement thereof?
10:08 5	_	Did you Bates stamp them?	10:10	5	Α.	In a very, very, narrow sense, yes.
10:08 6	Α.	I did.	10:10	6	Q.	Okay. And it appears that your representation went
10:08 7	Q.	,, ,	10:10			for about three months or so; is that right?
10:08 8		including 7 with five leading zeros; is that correct?	10:11		Α.	Well, I'll tell you this. My representation of Ms.
10:08 9	Α.	I believe that's correct.	10:11			Beatty involved being the recipient of some documents
10:08 10	Q.	1, 2, 3, oh, there's that's page 2, page 3, page 4,	10:11		_	that belonged to her.
10:08 11		I don't see a 5, but I see a 6 and a 7.	10:11		Q.	Okay. Do you care to we might get that to that
10:08 12	Α.	Okay.	10:11			here?
10:08 13	Q.	So whatever's here is here; is that right?	10:11		Α.	Yeah.
10:08 14	Α.	That's right.	10:11		Q.	Because I don't know what documents you're really
10:08 15	Q.	Okay.	10:11 1			referencing?
10:08 16	Α.	<i>3,</i> 11,	10:11 1		Α.	Yeah, okay, sometime around December 6th of 2007, I
10:08 17	^	believe my assistant made the copies so	10:11 1			appeared at the request of I assumed Ms. Beatty and
10:08 18	Q.	Okay. Well, we'll get back to those	10:11 1			Ms and the mayor of Detroit at the Comerica Bank
10:08 19	Α.	Okay.	10:11 1			to receive documents that were to be exchanged between
140:08 20	Q.	a little later. And there are no other documents	10:11			Mr. Stefani office, and other lawyers. I received
)8 21	A	responsive that	10:11		_	documents on behalf of the mayor and Ms. Beatty.
10:08 22	Α.	I have well, looking at the document requests with	10:11 2		Q.	Okay. And that was the extent of your representation
10:00 33		manage to the transfer to the contract of the	1 40.44 *			
10:08 23		respect to No. 1, I don't have those records, No. 2, I	10:11 2		A	of Ms. Beatty?
10:08 <b>23</b> 10:08 <b>24</b> 10:09 <b>25</b>		respect to No. 1, I don't have those records, No. 2, I don't have that record, No. 3, I don't have that record, No. 4 I've just given you, I believe, and	10:11 2 10:11 2 10:11 2	24	A.	That was the extent well, not exactly, because I also represented her to some extent with respect to

		13	1	<del></del>		
10:11 1		the SkyTel inquiries.	10:14	1		October of 2007.
10:11 2	Q.	Okay, we'll get to both those subjects.	10:14	2	Q.	Okay, thank you. I'm going to ask you some questions
10:12 <b>3</b>		MR. THOMAS: Mr. Zuckerman, at this point,	10:14	3		that that may seem peculiar but they relate to some
2 4		I'd like to state on the record that the mayor is not	10:14	4		of the defenses that have been put forth in this case.
, io:12 <b>5</b>		waiving any rights or privileges that he might have as	10:14	5		Are you a CPA?
10:12 6		it relates to the attorney-client privilege, and since	10:14	6	A.	No.
10:12 7		he has now answered a question as it relates to his	10:14	7	Q.	Okay. Are you any type of an accountant?
10:12 8		representation, I'm assuming that this representation	10:14	8	A.	I have a degree in accounting.
10:12 9		was not as a result of any discussions or that the	10:14	9	Q.	You do. Have you ever acted as an accountant for the
10:12 10		last answer to that question wasn't as a result of	10:14	10		mayor or Ms. Beatty?
10:12 11		any any discussions that Mr. Mitchell or the mayor	10:14	11	A.	I have not.
10:12 12		might have had because that privilege is not being	10:14	12	Q.	Okay. And by accountant, I mean that in the broadest
10:12 13		waived as it relates to the mayor?	10:14	13		sense, not just CPA. There's a CPA, there's a public
10:12 14		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay.	10:14	14		accountant, there's a bookkeeper, anything like that?
10:12 15		MR. HIRSCH: And I'll raise the same	10:14	15	A.	And the question is have I acted in that capacity?
10:12 16		objection on behalf of Ms. Beatty, and I presume	10:14	16	Q.	For Ms. Beatty or the mayor.
10:12 17		Mr. Mitchell will say the same thing as to Ms. Beatty.	10:14	17	A.	No.
10:12 18	BY	MR. ZUCKERMAN:	10:14	18	Q.	Okay. I also take it you're not a member of the
10:12 19	Q.	Mr. Mitchell, have you represented the City of	10:14	19		clergy?
10:12 20		Detroit?	10:14	20	A.	Well, it depends. No.
10:12 21	A.	Have I represented the City? I can't recall ever	10:14	21	Q.	Okay.
10:12 22		representing the City of Detroit. I have been	10:14	22	A.	Some of us think of our work as God's work, so
10:12 23		involved as co-counsel with Miller Canfield in the	10:15	23		perhaps.
10:13 24		representation of authority that's related to the City	10:15	24	Q.	You mean a lawyer?
13 25		of Detroit.	10:15	25	A.	Yes, absolutely.
		14				16
10:13 <b>1</b>	Q.	Okay, I don't think that	10:15	1	Q.	Oh, okay. Have you ever been consulted with respect
2		MR. GOODMAN: Excuse me, I didn't hear	10:15	2		to the operations of the City of Detroit by either the
3		that.	10:15	3		mayor or Ms. Beatty?
4		THE WITNESS: I was an authority	10:15	4	_	I don't that's question's too broad.
5		MR. GOODMAN: An authority?	10:15	5	Q.	Well
6		THE WITNESS: Of the GDRRA.	10:15	6	_	What do you mean?
10:13 <b>7</b>	BY I	MR. ZUCKERMAN:	10:15	7	Q.	there's a defense in this case called a
10:13 8	Q.	Could you spell that or	10:15	8		deliberative privilege, and I'm trying to get as to
10:13 <b>9</b>	Α.	Greater Detroit Resource Recovery	10:15			whether or not other than the representation that
10:13 10	Q.	Okay.	10:15			you've described with respect to the mayor and Ms.
10:13 11	Α.	Authority.	10:15			Beatty, you have ever you've ever been consulted on
10:13 12	Q.	,	10:15			that
10:13 13		personal attorney?	10:15		Α.	Well, if I had, I'm not sure that I I'm not sure of
10:13 14	Α.	•	10:15			the answer but I believe that that would be privilege
10:13 15	Q.	Yes.	10:15 10:15			in any event. If you're asking me whether they came
10:13 16	Α.	No.	l		^	to me and talked to me regarding certain matters.
10:13 17	Q.	Okay. Have you represented the mayor in connection	10:15		Q.	I'm not asking you about the specifics of the matters,
10:13 18	A	with his role as mayor prior to 2007?	10:15			just if they ever discussed City matters with you. I
10:13 19	Α.	No.	10:15		A	don't care to inquire as to what those matters were.
10:13 20	Q.	Okay. The representation of the mayor either as the	10:15		A.	In specifics, I don't I'm not sure. I mean I
3 21		mayor or personally, was did you represent him	10:16			obviously, have had some conversations with both. But
10:13 22		other than as you previously described with respect to	10:16			I don't
10:13 23	A	your travels to the Comerica safety deposit box?	10:16 10:16			MR. THOMAS: Then I'll object COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, who objected?
10:13 <b>24</b> 10:13 <b>25</b>	A.	I've only represented the mayor in that personal capacity and my first representation of him was in	10:16			MR. THOMAS: I am objecting on behalf of
L	03.0	1:11 PM Page 13 to	<u> </u>		····	000443 4 of 33 sheets

		17				19
10:16 <b>1</b>		the mayor.	10:18	1	A.	I have
10:16 <b>2</b>		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay.	10:18	2	Q.	on other City advice, other City issues.
10:16 3		MR. HIRSCH: And I'm going to object on	10:18	3	A.	I have
3 4		behalf of Ms. Beatty.	10:18	4	Q.	It's a yes or no question.
1 (0:16 5	BY	MR. ZUCKERMAN:	10:18	5	A.	The question is way too broad because there are things
10:16 6	Q.	Okay, I'm not remember, I'm not asking you about	10:18	6		that are even in a personal nature that may have
10:16 7		content, just about the communications.	10:18	7		drifted into something else, and I'm not sure that I
10:16 8		MR. THOMAS: Well, whether they did or	10:18	8		can answer the question.
10:16 <b>9</b>		didn't, if there were communications, those	10:18	9	Q.	Okay.
10:16 10		communications would be privileged, and I'm going to	10:18	10	A.	As you as you asked without going into what I
10:16 <b>11</b>		object to those.	10:18	11		believe would be privileged.
10:16 12		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, but I'll take the	10:18	12	Q.	All right, let me ask it this way then. Have you ever
10:16 13		answer, anyway.	10:18	13		sent or received a text message from the mayor?
10:16 14	A.	I I	10:18	14	A.	I don't know. To tell you the truth, I don't I
10:16 15		MR. THOMAS: I understand, Mr. Zuckerman,	10:18	15		don't I don't believe so, but I am not 100 percent
10:16 16		that as it relates to an issue of privilege that those	10:18	16		certain of that.
10:16 <b>17</b>		items are not to be gone into as a result of Judge	10:18	17	Q.	Do you have a text
10:16 18		Colombo's order, and so for you to say I'll take the	10:18	18	A.	I'm not a texter in general.
10:16 19		answer, anyway, is not in keeping with what I think	10:18	19	Q.	Okay, do you have a text messaging device?
10:16 20		the judge's directions are.	10:18	20	A.	I have.
10:16 <b>21</b>		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, I'm entitled to find	10:18	21	Q.	Okay.
10:16 22		out the basis or if there's a basis for any defense or	10:18	22	A.	Yeah.
10:16 23		affirmative defense alleged in this case, and the	10:18	23	Q.	Do you recall sending or receiving text messages for
10:16 24		deliberative process or the deliberative privilege or	10:18	24		Ms. Beatty?
¹ ∵16 <b>25</b>		whatever it may be, has been asserted in this case,	10:18	25	Α.	I don't I don't recall receiving or sending from
L			40.40			20
10:16 1		and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been	10:18	1	•	either, but that doesn't mean
10:17 2		and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City	10:18	2	_	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.
10:17 <b>2</b> 10:17 <b>3</b>		and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those	10:18 10:18	2	_	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a
10:17 <b>2</b> 10:17 <b>3</b> 10:17 <b>4</b>		and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are	10:18 10:18 10:19	2 3 4	_	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that
10:17 <b>2</b> 10:17 <b>3</b> 10:17 <b>4</b> 10:17 <b>5</b>		and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?	10:18 10:18 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5	_	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably
10:17 <b>2</b> 10:17 <b>3</b> 10:17 <b>4</b> 10:17 <b>5</b> 10:17 <b>6</b>		and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive	10:18 10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6	_	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall
10:17 <b>2</b> 10:17 <b>3</b> 10:17 <b>4</b> 10:17 <b>5</b> 10:17 <b>6</b> 10:17 <b>7</b>		and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.
10:17 <b>2</b> 10:17 <b>3</b> 10:17 <b>4</b> 10:17 <b>5</b> 10:17 <b>6</b> 10:17 <b>7</b> 10:17 <b>8</b>		and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	_	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not
10:17 <b>2</b> 10:17 <b>3</b> 10:17 <b>4</b> 10:17 <b>5</b> 10:17 <b>6</b> 10:17 <b>7</b> 10:17 <b>8</b> 10:17 <b>9</b>		and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.	10:18 10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text
10:17 <b>2</b> 10:17 <b>3</b> 10:17 <b>4</b> 10:17 <b>5</b> 10:17 <b>6</b> 10:17 <b>7</b> 10:17 <b>8</b> 10:17 <b>9</b> 10:17 <b>10</b>		and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?
10:17 <b>2</b> 10:17 <b>3</b> 10:17 <b>4</b> 10:17 <b>5</b> 10:17 <b>6</b> 10:17 <b>7</b> 10:17 <b>8</b> 10:17 <b>9</b> 10:17 <b>10</b>		and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference
10:17 <b>2</b> 10:17 <b>3</b> 10:17 <b>4</b> 10:17 <b>5</b> 10:17 <b>6</b> 10:17 <b>7</b> 10:17 <b>8</b> 10:17 <b>9</b> 10:17 <b>10</b> 10:17 <b>11</b> 10:17 <b>12</b>	BV.	and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an inappropriate question.	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference in my view.
10:17 <b>2</b> 10:17 <b>3</b> 10:17 <b>4</b> 10:17 <b>5</b> 10:17 <b>6</b> 10:17 <b>7</b> 10:17 <b>8</b> 10:17 <b>9</b> 10:17 <b>10</b> 10:17 <b>11</b> 10:17 <b>12</b> 10:17 <b>13</b>	_	and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an inappropriate question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference in my view.  Okay.
10:17	BY ( <b>Q</b> .	and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an inappropriate question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  All I asked you, Mr. Mitchell, is have you discussed	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference in my view.  Okay.  Right, it comes up, however it comes up, I don't know
10:17	Q.	and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an inappropriate question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  All I asked you, Mr. Mitchell, is have you discussed City business with the	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference in my view.  Okay.  Right, it comes up, however it comes up, I don't know whether it's a text or an e-mail or what, but the
10:17	_	and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an inappropriate question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  All I asked you, Mr. Mitchell, is have you discussed City business with the  Again, I've said I've said to you that I believe	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference in my view.  Okay.  Right, it comes up, however it comes up, I don't know whether it's a text or an e-mail or what, but the the more specific answer to your question is I really
10:17	Q.	and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an inappropriate question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  All I asked you, Mr. Mitchell, is have you discussed City business with the  Again, I've said I've said to you that I believe any conversations that I've had with them are	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference in my view.  Okay.  Right, it comes up, however it comes up, I don't know whether it's a text or an e-mail or what, but the the more specific answer to your question is I really don't recall, and I doubt that I ever received either
10:17	Q.	and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an inappropriate question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  All I asked you, Mr. Mitchell, is have you discussed City business with the  Again, I've said I've said to you that I believe any conversations that I've had with them are privileged, and now you're saying you want to know	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference in my view.  Okay.  Right, it comes up, however it comes up, I don't know whether it's a text or an e-mail or what, but the the more specific answer to your question is I really don't recall, and I doubt that I ever received either from either.
10:17	Q.	and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an inappropriate question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  All I asked you, Mr. Mitchell, is have you discussed City business with the  Again, I've said I've said to you that I believe any conversations that I've had with them are privileged, and now you're saying you want to know whether I had a conversation. Well, I'm not I'm	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference in my view.  Okay.  Right, it comes up, however it comes up, I don't know whether it's a text or an e-mail or what, but the the more specific answer to your question is I really don't recall, and I doubt that I ever received either from either.  Okay. Thank you. Okay. Are you aware of the fact
10:17	Q.	and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an inappropriate question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  All I asked you, Mr. Mitchell, is have you discussed City business with the  Again, I've said I've said to you that I believe any conversations that I've had with them are privileged, and now you're saying you want to know whether I had a conversation. Well, I'm not I'm missing the distinction.	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference in my view.  Okay.  Right, it comes up, however it comes up, I don't know whether it's a text or an e-mail or what, but the the more specific answer to your question is I really don't recall, and I doubt that I ever received either from either.  Okay. Thank you. Okay. Are you aware of the fact that the Brown and Neithrop case settled?
10:17	Q.	and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an inappropriate question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  All I asked you, Mr. Mitchell, is have you discussed City business with the  Again, I've said I've said to you that I believe any conversations that I've had with them are privileged, and now you're saying you want to know whether I had a conversation. Well, I'm not I'm missing the distinction.  Okay. My you answered my question about providing	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference in my view.  Okay.  Right, it comes up, however it comes up, I don't know whether it's a text or an e-mail or what, but the the more specific answer to your question is I really don't recall, and I doubt that I ever received either from either.  Okay. Thank you. Okay. Are you aware of the fact that the Brown and Nelthrop case settled?  Yes.
10:17	Q.	and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an inappropriate question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  All I asked you, Mr. Mitchell, is have you discussed City business with the  Again, I've said I've said to you that I believe any conversations that I've had with them are privileged, and now you're saying you want to know whether I had a conversation. Well, I'm not I'm missing the distinction.  Okay. My you answered my question about providing legal services in connection with going to the	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference in my view.  Okay.  Right, it comes up, however it comes up, I don't know whether it's a text or an e-mail or what, but the the more specific answer to your question is I really don't recall, and I doubt that I ever received either from either.  Okay. Thank you. Okay. Are you aware of the fact that the Brown and Neithrop case settled?
10:17	Q.	and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an inappropriate question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  All I asked you, Mr. Mitchell, is have you discussed City business with the  Again, I've said I've said to you that I believe any conversations that I've had with them are privileged, and now you're saying you want to know whether I had a conversation. Well, I'm not I'm missing the distinction.  Okay. My you answered my question about providing legal services in connection with going to the Comerica box just in general. My question is whether	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference in my view.  Okay.  Right, it comes up, however it comes up, I don't know whether it's a text or an e-mail or what, but the the more specific answer to your question is I really don't recall, and I doubt that I ever received either from either.  Okay. Thank you. Okay. Are you aware of the fact that the Brown and Nelthrop case settled?  Yes.  Okay. Were you involved at all with the negotiation of that settlement?
10:17	Q.	and so I'm entitled to find out if he has been consulted on whether or been consulted on City issues without asking about the specifics of those issues unless you're going to waive that defense. Are you going to waive that defense?  MR. THOMAS: You know what, whether I waive it or not is up to the judge, but for you to ask what it was that was discussed  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that.  MR. THOMAS: under the frame of a supposed defense, then I say to you that that's an inappropriate question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  All I asked you, Mr. Mitchell, is have you discussed City business with the  Again, I've said I've said to you that I believe any conversations that I've had with them are privileged, and now you're saying you want to know whether I had a conversation. Well, I'm not I'm missing the distinction.  Okay. My you answered my question about providing legal services in connection with going to the	10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:20 10:20 10:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	either, but that doesn't mean I understand.  Okay. I mean I receive probably, I don't know, a hundred e-mails or thereabout a day. Whether that e-mails include texts or not, I'm not probably sophisticated enough to know that, but I don't recall ever receiving either from either.  Okay, just for purposes of my questioning, I'm not talking about e-mails, I'm only talking about text messages?  See, I'm not sure. I couldn't tell you the difference in my view.  Okay.  Right, it comes up, however it comes up, I don't know whether it's a text or an e-mail or what, but the the more specific answer to your question is I really don't recall, and I doubt that I ever received either from either.  Okay. Thank you. Okay. Are you aware of the fact that the Brown and Nelthrop case settled?  Yes.  Okay. Were you involved at all with the negotiation

		7.4	T			23
10:21 <b>1</b>		engaged to provide what you say are legal services; is	10:23	1	Α.	After the settlement concluded, met the parties over
10:21 2		that correct?	10:23	2	Λ.	at the Comerica Bank that's directly across the
10:21 2	Α.	I believe so.	10:23	3		street, I guess, from the City-County Building.
1 4	Q.	Okay. And can you and that had to do with your	10:24	4	Q.	Who was there when you when you met the parties?
. i0:21 <b>5</b>	Œ.		10:24	5	ω. А.	Now, you know, I remember Mr. Stefani I believe Mr.
10:21 6	Α.	acting as a recipient of certain documents?	10:24	_	Α.	
10:21 7	Α.	Specifically, what I was that was not the specifics of		7		Stefani's son was there. Sam McCargo was there. I
10:21 8		what I was engaged for. I mean that that came		8		don't remember if there was another young lady there but I'm not sure where she was from.
		about as it came about, there was a you talked	10:24		^	
10:21 <b>9</b> 10:21 <b>10</b>		about the settlement of the Brown case, there	10:24	9 40	Q.	Okay, and then did you go to the bank?
		however that came about, somewhere in there, I			Α.	We met at the bank.
10:21 11		received a designation as the person to receive	10:24		Q.	At the bank. And tell me what transpired.
10:22 12	_	documents in the exchange.	10:24		Α.	I stood in the lobby with this other young lady. Mr.
10:22 13	Q.	Okay.	10:24			McCargo and Mr. Stefani's son who I don't remember if
10:22 14	A.	But my more specific representation really had to do	10:24			I'd met before disappeared in the back somewhere they
10:22 15		with trying to ascertain what was happening and how	10:24			came back out they had some documents one was handed
10:22 16		the whole SkyTel records had been released to Mr.	10:24		_	to me, one was handed to him and that was it.
10:22 17	_	Stefani.	10:24		Q.	Okay, and so you got this red rope?
10:22 18	Q.	Okay. Let's talk about your receipt of documents	10:24			I got a folder, yeah.
10:22 <b>19</b>		first.	10:24		Q.	Okay.
10:22 <b>20</b>	Α.	All right.	10:24		Α.	With a you know what I call a red rope yes.
10:22 <b>21</b>	Q.	What documents were you to receive as far as you	10:25		Q.	Okay and you did not open it?
10:22 <b>22</b>		recall?	10:25	22	A.	I did not open it.
10:22 <b>23</b>	Α.	I I received red robe about the size of the one	10:25	23	Q.	And you never opened it?
10:22 <b>24</b>		that's in front of this young lady, I'm sorry, I don't	10:25		A.	I never opened it.
?22 <b>25</b>		remember your name.	10:25	25	Q.	What did you do with it?
	_	22				24
10:22 1	Q.	Ms. Philip?	10:25	1	_	I gave it to the mayor.
10:22 <b>2</b>	Α.	Ms. Phillips, it was it had a flap over it. To be	10:25	2	Q.	Okay, and when did you give it to the mayor?
10:22 3		honest with you, I never opened it, and I don't really	10:25	3	Α.	I believe that same day, if not that same day, the
10:22 4		have a clue of well, I I have reasonably reason	10:25	4	_	next day.
10:22 <b>5</b>		to belief what was supposed to be in it but I couldn't	10:25	5	Q.	Do you remember where you gave these documents to the
10:23 6	_	verify it.		6	_	mayor?
10:23 7	Q.	What do you reason to belief that were in it?	10:25	7	Α.	I believe at the Manoogian Mansion.
10:23 8	A.	There were documents in it there were supposed to	10:25	8	Q.	Did you make an appointment to go see him?
10:23 9		be documents in it relating to Ms. Beatty he a		9		I think I probably called him.
10:23 <b>10</b>		finances of some sort, and there were supposed to be	10:25		Q.	Okay, and when you got there, was anyone else there?
10:23 <b>11</b>		documents in there relating to text messages.	10:25	ı T	Α.	Not that I recall.
	^	Observation and the state of th	40.05	4 2	^	Polidicana alice Manager de la seconda de Manager de la 1997.
10:23 <b>12</b>	Q.	Okay. When you say documents relating to text	10:25		Q.	Did you give the documents to the mayor in the
10:23 <b>12</b> 10:23 <b>13</b>	Q.	messages, do you mean documents relating to text	10:25	13		presence of anyone else?
10:23 <b>12</b> 10:23 <b>13</b> 10:23 <b>14</b>	Q.	messages, do you mean documents relating to text messages text messages, themselves, or don't you	10:25 10:25	13 14	Α.	presence of anyone else?  Not that I recall.
10:23 <b>12</b> 10:23 <b>13</b> 10:23 <b>14</b> 10:23 <b>15</b>		messages, do you mean documents relating to text messages text messages, themselves, or don't you really know?	10:25 10:25 10:25	13 14 15		presence of anyone else?  Not that I recall.  Okay. So he didn't open the door to let you in,
10:23 <b>12</b> 10:23 <b>13</b> 10:23 <b>14</b> 10:23 <b>15</b> 10:23 <b>16</b>	Α.	messages, do you mean documents relating to text messages text messages, themselves, or don't you really know?  I I assume it might have been both.	10:25 10:25 10:25 10:25	13 14 15 16	A. Q.	presence of anyone else?  Not that I recall.  Okay. So he didn't open the door to let you in, someone else must have?
10:23 <b>12</b> 10:23 <b>13</b> 10:23 <b>14</b> 10:23 <b>15</b> 10:23 <b>16</b> 10:23 <b>17</b>	A. Q.	messages, do you mean documents relating to text messages text messages, themselves, or don't you really know?  I I assume it might have been both. Okay.	10:25 10:25 10:25 10:25 10:25 1	13 14 15 16	A. Q.	presence of anyone else?  Not that I recall.  Okay. So he didn't open the door to let you in, someone else must have?  I'm certain that that happened.
10:23 <b>12</b> 10:23 <b>13</b> 10:23 <b>14</b> 10:23 <b>15</b> 10:23 <b>16</b> 10:23 <b>17</b> 10:23 <b>18</b>	A. Q. A.	messages, do you mean documents relating to text messages text messages, themselves, or don't you really know?  I I assume it might have been both.  Okay.  I don't know.	10:25 10:25 10:25 10:25 10:25 10:25 10:25	13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	presence of anyone else?  Not that I recall.  Okay. So he didn't open the door to let you in, someone else must have?  I'm certain that that happened.  Okay. And so were you led to a particular room where
10:23 <b>12</b> 10:23 <b>13</b> 10:23 <b>14</b> 10:23 <b>15</b> 10:23 <b>16</b> 10:23 <b>17</b> 10:23 <b>18</b> 10:23 <b>19</b>	A. Q. A. Q.	messages, do you mean documents relating to text messages text messages, themselves, or don't you really know?  I I assume it might have been both.  Okay.  I don't know.  And do you remember when you received the documents?	10:25 10:25	13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	presence of anyone else?  Not that I recall.  Okay. So he didn't open the door to let you in, someone else must have?  I'm certain that that happened.  Okay. And so were you led to a particular room where you gave him the documents?
10:23 12 10:23 13 10:23 14 10:23 15 10:23 16 10:23 17 10:23 18 10:23 19 10:23 20	A. Q. A.	messages, do you mean documents relating to text messages text messages, themselves, or don't you really know? I I assume it might have been both. Okay. I don't know. And do you remember when you received the documents? I recall the date is December I believe it's	10:25 10:25	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	presence of anyone else?  Not that I recall.  Okay. So he didn't open the door to let you in, someone else must have?  I'm certain that that happened.  Okay. And so were you led to a particular room where you gave him the documents?  I I don't remember what room. I was legality into
10:23 12 10:23 13 10:23 14 10:23 15 10:23 16 10:23 17 10:23 18 10:23 19 10:23 20	A. Q. A. Q.	messages, do you mean documents relating to text messages text messages, themselves, or don't you really know?  I I assume it might have been both.  Okay.  I don't know.  And do you remember when you received the documents?  I recall the date is December I believe it's  December 6th, could be December 11th my memory's	10:25 10:25	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q.	presence of anyone else?  Not that I recall.  Okay. So he didn't open the door to let you in, someone else must have?  I'm certain that that happened.  Okay. And so were you led to a particular room where you gave him the documents?  I I don't remember what room. I was legality into the house, I was waiting in a room, and he appeared
10:23 12 10:23 13 10:23 14 10:23 15 10:23 16 10:23 17 10:23 18 10:23 19 10:23 20	A. Q. A. Q.	messages, do you mean documents relating to text messages text messages, themselves, or don't you really know?  I I assume it might have been both.  Okay.  I don't know.  And do you remember when you received the documents?  I recall the date is December I believe it's  December 6th, could be December 11th my memory's getting fading but it was the same day that the	10:25 10:25	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q.	presence of anyone else?  Not that I recall.  Okay. So he didn't open the door to let you in, someone else must have?  I'm certain that that happened.  Okay. And so were you led to a particular room where you gave him the documents?  I I don't remember what room. I was legality into the house, I was waiting in a room, and he appeared and I handed him the documents and I said these are
10:23 12 10:23 13 10:23 14 10:23 15 10:23 16 10:23 17 10:23 18 10:23 19 10:23 20 21 10:23 22 10:23 23	A. Q. A. Q.	messages, do you mean documents relating to text messages text messages, themselves, or don't you really know?  I I assume it might have been both.  Okay.  I don't know.  And do you remember when you received the documents?  I recall the date is December I believe it's  December 6th, could be December 11th my memory's getting fading but it was the same day that the settlement was actually placed on the record in front	10:25 10:25	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	presence of anyone else?  Not that I recall.  Okay. So he didn't open the door to let you in, someone else must have?  I'm certain that that happened.  Okay. And so were you led to a particular room where you gave him the documents?  I I don't remember what room. I was legality into the house, I was waiting in a room, and he appeared and I handed him the documents and I said these are yours and see you later.
10:23 12 10:23 13 10:23 14 10:23 15 10:23 16 10:23 17 10:23 18 10:23 19 10:23 20 23 21 10:23 22	A. Q. A. Q.	messages, do you mean documents relating to text messages text messages, themselves, or don't you really know?  I I assume it might have been both.  Okay.  I don't know.  And do you remember when you received the documents?  I recall the date is December I believe it's  December 6th, could be December 11th my memory's getting fading but it was the same day that the	10:25 10:25	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.	presence of anyone else?  Not that I recall.  Okay. So he didn't open the door to let you in, someone else must have?  I'm certain that that happened.  Okay. And so were you led to a particular room where you gave him the documents?  I I don't remember what room. I was legality into the house, I was waiting in a room, and he appeared and I handed him the documents and I said these are

000445 Page 21 to 24 of 100 07/09/2008 03:01:11 PM

		0.5	Τ			27
10:26 <b>1</b>	Δ	I no, I don't recall any, but if I did, I wouldn't	10:27	1	Α.	
10:26 2	Λ.	be able to tell you, I believe, anyway.	10:28	2	,	the time that there were speculation about Ms. Worthy
10:26 3	Q.	Okay.	10:28	3		bringing charges.
.5 4	Α.	I'm sure you understand why.	10:28	4	Q.	Okay, so this is before she brought charges?
1 10:26 <b>5</b>	Q.	Well, I'm understanding why you would say that. I'm	10:28	5		I believe it was, I'm certain it was.
10:26 6	w.	not necessarily going to	10:28	6	Q.	Okay, how did you know to go to pick these documents
10:26 <b>7</b>				7	<b>.</b>	up or were they delivered to you?
10:26 8		MR. THOMAS: Well, if you have an objection, though, you should be very clear for the		8		MR. THOMAS: Objection to the form of the
10:26 <b>9</b>		record.	10:28	9		question.
10:26 <b>10</b>	Α.	Well, I believe any conversation that I had with him	10:28	-	Q.	How did you get the documents?
10:26 <b>11</b>	Α.	any communications that I had with him would be	10:28		_	I believe I picked them up.
10:26 11		•	10:28		Q.	How did you know to do that?
10:26 <b>12</b>	DV I	privileged. MR. ZUCKERMAN:	10:28		w.	MR. THOMAS: And again, the form of the
10:26 <b>13</b>	Q.		10:28			question may assume a privileged communication,
	_	Right.	10:28			objection.
10:26 <b>15</b> 10:26 <b>16</b>	Α.	But I don't recall any other than my saying here are	10:28		۸	How did I know
	^	your documents.	10:28			MR. ZUCKERMAN:
10:26 <b>17</b> 10:26 <b>18</b>	Q.	Okay, was Ms. Beatty there?	10:28		_	Did someone call you?
	Α.	No.	10:28			No, I believe I made the call, and I believe I
10:26 19	Q.	Okay, how long were you there?	10:28		Λ.	
10:26 <b>20</b>	Α.	Ten minutes, I don't know.	10:28		^	requested to be able to pick them up.
10:26 <b>21</b>	Q.	Okay, and then did you go there with anyone else?	10:28		Q. A.	Okay.  Because
10:26 22	Α.	Absolutely not.	10:28		Α.	
10:26 23	ų.	Okay, and then you left you came by yourself, you left	10:28		۸	MR. THOMAS: Objection as to work product.  Yes.
10:26 <b>24</b>		by yourself?	10:28		Α.	MR. GOODMAN: Well, hold on a minute.
20 23	<u> </u>	Yes, sir.	10.20 2			28
10:26 <b>1</b>	Q.	And basically, you were there about ten minutes?	10:28	1		MR. THOMAS: His thoughts, his
10:26 <b>2</b>	Α.		10:28	2		deliberations, his process, and the way that he was
10:26 <b>3</b>	Q.	•	10:28	3		acting as a result of his analysis of the situation,
10:26 4	٠.,	after you gave them to the mayor?	10:29	4		in my view, is work product.
10:26 <b>5</b>	Α.	I I don't in particular. I mean I gave them to	10:29	5		MR. GOODMAN: Yeah, but next time try not
10:26 6	7.	him. I	10:29	6		to cut me off.
10:27 <b>7</b>	Q.	Okay.	l	7		MR. THOMAS: Well, I
10:27 8	Α.	I did at a later time receive them back.	10:29	8		MR. GOODMAN: You just wanted to elaborate
10:27 9	Q.	Okay. And in what connection did you receive them	10:29	9		on your objection, I understand.
10:27 10		back?	10:29			Let me just say this, I don't think that's
10:27 <b>11</b>	A.	Sometime after the or about the time there were	10:29	11		an objection that you have any standing to raise.
10:27 <b>12</b>		rumblings about criminal charges, I received them, and	10:29	12		This is a witness who is an attorney and is not
10:27 13		I gave them to brother counsel, Mr God, I'm not	10:29	13		represented by an attorney today here and if work
10:27 <b>14</b>		going to think of his name, I'll come back to it but	10:29	14		product is involved, I think that
		gave it to another lawyer who was working on the case,	10:29 1	15	A.	Well, that's why
10:27 <b>15</b>		as well.	10:29	16		MR. GOODMAN: ^ objections.
10:27 <b>15</b> 10:27 <b>16</b>		as well.			Α.	
		MR. GOODMAN: I couldn't I'm sorry, I	10:29	17	,	Mr. Goodman, I don't mean to cut you off, but
10:27 <b>16</b>	Α.		l		,	Mr. Goodman, I don't mean to cut you off, but that's why I hesitated in my in my answer because I
10:27 <b>16</b> 10:27 <b>17</b>	Α.	MR. GOODMAN: I couldn't I'm sorry, I	10:29	18	,	
10:27 <b>16</b> 10:27 <b>17</b> 10:27 <b>18</b>	A.	MR. GOODMAN: I couldn't I'm sorry, I I gave it to another lawyer who was working on the	10:29 <i>1</i>	18 19	,,,	that's why I hesitated in my in my answer because I
10:27 <b>16</b> 10:27 <b>17</b> 10:27 <b>18</b> 10:27 <b>19</b>	Α.	MR. GOODMAN: I couldn't I'm sorry, I I gave it to another lawyer who was working on the case, as well, and I'm feeling terrible because I	10:29 10:29 10:29 1	18 19 20	,	that's why I hesitated in my in my answer because I started to think that this may be getting into the
10:27 <b>16</b> 10:27 <b>17</b> 10:27 <b>18</b> 10:27 <b>19</b> 10:27 <b>20</b>		MR. GOODMAN: I couldn't I'm sorry, I I gave it to another lawyer who was working on the case, as well, and I'm feeling terrible because I can't remember his name now, Bill Moffitt, thank you,	10:29 10:29 10:29 10:29 2	18 19 20 21	,	that's why I hesitated in my in my answer because I started to think that this may be getting into the work product area, but suffice it to say I delivered
10:27 <b>16</b> 10:27 <b>17</b> 10:27 <b>18</b> 10:27 <b>19</b> 10:27 <b>20</b> 217 <b>21</b>		MR. GOODMAN: I couldn't I'm sorry, I I gave it to another lawyer who was working on the case, as well, and I'm feeling terrible because I can't remember his name now, Bill Moffitt, thank you, yeah.	10:29 10:29 10:29 10:29 10:29 1	18 19 20 21		that's why I hesitated in my in my answer because I started to think that this may be getting into the work product area, but suffice it to say I delivered the documents to Mr. Moffitt who was a lawyer on the
10:27 <b>16</b> 10:27 <b>17</b> 10:27 <b>18</b> 10:27 <b>19</b> 10:27 <b>20</b> 7:7 <b>21</b> 10:27 <b>22</b>	BY 1	MR. GOODMAN: I couldn't I'm sorry, I I gave it to another lawyer who was working on the case, as well, and I'm feeling terrible because I can't remember his name now, Bill Moffitt, thank you, yeah. MR. ZUCKERMAN:	10:29 10:29	18 19 20 21 22		that's why I hesitated in my in my answer because I started to think that this may be getting into the work product area, but suffice it to say I delivered the documents to Mr. Moffitt who was a lawyer on the team, as well.
10:27 16 10:27 17 10:27 18 10:27 19 10:27 20 27 21 10:27 22 10:27 23	BY 1	MR. GOODMAN: I couldn't I'm sorry, I I gave it to another lawyer who was working on the case, as well, and I'm feeling terrible because I can't remember his name now, Bill Moffitt, thank you, yeah.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Bill Moffitt?	10:29 10:29	18 19 20 21 22 23	BY 1 <b>Q.</b>	that's why I hesitated in my in my answer because I started to think that this may be getting into the work product area, but suffice it to say I delivered the documents to Mr. Moffitt who was a lawyer on the team, as well.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:

Г			20	T			31
10:2	9 <b>1</b>	Q.	Okay, and I'm not clear, let me just ask this one more	10:31	1		container, red rope envelopes that you
10:2	_		time, did you pick them up or were they delivered?	10:31		Α.	They were.
10:2		A.		10:31	3	Q.	dropped them off in?
ı	9 4	Q.	Okay and you picked them up at the amongst?	10:31		Α.	Yeah.
ຸ ເປ:2	_	Α.	I believe so.	10:31		Q.	Okay. Was your trip to Virginia for the sole purpose
10:2	_	Q.		10:32	_		of dropping off the documents?
10:3	_		up were the exact documents you dropped off?	10:32	_	Α.	No.
10:3	_	A.	I couldn't tell you because I never looked at the	10:32	_	Q.	Okay, and were you there for other legal reasons
10:3	_		envelope.	10:32			relating to
	10	Q.	I	10:32		Α.	I was there as an attorney meeting with another
	11	Α.	Either time.	10:32	11		attorney on the case.
10:30	12	Q.	I understand and did anyone accompany you to pick up	10:32		Q.	Okay. Do you know what the Stefani fee, f-e-e,
1	13	•	the documents?	10:32	13		motion or brief is?
	14	Α.	No, sir.	10:32	14	A.	No. Well, I know it it would be hard not to to
10:30	15	_	Okay, and did anyone accompany you when I'm sorry	10:32	15		have been involved in this case to any extent and not
10:30	16		did Mr. Moffitt pick them up or did you drop them off?	10:32	16		have some idea what it is.
10:30	17	A.	I gave them to Mr. Moffitt.	10:32	17	Q.	Okay.
1	18	Q.	_	10:32	18	A.	I will tell you this, I have never seen it.
10:30	19	Α.	Mr. Moffitt.	10:32	19	Q.	Okay, and you've never possessed it either?
10:30	20	Q.	Other than Mr. Moffitt?	10:32	20	A.	Never possessed it.
10:30	21	A.	No.	10:32	21	Q.	Do you know where it is?
10:30		Q.	Okay. Have you seen the documents since?	10:32	22	A.	I have I never seen it, I never possessed it how to
10:30	23	_	I have not.	10:32			would I know where it is.
10:30	24	Q.	Do you know where they are?	10:32		Q.	Someone tells you?
ી ે?•3(	25	A.	I do not.	10:32	25	A.	No, sir, I don't know.
<b>~</b>			30			<del></del>	32
10:30	1	Q.	Okay. And Mr. Moffitt is a Detroit lawyer?	10:32	1	Q.	Okay. Let me just ask you this. This is one of these
10:30	2	A.	No, he's a lawyer in Alexandria, Virginia.	10:33	2		questions that may not make any sense along with the
10:30	3	Q.	Okay, and was he in Detroit when you gave the	10:33	3		rest of my questions?
10:30	4		documents to him?	10:33	4	A.	Everything makes sense Mr
10:30	5	A.	No, he was not.	10:33	5	Q.	There is a June 26th, 2000 memorandum from the City of
10:30	6	Q.	You somehow got yourself to Virginia or you	10:33	6		Detroit that's entitled directive for the use of City
10:30	7	A.	I did.	10:33	7		of Detroit electronic communications system. And $I^{\mbox{\scriptsize tm}}$
10:30	8	Q.	or was it somewhere else?	10:33	8		going to show this to you, I'll mark it as Exhibit 2
10:30	9	A.	I did go to Virginia.	10:33	9		for your dep?
10:30	10	Q.	Okay, and what is the name of Mr. Moffitt's firm?	10:33	10		MARKED BY THE REPORTER:
10:30	11	A.	Moffitt Moffitt & Broadnax or Broadnax & Moffitt, I	10:33	11		DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 2
10:30	12		don't recall which way it is. It's M-o-f-f-i-t or	10:33	12		10:33 a.m.
10:31	13		maybe two t's and Broadnax, B-r-o-a-d-n-a-x.	10:33	13	A.	Okay.
10:31	14	Q.	And just one more time, I'm sorry, did you tell me	10:33	14	BY N	MR. ZUCKERMAN:
10:31	15		when you did this or did you tell me you don't	10:33	15	Q.	Have you ever seen it before?
10:31	16		remember?	10:33	16	A.	I have not.
10:31	17	A.	I don't recall, it was sometime prior to Ms. Worthy's	10:33	17	Q.	Do you know anything about how or why it was
10:31	18		bringing charges.	10:33	18		promulgated?
10:31		Q.	Okay.	10:33		Α.	I do not.
1 10:31	20		MR. THOMAS: You dropped your voice, I	10:33		Q.	Do you know who authored it?
1	21		didn't hear the last part, Ms. Worthy what?	10:33		A.	I do not.
10:31		A.	Bringing charges or rumblings about her going to bring	10:33		Q.	Do you know who signed it?
10:31	23		charges.	10:33	23	A.	I know nothing about this document, never seen it
10:31	24	BY I	MR. ZUCKERMAN:	10:34			before.
10:31	25	Q.	Were the documents that you picked up in the same  1:11 PM  Page 29 to	10:34		Q.	Okay, and no one's ever discussed it with you either?

<u></u>			33	T			35
10:3	4 <b>1</b>	Δ	Not to my knowledge.	10:36	1		envelope in which he had received
10:3	_	Q.	•	10:36	2	Α.	He sent me the envelope, is that what you're saying.
10:3	_	٠.,	like.	10:36	3	Q.	
1 10.0	1 4		MR. THOMAS: I'm never betting with you	10:36	4	Α.	Maybe he did, I don't know.
J:34			again.	10:36	5	Q.	·
10:34	_		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Pardon?	10:37	6		If the way the process works in my office, I
10:34			MR. THOMAS: I'm never betting with you	10:37	7		suppose if he had sent an envelope I'm not even sure
10:34	4 8		again.	10:37	8		that my assistant would know what to do with it but.
10:34	4 9	BY	MR. ZUCKERMAN:	10:37	9	Q.	In any vent you don't have any clear recollection?
10:34	10	Q.	Mr. Mitchell, did you ever receive documents from Mr.	10:37	10	Α.	I don't have any recollection of it, no.
10:34	111		Stefani other than the documents from the Comerica	10:37	11	Q.	Okay. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 3 which we've
10:34	12		safety deposit box visit?	10:37	12		Bates stamped as FREEP 701 through and including 709,
10:34	13	A.	I don't recall that I did.	10:37	13		the documents you previously handed to me.
10:34	14	Q.	Okay. Just see if I can refresh your recollection,	10:37	14	A.	Okay.
10:34	15		Mr. Stefani says that he either gave or sent to Mr.	10:37	15	Q.	And this will be Exhibit 3 for your dep. And I'm just
10:35	16		Mitchell a Fed. Ex. envelope in which he, Mr. Stefani,	10:37	16		going to go through these with you and ask some
10:35	17		had received the text message the messages from	10:37	17		questions.
10:35	18		SkyTel, do you recall anything like that?	10:37	18		MR. THOMAS: Richard, are you going to say
10:35	19	A.	I don't recall seeing it, I'm not I can't tell you	10:37	19		the first letter is 3, or are you going to just do
10:35	20		that he didn't send it to my office, and and	10:37	20		this would be like a joint Exhibit 3.
10:35	21		somehow got filed or put someplace, I don't recall	10:37	21		MR. ZUCKERMAN: It's a collective exhibit
10:35	22		seeing it.	10:37	22		but I'll identify each page by Bates number.
10:35	23	Q.	Okay, you don't recall you don't have any	10:37	23		MR. THOMAS: All right.
10:35	24		recollection of Mr. Stefani sending you such a thing?	10:37	24		MR. ZUCKERMAN: The Free Press the FREEP
ી ∹35	25	A.	I really don't.	10:37	25		Bates number, not the Bates number that Mr. Mitchell
-			34				36
10:35	1	Q.	Okay.	10:38	1		36 put on it.
10:35 10:35	2	_		10:38	2	_	put on it. MR. ZUCKERMAN:
10:35 10:35 10:35	3	_	Okay.  I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my	10:38 10:38	2	_	put on it. MR. ZUCKERMAN: At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35	3 4	A.	Okay.  I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.	10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4	_	put on it.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date  on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35	2 3 4 5	_	Okay.  I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5	_	put on it.  4R. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35	2 3 4 5 6	A.	Okay.  I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6	Q.	put on it.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35	2 3 4 5 6	A.	Okay.  I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	Okay.  I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	Okay.  I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr.	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	Okay.  I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr. Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you  Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	Okay.  I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr. Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q. A.	put on it.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A.	Okay.  I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr.  Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him personally but anyone in his law office?	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you  Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.  Okay, and so you had clients; is that correct?
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36 10:36 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q.	I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr.  Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him personally but anyone in his law office?  I I don't recall.	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.  Okay, and so you had clients; is that correct?  I did.
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q.	I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr. Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him personally but anyone in his law office?  I I don't recall.  Okay.	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	put on it.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you  Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.  Okay, and so you had clients; is that correct?  I did.  And those were who?
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A.	I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr. Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him personally but anyone in his law office?  I I don't recall.  Okay.  What was it supposed to have been.	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you  Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.  Okay, and so you had clients; is that correct?  I did.  And those were who?  The mayor and Ms. Beatty.
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	Okay.  I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr.  Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him personally but anyone in his law office?  I I don't recall.  Okay.  What was it supposed to have been.  Well, supposedly a Fed. Ex. package with messages in	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you  Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.  Okay, and so you had clients; is that correct?  I did.  And those were who?  The mayor and Ms. Beatty.  Okay.
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr. Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him personally but anyone in his law office?  I I don't recall.  Okay.  What was it supposed to have been.  Well, supposedly a Fed. Ex. package with messages in them?	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	put on it.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you  Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.  Okay, and so you had clients; is that correct?  I did.  And those were who?  The mayor and Ms. Beatty.  Okay.  At the time.
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr.  Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him personally but anyone in his law office?  I I don't recall.  Okay.  What was it supposed to have been.  Well, supposedly a Fed. Ex. package with messages in them?  No. I no. I never saw that.	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you  Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.  Okay, and so you had clients; is that correct?  I did.  And those were who?  The mayor and Ms. Beatty.  Okay.  At the time.  And so you wrote this November 2nd, 2007 letter to
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr.  Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him personally but anyone in his law office?  I I don't recall.  Okay.  What was it supposed to have been.  Well, supposedly a Fed. Ex. package with messages in them?  No. I no. I never saw that.  Okay.	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	put on it.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you  Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.  Okay, and so you had clients; is that correct?  I did.  And those were who?  The mayor and Ms. Beatty.  Okay.  At the time.
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr.  Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him personally but anyone in his law office?  I I don't recall.  Okay.  What was it supposed to have been.  Well, supposedly a Fed. Ex. package with messages in them?  No. I no. I never saw that.	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:39 10:39 10:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you  Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.  Okay, and so you had clients; is that correct?  I did.  And those were who?  The mayor and Ms. Beatty.  Okay.  At the time.  And so you wrote this November 2nd, 2007 letter to SkyTel as their lawyer; is that correct?
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr. Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him personally but anyone in his law office?  I I don't recall.  Okay.  What was it supposed to have been.  Well, supposedly a Fed. Ex. package with messages in them?  No. I no. I never saw that.  Okay.  With messages in them?	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:39 10:39 10:39 10:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you  Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.  Okay, and so you had clients; is that correct?  I did.  And those were who?  The mayor and Ms. Beatty.  Okay.  At the time.  And so you wrote this November 2nd, 2007 letter to SkyTel as their lawyer; is that correct?  Yeah, and in a very owe fish us manner, that's
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr.  Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him personally but anyone in his law office?  I I don't recall.  Okay.  What was it supposed to have been.  Well, supposedly a Fed. Ex. package with messages in them?  No. I no. I never saw that.  Okay.  With messages in them?  Yes. Well, text messages, I assume, but the word	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:39 10:39 10:39 10:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you  Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.  Okay, and so you had clients; is that correct?  I did.  And those were who?  The mayor and Ms. Beatty.  Okay.  At the time.  And so you wrote this November 2nd, 2007 letter to SkyTel as their lawyer; is that correct?  Yeah, and in a very owe fish us manner, that's correct. Let me tell you, maybe it's easier this way.
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr. Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him personally but anyone in his law office?  I I don't recall.  Okay.  What was it supposed to have been.  Well, supposedly a Fed. Ex. package with messages in them?  No. I no. I never saw that.  Okay.  With messages in them?  Yes. Well, text messages, I assume, but the word messages is what was used.	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:39 10:39 10:39 10:39 10:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you  Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.  Okay, and so you had clients; is that correct?  I did.  And those were who?  The mayor and Ms. Beatty.  Okay.  At the time.  And so you wrote this November 2nd, 2007 letter to SkyTel as their lawyer; is that correct?  Yeah, and in a very owe fish us manner, that's correct. Let me tell you, maybe it's easier this way.  Well, I guess that's that's the correct way to put
10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:35 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36 10:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I'm not I'm not suggesting that he didn't, but I whatever it was, if he sent me something, it was in my mind at least not something of any moment.  Okay. And if you had still possessed it, would you have considered well, you don't have any understanding, though, as you sit here, what he might have sent you, do you?  I don't remember, frankly, receiving anything from Mr. Stefani. Now, you know, I just don't.  Okay. And when I say Mr. Stefani, I mean not only him personally but anyone in his law office?  I I don't recall.  Okay.  What was it supposed to have been.  Well, supposedly a Fed. Ex. package with messages in them?  No. I no. I never saw that.  Okay.  With messages in them?  Yes. Well, text messages, I assume, but the word messages is what was used.  Mr. Stefani has testified under oath that he sent me	10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:38 10:39 10:39 10:39 10:39 10:39 10:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	put on it.  AR. ZUCKERMAN:  At some point at least prior to 2007 which is the date on the first letter in this packet, FREEP 701, you had apparently been retained to contact SkyTel for some purpose; is that correct?  I had been retained to try to find out what happened here.  Okay. And you  Involving SkyTel and the records that were produced to Mr. Stefani.  Okay, and so you had clients; is that correct?  I did.  And those were who?  The mayor and Ms. Beatty.  Okay.  At the time.  And so you wrote this November 2nd, 2007 letter to SkyTel as their lawyer; is that correct?  Yeah, and in a very owe fish us manner, that's correct. Let me tell you, maybe it's easier this way.  Well, I guess that's that's the correct way to put it.

			37	T			39
10:39	1	A.	Mm-hmm.	10:42	1	Α.	
10:39	2	Q.	The second paragraph.	10:42			process, what their what their procedures were,
10:39	3		MR. GOODMAN: I can't hear you.	10:42	3		etcetera, etcetera. And my next question was okay,
9	4		MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm sorry, the November	10:42	_		these documents are or this data is over, at the
J:39	5		6th, 2007 letter.	10:43	_		time, five some of it over five years old, five,
10:39	6		MR. GOODMAN: All right, thank you.	10:43			six years old. What is your document destruction
10:39	7		MR. ZUCKERMAN: FREEP 702. It says I am	10:43	_		policy? I, you know, assumed that this company like
10:40	8		seeking answers to several questions regarding these	10:43			most other companies had one only to find out at least
10:40			matters including most particularly requirements for	10:43			from Ms. Mullins that they had no document destruction
10:40	10		and methods of destruction of this governmental	10:43	10		policy which also gave me some cause for some concern,
10:40	11		sensitive data. What other questions were you seeking	10:43	11		so
10:40	12		answers to from SkyTel.	10:43	12	Q.	Okay. What did she say to you?
10:40 1	13	Α.	That's a long I was actually trying to find out	10:43	13	A.	What did she say to me in regards to
10:40 1			their policies with regard their procedures and	10:43	14	Q.	In response to your concerns.
10:40 1	15		policies with regard to handling data requests for	10:43	15	A.	Well, we had some interesting conversation because we
10:40 1	16		dealing with production requests, for dealing with	10:43	16		got into this whole conversation about who the
10:40 1	17		subpoenas, etcetera. I was trying to find out their	10:43	17		documents who this data actually belonged to, and I
10:40 1	18		document retention policy, I was trying to find out a	10:43	18		suppose I proposed some hypotheticals to the extent
10:40 1	19		number of things about how they handled requests of	10:43	19		that we talked earlier about my having a pager, I
10:40 2	20		the sort of Mr. Stefani.	10:44	20		assumed that communications, particularly those that
10:40 2	21	BY N	MR. ZUCKERMAN:	10:44	21		are printed that are held in their system actually
10:40 2	22	Q.	Okay, why why were you interested in methods of	10:44	22		belonged to me, that was that's I was I don't
10:40 2	23		destruction?	10:44	23		know whether I I still don't know whether I'm
10:40 2	24	A.	Well, that actually came as a result of conversations	10:44	24		correct in that thought or not, but I that's what
140 2	25		that I had with Ms. Mullins before these letters went	10:44	25		we talked about.
			38				40
10:41	1		out, and my conversations with her, I was somewhat	10:44	1		She took the position that no, it belonged
10:41	2		distraught in finding out one, that they had, in fact,	10:44	2		to them, and I said well, you know, let's assume that
10:41	3		given these documents or produced these documents to	10:44	3		I don't want I want I deleted it, I wanted to
10:41	4		Mr. Stefani in light of the fact that they had been on	10:44	4		delete it, I did not want it to remain in the
10:41	5		notice of protective order in this case for over three	10:44	5		universe, are you suggesting that me as a customer I
10:41	6		years. In fact, there were two protective orders, as	10:44	6		don't have the right to request that it be deleted
10:41	7		I as I understood later, after this after my	10:44	7		from your system? They then gave me this long
10:41	8		initial conversations with her.	10:44	8		discussion about how their system works, how they
10:41	9		And talking about how it is that that could	10:45	9		maintained this data for basically for their own
10:41 <b>1</b>	0		have happened gave me great moment for pause. I	10:45	10		business purposes that they needed to know what kind
10:41 <b>1</b>			suggested that maybe I needed to come and talk to her	10:45			of volume their system could handle and how the text
10:41 <b>1</b>			so that I could have a better understanding of what	10:45			messages came in. They came in in a fashion where
10:41 <b>1</b>			their system did, how they did this and and what	10:45			they weren't in order, they came in randomly and
10:42 <b>1</b>			safeguards they had in place. Initially to start off	10:45			something about how their they needed to be able to
10:42 <b>1</b>			kind of as a very casual discussion, because I really	10:45			evaluate their system so they maintained this data.
10:42 <b>1</b>			did not believe and that Mr. Stefani had been given	10:45			We got into discussions about under what
10:42 <b>1</b>			these records. I just there were two Court orders	10:45			circumstances and what they do to I you know, at
10:42 1			saying that they only could go to the Court, SkyTel	10:45			that point, I was really giving some thought to suing
10:42 1			had been served to my knowledge with both of them.	10:45			SkyTel and I think I actually told Ms. Mullins that
110:42 2			They couldn't find them, which was a concern, which is	10:45			because I thought what they were doing just didn't
//2 <b>2</b>		_	why I had to deliver them to her.	10:45			sound right.
10:42 2		Q.	When you say they couldn't find them, you mean the	10:45		Q.	But for whatever reason, you elected not to sue them
10:42 2		A	protective orders?	10:45		A	at least not through today?
	48.	A.	Yes.	10:45	<b>~</b> 4	A.	Because at the time no suit has been filed.
10:42 <b>2</b>			Okay.	10:46	25	0	Correct.

conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  10:50 5 A. Because I for whatever reason, I believe that this this information was between City of Detroit officials. I understood that in this chain was had a lot of concerns about what SkyTel was doing, how they did it, why they didn't give notice to anybody, there were a whole lot of issues with respect to this company. I think still remain.  10:50 10 others, discussions about business matters, and not only that, but personal matters, as well. But I but the one of the concerns, I believe, was the fact that it involved discussions about City business.  Okay.  10:50 14 Q. Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 2000 letter?  10:51 16 A. Yes, sir.  Maybe.  10:51 17 Q. From you to SkyTel?  Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those				
really concerned about they had in my opinion made — a drastic error and mistake in producing these — a drastic error and mistake in producing these records to Mr. Stefan, and my immediate concern was to assure that they didn't make that mistake again. I had every reason — and my biggest concern, actually, was that this information was going to — to be the subject of what exactly it has become, the newspapers. Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they — Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they — Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they — Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they — Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they — Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they — Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they — Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they — Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they — Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they — Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they — Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they — Did you ask them to destroy there?  1049 10  1049 11  1049 12  1049 12  1049 15  1049 17  1049 17  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 18  1049 19  1049 18  1049 19  1049 18  1049 19  1049 18  1049 19  1049 18  1049 19  1049 18  1049 19  1049 18  1049 19  1049 18  1049 19  1049 14  1049 19  1049 14  1049 19  1049 14  1049 15  1049 15  1049 15  1049 16  1049 17  1049 17  1049 17  1049 18  1049 19  1049 17  1049 18  1049 19  104	41			43
records to Mr. Stefani, and my immediate concern was to assure that they didn't make that mistake again. 1 1049 6 may letter on that you identified FREEP 702, I'm soeking and the methods of destruction of this data. I wasted this information was going to — to be the subject of what exactly it has become, the newspapers. 1049 8 matters including most particularly requirements for and the methods of destruction of this data. I wanted to know like 5 add, what their document destruction policy was, was it within the customer's right to records to the mayor? 1049 10 may be destroyed by the records, ves. 1049 11 may 1049 12 destroyed, but certainly, I was looking to see what — what the customer's right to reduce the destruction policy was and what ability there was to destroy the records, ves. 1049 13 may 1049 15 may 1049 15 may 1049 15 may 1049 16 may 1049 17 may 1049 18 may 1049 19 may 1049 18 may	If that's your question. But at the time, I was	10:48 <b>1</b>		copies of any
records to Mr. Stefanil, and my immediate concern was to assure that they didn't make that mistake again. I had severy reson – and my biggest concern, actually 1049 5 seeking answers to seward questions regarding these bad severy resons – and my biggest concern, actually 1049 8 1049 8 what this information was going to – to be the subject of what exactly it has become, the newspapers. 1049 8 1049 0 was than to destroy any documents that they — 1049 10 values than to destroy any retained 1049 11 values than to destroy any retained 2004 1049 11 values than to destroy any retained 2004 12 values than to destroy the messages of the mayor? 1049 12 values than to destroy the records, vs. 1049 13 values to them to destroy the records, vs. 1049 14 12 values to the word to destroy the records, vs. 1049 15 values to destroy the records, vs. 1049 15 values to destroy the records, vs. 1049 15 values to destroy the records, vs. 1049 16 values to destroy the records, vs. 1049 17 values to destroy the records, vs. 1049 16 values to destroy the records, vs. 1049 17 values to destroy the records, vs. 1049 17 values to destroy the records, vs. 1049 17 values to destroy the records, vs. 1049 18 values to destroy the records, vs. 1049 17 values to destroy the records vs. 1049 18 values than to destroy the records vs. 1049 17 values to destroy the 1049 18 value the value to destroy the 1049 18 value the value than the value than 1049 17 values that the customer's right to make that request. That's records value that values to the property of the destruction policy was also than the value to destroy the 1049 18 values that the value that values to the value that v	really concerned about they had in my opinion made a	10:49 <b>2</b>	A.	I just you know, I don't know if I said that,
to assure that they didn't make that mistake again. I had every reason - and my biggest concern, actually, was shad this information was going to to be the subject of what exactly it has become, the newspapers. Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they sprike that.  Did you ask them to destroy any retained copies or retained electronic files of text messages of the mayor?  I sought to know what the destruction policy was and what ability there was to destroy the records, yes. Used and you ask them to destroy the records, yes. Used did you ask them to destroy there records, yes. Used did you ask them to destroy there records, yes. Used as you have a split to the mayor and any the records of the mayor?  I don't know - maybe I did. What I was trying to do was find out whether It could be done, whether they had a policy with respect to it, and if it was within the customer's right to make that request. That's really where I was going. I think.  Dikay. And did you make did you ask them to destroy we were - I was talking in general, I think with  42 respect to - I mean there was another there was another concern. The the request for data by Mr.  Stefani was a so Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or text written conversations of many there were a whole lot of issues with respect to that your gestions.  1050 17	a drastic error and mistake in producing these	10:49 3		whether I said that I was really trying to, I most
had every reason — and my biggest concern, actually, was that this information was going to — to be the subject of what exactly it has become, the newspapers, 1049 7 8 to know like I said, what their document destruction policy was whether to destroy any returned property of the mayor?  1049 11 to destroy was returned electronic files of text messages of the mayor?  1049 12 destroyed, but certainly, I was looking to see what — what the big outside see what — what the document, I don't know what the destruction policy was and the method set for the mayor?  1049 12 destroyed, but certainly, I was looking to see what — what the outside see what — what the course for that destruction to happen, what I sought to know what the destruction policy was and the method see what — what the course for that destruction to happen, what I sought to know what the destroy the records, yes.  1049 15 Q. Okay, And the same question with regard to Ms.  1049 16 Destry, did you — did you ask SkiyTe to destroy the electronic files or any retained paper copies of electronic files or any retained paper copies of electronic files or any retained paper copies of the destroy them?  1049 16 C. Okay, And the same question with regard to Ms.  1049 17 Destruction of Ms. Beatty is read of the was within the course for that destruction to happen, what it is could be done, whether they had a policy with respect to it, and if it was within 1049 17 destruction of Ms. Beatty is read what the quest. That's 1049 18 any — of Ms. Beatty is read what the usus meri and the course for that destruction to the process would be, and if that course reading where I was going, I think.  1049 17 Destruction of this document, I don't know that I was read what the process would be, and if that course reading have the destruction of destruction of the destruction of the destruction of the destructio	records to Mr. Stefani, and my immediate concern was	10:49 4		in my letter on that you identified FREEP 702, I'm
was that this information was going to — to be the subject of what exactly it has become, the newspapers. Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they— 1049 10 policy was, was it within the customer's right to make that no destroy any retained on the mayor? 1049 11 destroyed what the destruction policy was and what ability there was to destroy then? 1049 12 destroyed, but certainly, I was looking to see what— what half ity there was to destroy then? 1049 14 what the customer's right to make that request. That's 1049 15 counter's right to make that request. That's 1049 16 counter's right to make that request. That's 1049 17 counter's right to make that request. That's 1049 18 counter's right to make that request. That's 1049 19 A. I think my answer is the same, I don't know that I	to assure that they didn't make that mistake again. I	10:49 5		seeking answers to several questions regarding these
subject of what exactly it has become, the newspapers. Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they — 1049 9 10 5 5 thick that.  Did you ask them to destroy any retained 1049 11 1049	had every reason and my biggest concern, actually,	10:49 6		matters including most particularly requirements for
Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they—  bit you ask them to destroy any retained  copies or retained electronic files of text messages  of the mayor?  I sought to know what the destruction policy was and what ability there was to destroy them?  I don't know—maybe I did. What I was trying to do was find out whether it could be done, whether they had a policy with respect to it, and if it was within the customer's right to make that request. That's really where I was going, I think.  Okay. And did you make—did you ask them to destroy the records with the customer's right to make that request. That's really where I was going, I think.  Okay. And did you make—did you ask them to destroy any retained any electronic files or paper copies of earth writer. I was going, I think.  Okay. And did you make—did you ask them to destroy any retained any electronic files or paper copies of earth writer. I was going, I think.  Okay. And did you make—did you ask them to destroy any retained any electronic files or paper copies of earth writer. I was going, I think with  42  We were—I was talking in general, I think with  42  respect to—I mean there was another—there was another—there was another concern. The—the request for data by Mr.  Stefani was as to Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or ext written conversations of or ext written conversations of or text written conversations of or text written conversations of the propersion of the world of the world governmental?  So maybe I lost your question, but I —I loss of text written conversations of the world it, why they didn't give notice to anybody, there were a whole lot of issues with respect to this copy.  So maybe I lost your questions.  So maybe I lost your questions.  Okay.  10:50 12  10:50 13  10:50 14  10:50 15  10:50 16  A Yes.  So maybe I lost your guestion, but I —I loss of text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I —I loss of text written conversations of th	was that this information was going to to be the	10:49 7		and the methods of destruction of this data. I wanted
strike that.  Did you ask them to destroy any retained  Copies or retained electronic files of text messages  of the mayor?  I sought to know what the destruction policy was and what ability there was to destroy the records, yes.  But did you ask them to destroy there records, yes.  But did you ask them to destroy them?  I don't know maybe I did. What I was trying to do was find out whether it could be done, whether they had a policy with respect to it, and if it was within the customer's right to make that request. That's 1049 19 19 1049 18 19 1049 19 19 1049 19 19 1049 19 19 1049 19 19 1049 19 19 1049 19 19 1049 19 19 1049 104	subject of what exactly it has become, the newspapers.	10:49 8		to know like I said, what their document destruction
Did you ask them to destroy any retained copies or retained electronic files of text messages of the mayer?  10.49 12 destroyed, but certainly, I was looking to see what what the course for that destruction to happen, what it would be.  10.49 14 tix would be.  10.49 15 Q. Okay, And the same question with regard to Ms.  10.49 16 Beatty, did you old you ask SkyTel to destroy the electronic files or any retained paper copies of was find out whether it could be done, whether they had a policy with respect to it, and if it was within the customer's right to make that request. That's really where I was going, I think.  Okay, And did you make did you ask them to destroy any retained any electronic files or paper copies of text messages regarding Ms. Beatty '  We were - I was talking in general, I think with 10.50 22 Q. Okay, in your letter on the paragraph you just read text messages regarding Ms. Beatty's records, but, yet, what was produced was not only Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I had a lot of concerns about what SkyTel to destroy to the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I loss of the propie. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I loss of the propie. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I loss of the propie. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I loss of the many question, I had two discret questions.  Okay.  They then together but we can split them up if it's easier for you?  10.50 14 Q. Okay, if you look at PREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 10.51 15 Q. Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those discussions and the content of	Did you ask them to destroy any documents that they	10:49 9		policy was, was it within the customer's right to
copies or retained electronic files of text messages of the mayor?  I sought to know what the destruction policy was and what ability there was to destroy the records, yes.  But did you ask them to destroy then?  I don't know maybe I did. What I was trying to do was find out whether it could be done, whether they had a policy with respect to it, and if it was within the customer's right to make that request. That's really where I was going, I think.  10-49 18  10-49 18  10-49 16  10-49 16  10-49 16  10-49 17  10-49 16  10-49 17  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 18  10-49 18  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 18  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19  10-49 19	strike that.	10:49 10		request the destruction of this document, I don't know
copies or retained electronic files of text messages of the mayor?  1049 12 destroyed, but certainly, I was looking to see what or what the course for that destruction to happen, what it would be.  1049 14 it would be.  1049 15 Q. Okay. And the same question with regard to Ms.  1049 16 Beatty, did you did you ask SkyTeI to destroy the electronic files or any retained paper copies of any of Ms. Beatty to destroy the electronic files or any retained paper copies of any of Ms. Beatty to destroy the electronic files or any retained paper copies of any of Ms. Beatty to destroy the electronic files or any retained paper copies of any of Ms. Beatty to destroy the electronic files or any retained paper copies of any of Ms. Beatty to destroy any retained any electronic files or paper copies of the mayor?  1050 22 C. Okay, in your letter on the paragraph you just read to destroy any retained any electronic files or paper copies of 1050 24 A. Yes.  1050 25 Q you're asking about the methods of destruction of 22 respect to I mean there was another there was 1050 12 this governmental sensitive data?  1050 12 A. Yes.  1050 13 Q. Vou see the word governmental?  1050 14 A. Yes.  1050 15 Q. Why did you use the word governmental?  1050 16 A. Because I for whatever reason, I believe that this this information was between City of Detroit only that, but personal matters, as well. But I but they did it, why they didn't give notice to anybody, there were a whole lot of issues with respect to this company. I think still remain.  1050 15 Q. Okay, if you look at fREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 1051 15 Q. Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those transmissions are the property of the customer and as this data in their system.	Did you ask them to destroy any retained	10:49 11		that that I specifically asked them to be
I sought to know what the destruction policy was and what ability there was to destroy the records, yes.  1049 16  1049 17  1049 17  1049 17  1049 17  1049 17  1049 17  1049 17  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 17  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 19  1049 17  1049 19  1049 19  1049 11  1049 11  1049 11  1049 12  1049 18  1049 19  1049 19  1049 11  1049 11  1049 11  1049 12  1049 12  1049 13  1049 14  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 19  1049 18  1049 19  1049 19  1049 19  1049 11  1049 11  1049 11  1049 11  1049 12  1049 11  1049 12  1049 13  1049 14  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 18  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 18  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 18  1049 14  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 18  1049 18  1049 14  1049 14  1049 18  1049 17  1049 18  1049 19  1049 11  1049 19  1049 11  1049 20  1049 20  1049 20  1049 20  1049 20  1049 20  1049 21  1049 20  1049 21  1049	, , ,	10:49 12		•
I sought to know what the destruction policy was and what ability there was to destroy the records, yes.  But did you ask them to destroy then?  1049 16  1049 17  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 18  1049 14  1049 18  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 14  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 18  1049 14  1049 19  1049 14  1049 14  1049 19  1049 14  1049 14  1049 14  1049 14  1049 14  1049 14  1049 14  1049 14  1049 14  1049 14  1049 14  1049 14  1049 14  1049 14  1049 14  10	<b>3</b>	10:49 13		• •
what ability there was to destroy the records, yes.  But did you ask them to destroy them?  I don't know maybe I did. What I was trying to do was find out whether it could be done, whether they had a policy with respect to it, and if it was within the customer's right to make that request. That's really where I was going, I think.  10.49 18 A. I think my answer is the same, I don't know that I was seeking to understand what the process would be, and if that could was find out whether it could be done, whether they had a policy with respect to it, and if it was within the customer's right to make that request. That's really where I was going, I think.  10.49 19 A. I think my answer is the same, I don't know that I was seeking to understand what the process would be, and if that could be done.  10.50 22 Q. Okay, in your letter on the paragraph you just read	·	l .		
But did you ask them to destroy them?  I don't know maybe I did. What I was trying to do was find out whether it could be done, whether they had a policy with respect to it, and if it was within the customer's right to make that request. That's 10.49 12 10.49 13 any of Ms. Beatty or			O	
I don't know maybe I did. What I was trying to do was find out whether it could be done, whether they had a policy with respect to it, and if it was within the customer's right to make that request. That's 10:49 12 specifically asked that. I know that I was seeking to understand what the process would be, and if that could be done.  Okay. And did you make did you ask them to destroy any retained any electronic files or paper copies of 10:50 22 could be done.  10:50 22 d. Okay, in your letter on the paragraph you just read text messages regarding Ms. Beatty?  We were I was talking in general, I think with 10:50 22 d. Yes.  10:50 24 A. Yes.  10:50 25 Q you're asking about the methods of destruction of 42 this governmental sensitive data?  10:50 3 Q. You see the word governmental?  10:50 4 A. Yes.  10:50 5 Q. Why did you use the word governmental?  10:50 4 A. Yes.  10:50 5 Q. Why did you use the word governmental?  10:50 7 This is the reacoust files or apper copies of 10:50 12 this paper copies of 10:50 13 this with 10:50 14 this governmental?  10:50 8 Because I for whatever reason, I believe that 10:50 6 A. Because I for whatever reason, I believe that 10:50 7 this is this information was between City of Detroit officials. I understood that in this chain was 10:50 14 this easier for you?  10:50 15 15 15 2 Co. Paragraph you just read 10:50 15 2 Co. Paragraph you jus			<b>₩</b> .	
was find out whether it could be done, whether they had a policy with respect to it, and if it was within the customer's right to make that request. That's 10:49 20 specifically asked that. I know that I was seeking to really where I was going, I think.  10:49 21 understand what the process would be, and if that could be done.  10:50 22 could be done.  10:50 23 Q. Okay, in your letter on the paragraph you just read retx messages regarding Ms. Beatty?  We were I was talking in general, I think with 10:50 25 Q you're asking about the methods of destruction of 10:50 25 Q you're asking about the methods of destruction of 10:50 25 Q you're asking about the methods of destruction of 10:50 25 Q you're asking about the methods of destruction of 10:50 25 Q you're asking about the methods of destruction of 10:50 25 Q you're asking about the methods of destruction of 10:50 2 A. Yes.  10:50 2 A. Yes.  10:50 2 A. Yes.  10:50 3 Q. You see the word governmental?  10:50 4 A. Yes.  10:50 5 Q. Why did you use the word governmental?  10:50 6 A. Because I for whatever reason, I believe that this this information was between City of Detroit officials. I understood that in this chain was discussions with the legal department and and others, discussions about business matters, and not others were a whole lot of issues with respect to this 10:50 12 but the one of the concerns, I believe, was the 10:51 15 20 person of the concerns of the concerns, I believe, was the 10:51 15 20 person of the concern of those transmissions are the property of the customer and as this data in their system.	· ·			
had a policy with respect to it, and if it was within the customer's right to make that request. That's really where I was going, I think.  Okay. And did you make — did you sak them to destroy any retained any electronic files or paper copies of text messages regarding Ms. Beatty?  We were — I was talking in general, I think with 10:50 25 Q. Okay, in your letter on the paragraph you just read — 10:50 24 A. Yes.  We were — I was talking in general, I think with 10:50 25 Q. — you're asking about the methods of destruction of 10:50 24 A. Yes.  We were — I mean there was another — there was another — the request for data by Mr.  Stefani was as to Ms. Beatty's records, but, yet, what was produced was not only Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I — I 10:50 5 Q. Why did you use the word governmental?  So maybe I lost your question, but I — I 10:50 7 this information was between City of Detroit officials. I understood that in this chain was discussions about this chain was discussions about business matters, and not others, discussions about business matters, and not others, discussions about City business.  Okay.  I lost 17 Q. Okay, in you lost the paragraph you just read — could be done.  A ves.  Ves.  We were — I was talking in general, I think with  10:50 1 this governmental sensitive data?  10:50 1 this governmental sensitive data?  10:50 1 this governmental sensitive data?  10:50 2 A. Yes.  Yes.  Why did you use the word governmental?  10:50 5 Q. Why did you use the word governmental?  10:50 5 Q. Why did you use the word governmental?  10:50 5 Q. Why did you use the word governmental?  10:50 7 this — this information was between City of Detroit officials. I understood that in this chain was discussions with the legal department and — and others, discussions about Dusiness matters, and not others, discussions about Dusiness matters, as well. But I — but the — one of the conce				, , , ,
the customer's right to make that request. That's really where I was going, I think.  Okay. And did you make — id you ask them to destroy any retained any electronic files or paper copies of text messages regarding Ms. Beatty?  We were — I was talking in general, I think with 10:50 23 Q. Okay, in your letter on the paragraph you just read — text messages regarding Ms. Beatty?  We were — I was talking in general, I think with 10:50 25 Q. — you're asking about the methods of destruction of 10:50 1 this governmental sensitive data?  42 respect to — I mean there was another — there was another concern. The — the request for data by Mr.  Stefani was as to Ms. Beatty's records, but conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I — I 10:50 6 A. Because I — for whatever reason, I believe that this — this information was between City of Detroit of this — this information was between City of Detroit of the people. I while the did it, why they didn't give notice to anybody, there were a whole lot of issues with respect to this company. I think still remain.  10:50 10 10 10:50 11 10:50 12 11 10:50 12 11 10:50 12 11 10:50 13 11 10:50 14 11 10:50 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 16 A. Yes, sir.  Waybe.  Old you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic files of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages or the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of — of this data in their system.		1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
really where I was going, I think.  Okay. And did you make did you ask them to destroy any retained any electronic files or paper copies of text messages regarding Ms. Beatty?  We were I was talking in general, I think with  10:50 25 Q you're asking about the methods of destruction of  42 respect to I mean there was another there was another concern. The the request for data by Mr.  Stefani was as to Ms. Beatty's records, but, yet, what was sproduced was not only Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I 10:50 A Because I for whatever reason, I believe that this this information was between City of Detroit officials. I understood that in this chain was discussions about business matters, and not others, discussions about city business.  Okay.  Okay. if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 2001 you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic files of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages or the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of this data in their system.		1	Α.	·
Okay. And did you make — did you ask them to destroy any retained any electronic files or paper copies of text messages regarding Ms. Beatty?  We were — I was talking in general, I think with  42  44  respect to — I mean there was another — there was another concern. The — the request for data by Mr.  Stefani was as to Ms. Beatty's records, but, yet, what was produced was not only Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I — I 10:50 7 this — this information was between City of Detroit officials. I understood that in this chain was had a lot of concerns about what SkyTel was doing, how there were a whole lot of issues with respect to this company. I think still remain.  I guess my question, I had two discreet questions.  Okay.  I'll put them together but we can split them up if it's easer for you?  Maybe.  I asked about the destruction of destruction of destruction of the year.  10:50 12 A. Yes.  10:50 2 A. Yes.  10:50 3 Q. You see the word governmental?  10:50 4 A. Yes.  Why did you use the word governmental?  10:50 5 Q. Why did you use the word governmental?  10:50 7 this — for whatever reason, I believe that this — for whatever reason, I believe that this — this information was between City of Detroit officials. I understood that in this chain was discussions with the legal department and — and others, discussions about business matters, and not only that, but personal matters, as well. But I — one part the property of the customer 27th, 10:50 13 fact that it involved discussions about City business.  Okay.  10:50 14 Q. Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 20:01 19 Q. Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those previously, I believe the content of those transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their				•
any retained any electronic files or paper copies of text messages regarding Ms. Beatty?  We were I was talking in general, I think with  42  respect to I mean there was another there was another concern. The the request for data by Mr.  Stefani was as to Ms. Beatty's records, but, yet, what was produced was not only Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I had a lot of concerns about what SkyTel was doing, how there were a whole lot of issues with respect to this company. I think still remain.  I guess my question, I had two discreet questions.  Okay.  Did you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic files of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of destruction of 10.50 2 A. Yes.  Yes.  10.50 1 this governmental sensitive data?  10.50 2 A. Yes.  Yes.  Yes.  Yes.  10.50 4 A. Yes.  Yes.  Yes.  10.50 5 Q. Why did you use the word governmental?  10.50 6 A. Because I for whatever reason, I believe that this this information was between City of Detroit officials. I understood that in this chain was discussions with the legal department and and others, discussions about business matters, as well. But I but there one of the concerns, I believe, was the fact that it involved discussions about City business.  Okay.  10.50 14 Q. Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 2000 letter?  Yes, sir.  A. Yes, sir.  A. Yes.  Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those transmissions are the property of the customer and	·			•
text messages regarding Ms. Beatty?  We were — I was talking in general, I think with  42  respect to — I mean there was another — there was another concern. The — the request for data by Mr.  Stefani was as to Ms. Beatty's records, but, yet, what was produced was not only Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I — I 10:50 5 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9			_	
We were — I was talking in general, I think with  42  respect to — I mean there was another — there was another concern. The — the request for data by Mr.  Stefani was as to Ms. Beatty's records, but, yet, what was produced was not only Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I — I 10:50 8	any retained any electronic files or paper copies of			
respect to I mean there was another there was another concern. The the request for data by Mr.  Stefani was as to Ms. Beatty's records, but, yet, what was produced was not only Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I 10:50 7				
respect to I mean there was another there was another concern. The the request for data by Mr.  Stefani was as to Ms. Beatty's records, but, yet, what was produced was not only Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I 10:50 8 8 6		10:50 25	Q.	
another concern. The the request for data by Mr.  Stefani was as to Ms. Beatty's records, but, yet, what was produced was not only Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I	42			
Stefani was as to Ms. Beatty's records, but, yet, what was produced was not only Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I	respect to I mean there was another there was			this governmental sensitive data?
was produced was not only Ms. Beatty's records but conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I 10:50 8	another concern. The the request for data by Mr.		Α.	
conversations of or text written conversations of many other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I  10:50 8  So maybe I lost your question, but I I  10:50 8  had a lot of concerns about what SkyTel was doing, how they did it, why they didn't give notice to anybody, there were a whole lot of issues with respect to this company. I think still remain.  I guess my question, I had two discreet questions.  Okay.  10:50 14  Q. Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 10:51 15  It's easier for you?  Maybe.  10:50 17  Q. From you to SkyTel?  10:51 18  A. Yes, sir.  10:51 19  Q. Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of this data in their system.	Stefani was as to Ms. Beatty's records, but, yet, what		Q.	You see the word governmental?
other people. I wanted to understand how that was the case.  10:50 6 A. Because I for whatever reason, I believe that this this information was between City of Detroit officials. I understood that in this chain was had a lot of concerns about what SkyTel was doing, how they did it, why they didn't give notice to anybody, there were a whole lot of issues with respect to this company. I think still remain.  10:50 12 but the one of the concerns, I believe, was the fact that it involved discussions about City business.  Okay.  10:50 14 Q. Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 10:51 15 2000 letter?  It's easier for you?  Maybe.  10:51 17 Q. From you to SkyTel?  Did you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic files of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of this data in their system.	was produced was not only Ms. Beatty's records but	10:50 4	A.	Yes.
Case.  So maybe I lost your question, but I I  10:50 8  officials. I understood that in this chain was discussions with the legal department and and otherwise discussions about business matters, and not only that, but personal matters, as well. But I company. I think still remain.  10:50 12  10:50 13  10:50 14  Q. Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 2000 letter?  10:51 15  10:50 18  10:50 19  10:50 10  10:50 11  10:50 12  10:50 12  10:50 13  10:50 13  10:50 14  Q. Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 2000 letter?  10:51 15  10:51 16  A. Yes, sir.  10:51 17  Q. From you to SkyTel?  10:51 18  A. Yes, sir.  10:51 19  Q. Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those  1 asked about the destruction of documents of of  10:51 22  such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	conversations of or text written conversations of many	10:50 <b>5</b>	Q.	Why did you use the word governmental?
So maybe I lost your question, but I I had a lot of concerns about what SkyTel was doing, how they did it, why they didn't give notice to anybody, there were a whole lot of issues with respect to this company. I think still remain.  I guess my question, I had two discreet questions.  Okay.  I'll put them together but we can split them up if it's easier for you?  Maybe.  Did you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic files of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of the son only that, but personal matters, as well. But I but the one of the concerns, I believe, was the fact that it involved discussions about City business.  Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 2000 letter?  10:51 15 20 Ves, sir.  From you to SkyTel?  Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	other people. I wanted to understand how that was the	10:50 <b>6</b>	A.	Because I for whatever reason, I believe that
had a lot of concerns about what SkyTel was doing, how they did it, why they didn't give notice to anybody, there were a whole lot of issues with respect to this company. I think still remain.  I guess my question, I had two discreet questions.  Okay.  I'll put them together but we can split them up if it's easier for you?  Maybe.  Did you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic files of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of this data in their system.  10:50 19  Okisussions with the legal department and and others, discussions about business matters, and not only that, but personal matters, as well. But I but the one of the concerns, I believe, was the fact that it involved discussions about City business.  10:50 14  Q. Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 10:51 15  2000 letter?  10:51 16  A. Yes, sir.  10:51 17  Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	case.	10:50 7		this this information was between City of Detroit
they did it, why they didn't give notice to anybody, there were a whole lot of issues with respect to this company. I think still remain.  I guess my question, I had two discreet questions.  Okay.  10:50 12  10:50 12  10:50 12  10:50 12  10:50 12  10:50 13  10:50 13  10:50 14  10:50 13  10:50 14  10:50 15  10:50 16  10:50 17  10:50 18  10:50 19	So maybe I lost your question, but I I	10:50 8		officials. I understood that in this chain was
there were a whole lot of issues with respect to this company. I think still remain.  I guess my question, I had two discreet questions.  Okay.  I'll put them together but we can split them up if it's easier for you?  Maybe.  Did you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic files of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of the concerns, I believe, was the fact that it involved discussions about City business.  Okay.  10:50 14 Q. Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 2000 letter?  10:51 16 A. Yes, sir.  From you to SkyTel?  Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	had a lot of concerns about what SkyTel was doing, how	10:50 9		discussions with the legal department and and
think still remain.  10:50 12 but the one of the concerns, I believe, was the fact that it involved discussions about City business.  10:50 14 Q. Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th, 2000 letter?  10:51 15 2000 letter?  10:51 17 Q. From you to SkyTel?  10:51 18 A. Yes, sir.  10:51 19 Q. Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those  10:51 20 transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	they did it, why they didn't give notice to anybody,	10:50 10		others, discussions about business matters, and not
I guess my question, I had two discreet questions.  Okay.  10:50 13  fact that it involved discussions about City business.  10:50 14  Q. Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th,  2000 letter?  10:51 15  2000 letter?  10:51 16  A. Yes, sir.  Maybe.  Did you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic files of text messages or retain paper copies of text  messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of  10:51 20  Transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	there were a whole lot of issues with respect to this	10:50 11		only that, but personal matters, as well. But I
Okay.  10:50 14 Q. Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th,  10:51 15 2000 letter?  10:51 16 A. Yes, sir.  Maybe.  10:51 17 Q. From you to SkyTel?  Did you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic files of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages of the mayor?  10:51 20 previously, I believe the content of those  10:51 21 transmissions are the property of the customer and as  this data in their system.	company. I think still remain.	10:50 12		but the one of the concerns, I believe, was the
I'll put them together but we can split them up if it's easier for you?  Maybe.  Did you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic files of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of this data in their system.  10:51 15 2000 letter?  A. Yes, sir.  10:51 18 A. Yes, sir.  10:51 19 Q. Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	I guess my question, I had two discreet questions.	10:50 13		fact that it involved discussions about City business.
it's easier for you?  Maybe.  Did you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic files of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of this data in their system.  10:51 16 A. Yes, sir.  10:51 18 A. Yes, sir.  10:51 19 Q. Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	Okay.	10:50 14	Q.	Okay, if you look at FREEP 706, it's a November 27th,
Maybe.  Did you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic files of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of this data in their system.  10:51 17 Q. From you to SkyTel?  10:51 18 A. Yes, sir.  10:51 19 Q. Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	I'll put them together but we can split them up if	10:51 15		2000 letter?
Did you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic files of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of this data in their system.  10:51 18 A. Yes, sir. 10:51 19 Q. Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	it's easier for you?	10:51 16	A.	Yes, sir.
files of text messages or retain paper copies of text messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of this data in their system.  10:51 19 Q. Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed previously, I believe the content of those transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	Maybe.	10:51 17	Q.	From you to SkyTel?
messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of this data in their system.  10:51 20 previously, I believe the content of those transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	Did you ask SkyTel to destroy either the electronic	10:51 18	A.	Yes, sir.
messages of the mayor?  I asked about the destruction of documents of of this data in their system.  10:51 20 previously, I believe the content of those transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	files of text messages or retain paper copies of text	10:51 19	Q.	Paragraph 3 of that letter says as we discussed
I asked about the destruction of documents of of this data in their system.  10:51 21 transmissions are the property of the customer and as such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their		10:51 20		previously, I believe the content of those
this data in their system.  10:51 22 such must be deemed or otherwise disabled upon their	I asked about the destruction of documents of of	1		
		1		· · ·
	•			

10:48 14 A. Okay. 10:48 15 I'll put them together but we can 10:48 16 it's easier for you? 10:48 17 Maybe. A. 10:48 18 Q. Did you ask SkyTel to destroy eith 10:48 19 files of text messages or retain pa 10:48 20 messages of the mayor? 8 21 I asked about the destruction 10:48 22 this data in their system. 10:48 23 Q. Okay. I understand your answer, your answer is you 10:48 24 asked about the process. I'm asking if you asked them 10:48 25 to destroy the electronic piles files or the retained 11 of 33 sheets

10:51 25 Did you make any such requests to SkyTel? Page 41 to 44 of 100

Mm-hmm.

10:51 24

1 10:46

2

3 10:46

5 ıU:46

6

8 10:46

10:46

10:46

10:46 7

10:46 9 Q.

10:46 10

10:46 11

10:46 12

10:46 13

10:46 14

10:46 15

10:46 16

10:47 17

10:47 18

10:47 19

10:47 20

10:47 21

10:47 22

10:47 23

10:47 24

∵^:47 **25** 

1

3

5

7

8

9

Q.

10:47

10:47

10:47

10:47

10:47

10:48

10:48

10:48

10:48 10:48 10

10:48 11

10:48 12

10:48 13

Q.

5 4

ſ <del></del>			1			
		45				47
10:51 <b>1</b>		You know.	10:54			enclosure, that's in the fourth paragraph?
10:51 2		On behalf of the mayor?	10:54	2	_	Yes.
10:51 3		I don't recall that I did. Again, I was trying to	10:54	3	Q.	Unless it's out of order here, I don't see an
1 4	_	find out what the process was for for either	10:54			enclosure that follows the end of this particular
i0:51 <b>5</b>		destruction by virtue of their own document	10:54	5	_	November 27th, 2007 memo.
10:51 6	_	destruction policy which I understand they didn't,	10:54	6	_	Oh, that
10:51 7		whether there was a possibility for destruction by	10:54	7	_	Is that
10:51 8		request of the customer, whether this this	10:54	8	Α.	That is okay. In trying to figure out in the
10:51 9		information, whether the customer's privacy in their	10:54			interim before we could figure out whose rights
10:51 10		own efforts to maintain this stuff, whatever business	10:54			prevailed with respect to these documents, I was
10:51 11		reasons they had, had anything had any	10:55			looking for some way to assure that their process,
10:52 12	-	consideration.	10:55			whatever process they had, would not allow what had
10:52 13		Again, I don't know that I certainly	10:55			happened to happen again. Not not only at this
10:52 14		looking towards it being destroyed, I don't know that	10:55			point was I I satisfied that that SkyTel had
10:52 15		I made the actual request for it, and I'm not sure	10:55			provided Mr. Stefani records to which he was not
10:52 <b>16</b>		that I it seems to me that a part of the concern in	10:55			entitled and were in violation of Court orders, I was
10:52 17		my question in responding to your question is I	10:55			also starting to process that they were in violation
10:52 18		understood that some of this information, a specific	10:55			of federal law in having done so, but my my
10:52 19		request for for deletion of this information may	10:55			immediate concern was trying to make sure that they
10:52 20		have had to come from the corporation counsel. And I	10:55			didn't do this again, so and I our
10:52 21		wasn't sure about whether or not that was the case or	10:55			conversations, my conversations with Ms. Mullins, she
10:52 22		whether specific individuals like the mayor or Ms.	10:55			came up with this idea that, well, you know, they
10:52 23		Beatty or others who had been assigned phones could	10:55			could provide the special thing for us where they
10:52 24		make that request. So this was this was kind of	10:56			would, in fact, flag the accounts and and to notify
52 <b>25</b>		early on and I was kind of feeling my way through	10:56	25		us if there were any requests for documents in a civil
T		46	40.50	4		48
10:53 1		this.				
1000				1		matter relating to any of the City accounts that were
10:53 2		Somewhere in this, I found out about this	10:56	2		listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705,
10:53 3		Somewhere in this, I found out about this Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was	10:56 10:56	2	0	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.
10:53 <b>3</b> 10:53 <b>4</b>		Somewhere in this, I found out about this Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but	10:56 10:56 10:56	2 3 4	Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706
10:53 <b>3</b> 10:53 <b>4</b> 10:53 <b>5</b>	Q.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56	2 3 4 5		listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?
10:53 <b>3</b> 10:53 <b>4</b> 10:53 <b>5</b> 10:53 <b>6</b>	Q.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty,	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56	2 3 4 5 6	Q. A.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those
10:53 <b>3</b> 10:53 <b>4</b> 10:53 <b>5</b> 10:53 <b>6</b> 10:53 <b>7</b>	Q.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56	2 3 4 5 6 7		listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her
10:53 <b>3</b> 10:53 <b>4</b> 10:53 <b>5</b> 10:53 <b>6</b> 10:53 <b>7</b> 10:53 <b>8</b>	Q.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Α.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.
10:53 <b>3</b> 10:53 <b>4</b> 10:53 <b>5</b> 10:53 <b>6</b> 10:53 <b>7</b> 10:53 <b>8</b> 10:53 <b>9</b>	Q. A.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with I think my answer is the same.	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've
10:53 <b>3</b> 10:53 <b>4</b> 10:53 <b>5</b> 10:53 <b>6</b> 10:53 <b>7</b> 10:53 <b>8</b> 10:53 <b>9</b> 10:53 <b>10</b>	Q. A. Q.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing
10:53 <b>3</b> 10:53 <b>4</b> 10:53 <b>5</b> 10:53 <b>6</b> 10:53 <b>7</b> 10:53 <b>8</b> 10:53 <b>9</b> 10:53 <b>10</b>	Q. A. Q. A.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same I don't recall making a specific request to destroy	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've
10:53 <b>3</b> 10:53 <b>4</b> 10:53 <b>5</b> 10:53 <b>6</b> 10:53 <b>7</b> 10:53 <b>8</b> 10:53 <b>9</b> 10:53 <b>10</b> 10:53 <b>11</b>	Q. A. Q. A.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same I don't recall making a specific request to destroy these records, I think my inquiry was about what the	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing Yes.
10:53	Q. A. Q. A.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with I think my answer is the same.  Same I don't recall making a specific request to destroy these records, I think my inquiry was about what the process could be, certainly certainly I was looking	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing Yes.  you correct me if I'm wrong, that the text messages
10:53	Q. A. Q. A.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same I don't recall making a specific request to destroy these records, I think my inquiry was about what the process could be, certainly certainly I was looking towards towards that end, but I don't know that I	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113	A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing Yes.  you correct me if I'm wrong, that the text messages that you were concerned of contained City business or
10:53	Q. A. Q. A.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same I don't recall making a specific request to destroy these records, I think my inquiry was about what the process could be, certainly certainly I was looking towards towards that end, but I don't know that I ever made a specific request.	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57 10:57 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing Yes.  you correct me if I'm wrong, that the text messages that you were concerned of contained City business or personal type information is; is that a fair
10:53	Q. A. Q. A.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same I don't recall making a specific request to destroy these records, I think my inquiry was about what the process could be, certainly certainly I was looking towards towards that end, but I don't know that I	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing Yes.  you correct me if I'm wrong, that the text messages that you were concerned of contained City business or personal type information is; is that a fair statement?
10:53	Q. A. Q. A.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same I don't recall making a specific request to destroy these records, I think my inquiry was about what the process could be, certainly certainly I was looking towards towards that end, but I don't know that I ever made a specific request.  Just so the record's clear, I was asking about your	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116	A. Q. A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing Yes.  you correct me if I'm wrong, that the text messages that you were concerned of contained City business or personal type information is; is that a fair statement?  I think I my assumption was that it probably
10:53	Q. Q. A.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same I don't recall making a specific request to destroy these records, I think my inquiry was about what the process could be, certainly certainly I was looking towards towards that end, but I don't know that I ever made a specific request.  Just so the record's clear, I was asking about your language in paragraph 3 of FREEP 706 and my question	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 11 13 14 11 15 16 17 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	A. Q. A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing Yes.  you correct me if I'm wrong, that the text messages that you were concerned of contained City business or personal type information is; is that a fair statement?  I think I my assumption was that it probably contained both.  Okay. How did you come to that conclusion?
10:53	Q. A. Q.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same I don't recall making a specific request to destroy these records, I think my inquiry was about what the process could be, certainly certainly I was looking towards towards that end, but I don't know that I ever made a specific request.  Just so the record's clear, I was asking about your language in paragraph 3 of FREEP 706 and my question about Ms. Beatty just tracked the prior question	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing Yes.  you correct me if I'm wrong, that the text messages that you were concerned of contained City business or personal type information is; is that a fair statement?  I think I my assumption was that it probably contained both.  Okay. How did you come to that conclusion?
10:53	Q. A. Q. A.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same  I don't recall making a specific request to destroy these records, I think my inquiry was about what the process could be, certainly certainly I was looking towards towards that end, but I don't know that I ever made a specific request.  Just so the record's clear, I was asking about your language in paragraph 3 of FREEP 706 and my question about Ms. Beatty just tracked the prior question regarding the mayor?	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	A. Q. A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing Yes.  you correct me if I'm wrong, that the text messages that you were concerned of contained City business or personal type information is; is that a fair statement?  I think I my assumption was that it probably contained both.  Okay. How did you come to that conclusion?  Well, you know, I this is this is a cell phone
10:53	Q. A. Q. A.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same  I don't recall making a specific request to destroy these records, I think my inquiry was about what the process could be, certainly certainly I was looking towards towards that end, but I don't know that I ever made a specific request.  Just so the record's clear, I was asking about your language in paragraph 3 of FREEP 706 and my question about Ms. Beatty just tracked the prior question regarding the mayor?  Sure.	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 11 13 11 14 11 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	A. Q. A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing Yes.  you correct me if I'm wrong, that the text messages that you were concerned of contained City business or personal type information is; is that a fair statement?  I think I my assumption was that it probably contained both.  Okay. How did you come to that conclusion?  Well, you know, I this is this is a cell phone that I have that I believe has the ability to send
10:53	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same I don't recall making a specific request to destroy these records, I think my inquiry was about what the process could be, certainly certainly I was looking towards towards that end, but I don't know that I ever made a specific request.  Just so the record's clear, I was asking about your language in paragraph 3 of FREEP 706 and my question about Ms. Beatty just tracked the prior question regarding the mayor?  Sure.  To which you gave a lengthy answer.	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	A. Q. A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing Yes.  you correct me if I'm wrong, that the text messages that you were concerned of contained City business or personal type information is; is that a fair statement?  I think I my assumption was that it probably contained both.  Okay. How did you come to that conclusion?  Well, you know, I this is this is a cell phone that I have that I believe has the ability to send messages. I send messages on it both of a business
10:53 3 10:53 4 10:53 5 10:53 6 10:53 7 10:53 8 10:53 10 10:53 11 10:53 12 10:53 13 10:53 15 10:53 16 10:53 17 10:53 18 10:53 19 10:53 20 10:53 21 10:54 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same  I don't recall making a specific request to destroy these records, I think my inquiry was about what the process could be, certainly certainly I was looking towards towards that end, but I don't know that I ever made a specific request.  Just so the record's clear, I was asking about your language in paragraph 3 of FREEP 706 and my question about Ms. Beatty just tracked the prior question regarding the mayor?  Sure.  To which you gave a lengthy answer.  The document I just looked at, FREEP 706	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing Yes.  you correct me if I'm wrong, that the text messages that you were concerned of contained City business or personal type information is; is that a fair statement?  I think I my assumption was that it probably contained both.  Okay. How did you come to that conclusion?  Well, you know, I this is this is a cell phone that I have that I believe has the ability to send messages. I send messages on it both of a business nature and of a personal nature and I assume that
10:53	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Somewhere in this, I found out about this  Stewart Communications Act, and that's when I was really very, very concerned about SkyTel, but  Okay, I have to ask you the same question I asked about the mayor, I have to ask you about Ms. Beatty, did you make any request on Ms. Beatty's behalf in connection with  I think my answer is the same.  Same  I don't recall making a specific request to destroy these records, I think my inquiry was about what the process could be, certainly certainly I was looking towards towards that end, but I don't know that I ever made a specific request.  Just so the record's clear, I was asking about your language in paragraph 3 of FREEP 706 and my question about Ms. Beatty just tracked the prior question regarding the mayor?  Sure.  To which you gave a lengthy answer.  The document I just looked at, FREEP 706  Yes.	10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:56 10:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q.	listed actually in her letter which is FREEP 705, those accounts.  Okay, so the accounts that you're referencing in 706 are the accounts listed in FREEP 705?  Right, and what I think happened was some of those accounts were simply noted as ones that I wanted her to to flag at that time.  Okay. You in some of your answers, you've indicated that you thought and I'm paraphrasing Yes.  you correct me if I'm wrong, that the text messages that you were concerned of contained City business or personal type information is; is that a fair statement?  I think I my assumption was that it probably contained both.  Okay. How did you come to that conclusion?  Well, you know, I this is this is a cell phone that I have that I believe has the ability to send messages. I send messages on it both of a business nature and of a personal nature and I assume that everybody else pretty much does the same.

r				T	~		
			49				51
	1		messaging device that text messages sent or received	11:00			nature of it was, I didn't know whether they had a
	2	_	by them were either City or personal business?	11:00	_		procedure for clients to request destruction of their
1	3	A.	By everyone who had a phone, but certainly by those	11:00			data, and I didn't know whether I had any authority to
	4	_	people, sure.	11:00	_		make these requests because I didn't know whether they
	5	Q.	Okay. It's	11:00			were phones that whether the City had to do it or
	6 -	A.	I mean it was just whether I'm right or wrong it	11:00	_	_	whether individuals had the ability to do it.
	7		was kind of a natural assumption that hey, you know,	11:00	_	Q.	Okay. Will you turn to page the last page of this
	8		people use these phones not just for business purposes	11:00	_		collective exhibit which is FREEP 709.
1	9		which I'm sure there's a lot of that, but they also	11:00		Α.	709. Yes.
10:58 10			use them to, you know, I talk to my spouse, I talk to	11:00		Q.	And that you're asking SkyTel to provide you with
10:58 <b>1</b> 1			my kids, I talk to my friends sometimes or send	11:00		_	certain things?
10:58 12	_		messages or receive messages from them on the same	11:00		Α.	Yes.
10:58 13			instrument.	11:00		Q.	Did you did SkyTel comply with that?
10:58 14	4	Q.	Okay, what I'm trying to get at is you didn't read any	11:00		Α.	No.
10:58 18			text message	11:00		_	Okay.
10:58 <b>16</b>		A.	No.	11:00		A.	I think SkyTel doesn't want to have anymore
10:58 <b>17</b>	_	Q.	to come to that conclusion? And did anyone tell	11:01			discussions at this point. And so that we can correct
10:58 18	8		you that the text messages sent or received by the	11:01			the reference the record from earlier, Moffitt is
10:58 <b>19</b>	9		mayor or Christine Beatty were, in fact, their text	11:01			spelled M-o-f-f-i-t-t and Broadnax is B-r-o-d-n-a-x
10:58 20	0		messages?	11:01			(sic), and it's LTD.
10:58 21		A.	No, I don't think I ever had that conversation.	11:01		Q.	Are you in association with them, because I noticed
10:58 22	2		What what I do recall is some I think perhaps I	11:01			this this letter to SkyTel that I've referenced?
10:58 23	3		read I don't know, I think I read a motion or	11:01	23	A.	Yes.
10:58 24			something with regard to the protective order that	11:01		Q.	At 709 is signed by you oh, it's a joint
59 <b>25</b>	5		indicated that there was there was governmental	11:01	25	Α.	Yes.
Tase !	_		50			_	52
10:59 <b>1</b>	_		communication and sensitive of a sensitive nature.	11:01	1		letter by you and Mr. Moffitt?
10:59 2	_	Q.	Okay.	11:01	2		That's correct.
10:59 3	_	A.	When I when I got the protective order that I sent	11:01	3	Q.	Okay. Do you know who Mr. Moffitt was representing in
10:59 <b>4</b>	4		to her, I think I read the motion that went to it or	11:01	4		connection with this letter, other than the mayor
10:59 5	_		something. I think that's where that language comes	11:01	5		because he signed jointly on behalf of the mayor?
10:59 <b>6</b>			from.	11:01	6	Α.	Mr. Moffitt, I believe, was retained by me.
10:59 7		Q.	Can I go back to FREEP 701	11:01	7	Q.	Not Ms. Beatty as far as you know?
10:59 8		Α.	Sure.	11:01	8	Α.	As far as I know, not Ms. Beatty.
10:59 <b>9</b>		Q.	the first letter? The second paragraph contains	11:01		Q.	, ,
10:59 10			the following sentence: This letter confirms our	11:02			as you've referred to it before?
10:59 11			discussions and my agreement to provide you with a	11:02			I do not.
10:59 12			copy of the protective order originally sent to	11:02		Q.	Okay. Now, in connection with your efforts to
10:59 13			Willard Marsden in August 2004, and to obtain specific	11:02			understand the the process by which SkyTel could or
10:59 <b>14</b>			requests for destruction to these sensitive and	11:02			could not or would or would not destroy, delete or
10:59 <b>15</b>			privileged records.	11:02			otherwise get rid of text messages?
10:59 <b>16</b>		Α.	Mm-hmm.	11:02		Α.	Mm-hmm.
10:59 <b>17</b>		Q.	Do you see that?	11:02		Q.	Did you understand the text messages that you were
10:59 18		Α.	Yes.	11:02			talking about to be those of the mayor and Ms. Beatty?
10:59 19		Q.	Can you explain what you meant by the phrase	11:02		A.	I didn't know I your question is is difficult
່ າ:59 <b>20</b>			obtain?	11:02			to answer in this only in this way. The the
/39 <b>21</b>		A.	To obtain.	11:03			document requests that they had responded to, as I
11:00 22		Q.	To obtain yes?	11:03			understood it, was a request for documents or data
11:00 23		A.	Well, because again, I didn't know what the process	11:03			relating to Ms. Beatty. What was produced as I
11:00 24			was. I didn't I didn't know whether they had a	11:03			understand it was something much broader than that,
11:00 25	5		document destruction policy, what it was, what the	11:03	25		and that included not only conversations with the

		53	T			55		
11:03 <b>1</b>		mayor but many other people. So I was really trying	11:06	1	Q.	Okay. When you had and I'll just phrase it here		
11:03 2		to understand all of that, why if they requested	11:06	2		discussions or interactions with SkyTel, I think		
11:03 3		information about Ms. Beatty's text messages,	11:06	3		you've indicated you did so in connection with		
73 4		information relating to others appears, and again, I	11:06			representing the mayor and Ms. Beatty. Did you tell		
_			11:06	5		SkyTel that you were representing the City, as well?		
11:03 <b>5</b>		use my myself kind of as a sounding board. You  know, suppose and I don't recall that I ever did, I	11:07	6	A.	No.		
			11:07 <b>7 Q</b> .					
		had had communications with Ms. Beatty, would my	11:07	_	w.	well?		
1		communications then be subject to this and then be a	11:07	9	Α.	I was not owe I was not, but it was it was		
11:03 9		part of this?	11:07		Λ.	difficult to have the discussion in in an inquiry		
11:03 10		And if so would I have had to have made a	11:07			without understanding that there were there were		
11:04 11		specific request to SkyTel to have my records of this	11:07			lots of other phones out there, and so I was really		
11:04 12		deleted? So it was it was very complicated, Mr.	11:07			again, I was kind of more gathering information to try		
11:04 13		Zuckerman, at the time, and I'm and I was really	11:07			to understand what had to be done than actually		
11:04 14		just trying to understand how to go about protecting	11:07			anything else. I wanted to first find out whether or		
11:04 15		the privacy interests of of certainly the mayor and	11:07			not they had actually produced the stuff to Mr.		
11:04 16		Ms. Beatty, but in relation to to the fact that	11:07			•		
11:04 17		this these were there were an account there	11:07			Stefani, why they had done it in the face of two		
11:04 18		were accounts for several other phones really trying	1			protective orders that said they shouldn't, how it got		
11:04 19		to ascertain whether the City in itself had to do	11:07			past them, what their procedures were, etcetera,		
11:04 20		something specific to have this data eliminated.	11:07			etcetera, and then investigating methods of deleting		
11:04 21	Q.	But but you understood that the text messages that	11:07		_	this data that seemed not to be useful.		
11:04 22		you were talking to SkyTel about?	11:07		Q.	Did you confer with City corporation counsel or		
11:04 23	Α.	Yes.	11:07			someone in that office or any lawyer representing the		
11:04 24	Q.	Were text messages of at least the mayor and Ms.	11:08			City in advance of your trips or communications with		
		Postty?	11:08	23		SkyTel?		
04 25		Beatty?						
		54				56		
11:04 <b>1</b>	Α.	54  I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited	11:08	1	Α.	56 I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may		
11:04 <b>1</b> 11:05 <b>2</b>		54  I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.	11:08 11:08	1 2	Α.	56  I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this		
11:04 <b>1</b> 11:05 <b>2</b> 11:05 <b>3</b>	Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?	11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3	Α.	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to		
11:04	Q. A.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4	Α.	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether		
11:04 <b>1</b> 11:05 <b>2</b> 11:05 <b>3</b> 11:05 <b>4</b> 11:05 <b>5</b>	Q. A. Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5		I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6		I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.		
11:04	Q. A. Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6		I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on?		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER:		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping for giving the answers or something like that, the	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm going to show you another exhibit, just you take a		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping for giving the answers or something like that, the answer is no.	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm going to show you another exhibit, just you take a look at it. This is FREEP 578 through 588 it's		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping for giving the answers or something like that, the answer is no.  Okay, did you meet with anyone in this room other than	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm going to show you another exhibit, just you take a look at it. This is FREEP 578 through 588 it's another directive this one's dated April 15th, 2008,		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping for giving the answers or something like that, the answer is no.  Okay, did you meet with anyone in this room other than the Honigman lawyers and Mr. Stewart from Butzel who	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was. Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm going to show you another exhibit, just you take a look at it. This is FREEP 578 through 588 it's another directive this one's dated April 15th, 2008, directive for the use of City of Detroit's electronic		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping for giving the answers or something like that, the answer is no.  Okay, did you meet with anyone in this room other than the Honigman lawyers and Mr. Stewart from Butzel who represents the Detroit News to discuss this	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm going to show you another exhibit, just you take a look at it. This is FREEP 578 through 588 it's another directive this one's dated April 15th, 2008, directive for the use of City of Detroit's electronic communications system.		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping for giving the answers or something like that, the answer is no.  Okay, did you meet with anyone in this room other than the Honigman lawyers and Mr. Stewart from Butzel who represents the Detroit News to discuss this deposition?	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm going to show you another exhibit, just you take a look at it. This is FREEP 578 through 588 it's another directive this one's dated April 15th, 2008, directive for the use of City of Detroit's electronic communications system.  MR. THOMAS: What number are you going to		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping for giving the answers or something like that, the answer is no.  Okay, did you meet with anyone in this room other than the Honigman lawyers and Mr. Stewart from Butzel who represents the Detroit News to discuss this deposition?  (Whereupon Mr. Morganroth enters the room	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm going to show you another exhibit, just you take a look at it. This is FREEP 578 through 588 it's another directive this one's dated April 15th, 2008, directive for the use of City of Detroit's electronic communications system.  MR. THOMAS: What number are you going to label this?		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping for giving the answers or something like that, the answer is no.  Okay, did you meet with anyone in this room other than the Honigman lawyers and Mr. Stewart from Butzel who represents the Detroit News to discuss this deposition?  (Whereupon Mr. Morganroth enters the room at 11:04 a.m.)	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm going to show you another exhibit, just you take a look at it. This is FREEP 578 through 588 it's another directive this one's dated April 15th, 2008, directive for the use of City of Detroit's electronic communications system.  MR. THOMAS: What number are you going to label this?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: 4.		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping for giving the answers or something like that, the answer is no.  Okay, did you meet with anyone in this room other than the Honigman lawyers and Mr. Stewart from Butzel who represents the Detroit News to discuss this deposition?  (Whereupon Mr. Morganroth enters the room at 11:04 a.m.)  I have met with people in this room regarding matters	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm going to show you another exhibit, just you take a look at it. This is FREEP 578 through 588 it's another directive this one's dated April 15th, 2008, directive for the use of City of Detroit's electronic communications system.  MR. THOMAS: What number are you going to label this?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: 4. MR. LIEDEL: Actually, this is two in		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping for giving the answers or something like that, the answer is no.  Okay, did you meet with anyone in this room other than the Honigman lawyers and Mr. Stewart from Butzel who represents the Detroit News to discuss this deposition?  (Whereupon Mr. Morganroth enters the room at 11:04 a.m.)  I have met with people in this room regarding matters relating to the representation of the mayor. Whether	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm going to show you another exhibit, just you take a look at it. This is FREEP 578 through 588 it's another directive this one's dated April 15th, 2008, directive for the use of City of Detroit's electronic communications system.  MR. THOMAS: What number are you going to label this?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: 4.  MR. LIEDEL: Actually, this is two in there, I believe.		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping for giving the answers or something like that, the answer is no.  Okay, did you meet with anyone in this room other than the Honigman lawyers and Mr. Stewart from Butzel who represents the Detroit News to discuss this deposition?  (Whereupon Mr. Morganroth enters the room at 11:04 a.m.)  I have met with people in this room regarding matters relating to the representation of the mayor. Whether or not certainly, the fact of the deposition have	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm going to show you another exhibit, just you take a look at it. This is FREEP 578 through 588 it's another directive this one's dated April 15th, 2008, directive for the use of City of Detroit's electronic communications system.  MR. THOMAS: What number are you going to label this?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: 4.  MR. LIEDEL: Actually, this is two in there, I believe.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What do you mean by 2? I		
11:04 1 11:05 2 11:05 3 11:05 4 11:05 5 11:05 6 11:05 7 11:05 8 11:05 10 11:05 11 11:05 12 11:05 13 11:05 14 11:05 15 11:06 16 11:06 17 11:06 18 11:06 19 14:06 20 16 21 11:06 22 11:06 23	Q. A. Q. A.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping for giving the answers or something like that, the answer is no.  Okay, did you meet with anyone in this room other than the Honigman lawyers and Mr. Stewart from Butzel who represents the Detroit News to discuss this deposition?  (Whereupon Mr. Morganroth enters the room at 11:04 a.m.)  I have met with people in this room regarding matters relating to the representation of the mayor. Whether or not certainly, the fact of the deposition have come up, the question is whether or not there was any	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:09 11:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm going to show you another exhibit, just you take a look at it. This is FREEP 578 through 588 it's another directive this one's dated April 15th, 2008, directive for the use of City of Detroit's electronic communications system.  MR. THOMAS: What number are you going to label this?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: 4.  MR. LIEDEL: Actually, this is two in there, I believe.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What do you mean by 2? I have two copies of the same thing?		
11:04	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I I'm not sure that I understood it to be limited to that.  At least the mayor and Ms. Beatty?  At least, yeah.  Did you do any preparation for today's deposition?  No.  Okay. Did you meet with anyone in advance of today's deposition to discuss the deposition?  I have met with several people the fact that I was going to be deposed has been both kind of a joke and a but in terms of preparation, in terms of prepping for giving the answers or something like that, the answer is no.  Okay, did you meet with anyone in this room other than the Honigman lawyers and Mr. Stewart from Butzel who represents the Detroit News to discuss this deposition?  (Whereupon Mr. Morganroth enters the room at 11:04 a.m.)  I have met with people in this room regarding matters relating to the representation of the mayor. Whether or not certainly, the fact of the deposition have	11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08 11:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<b>Q.</b>	I don't recall. I I know that I had or I may have had a conversation with John Johnson about this in terms of talking to about maybe they may have to make some requests of SkyTel, but I don't know whether that was before or after or when it was.  Okay.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What number are we on? MARKED BY THE REPORTER: DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4 11:08 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: I'm going to show you another exhibit, just you take a look at it. This is FREEP 578 through 588 it's another directive this one's dated April 15th, 2008, directive for the use of City of Detroit's electronic communications system.  MR. THOMAS: What number are you going to label this?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: 4.  MR. LIEDEL: Actually, this is two in there, I believe.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: What do you mean by 2? I		

Γ			Τ			
		57				59
11:09 <b>1</b>		MR. LIEDEL: and then the June 26th.	11:12			Mr. Stefani had to notify you of something or
11:09 2		MR. ZUCKERMAN: I think that's because the	11:12	2		anything?
11:09 3		June 26th, 2001 (sic), is an attachment to this, but	11:12	3	A.	I've never had a conversation with Mr. Stefani.
<b>3 4</b>		if not, I'm merely since I've already asked him	11:12	4	Q.	Okay.
1:09 5		about that I'm only asking about the April 15th, 2008,	11:12	5	A.	And I don't and I I'm certain that I didn't
11:09 6		which is 578 through and including 583.	11:12	6		respond to this because I've never I don't ever
11:09 <b>7</b>		MR. GOODMAN: June 26th, 2000?	11:12	7		recall seeing it.
11:09 8		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Yes, isn't that what I	11:12	8	Q.	Okay.
11:09 9		said?	11:12	9	A.	I'm not I guess lots of e-mails, and maybe I
11:09 10		MR. GOODMAN: You said 2001.	11:12	10		deleted that one or didn't see it, I don't know, but I
11:09 11		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Oh, I'm sorry.	11:12	11		don't recall it, I don't think I ever I'm certain
11:09 12	BY	MR. ZUCKERMAN:	11:12	12		that I didn't respond to it, and I've I don't think
11:09 13	Q.	Have you had a chance to look at that?	11:12	13		I've ever had a conversation with Mr. Stefani perhaps
11:09 14	A.	I have it in front of me, I've never seen the document	11:12	14		other than saying hello.
11:09 15		before.	11:12	15	Q.	Okay, and when I say Mr. Stefani, I
11:09 16	Q.	Okay, do you know why this was promulgated, prepared?	11:12	16	A.	Or.
11:09 17	A.	Do I have no idea. I've never seen this document	11:12	17	Q.	I mean in this context, anyone in his office?
11:09 18		before, I've never had a discussion about this	11:12	18	A.	Yeah, no.
11:10 19		document before.	11:12	19	Q.	Same answer?
11:10 20	Q.	Okay, and you've never had any discussion about the	11:12	20	A.	Same answer.
11:10 21		reasons why this document was created?	11:13	21	Q.	Okay. I think this might be our last question, so
11:10 22	A.	I don't I certainly couldn't answer that, because	11:13	22		bear with me if it's repetitive. You had you
11:10 23		I've never seen the document before, and I or the	11:14	23		stated that you had represented the mayor, or, well,
11:10 24		previous document, and I don't know what it contains	11:14	24		Ms. Beatty from October of '07 through January or '08.
10 <b>25</b>		or says.	11:14	25	A.	Only with respect to again, only with respect to my
		58			·	60
11:10 1	Q.	58 That's fine.	11:14	1		60 trying to get the information about the as it
11:10 <b>1</b> 11:10 <b>2</b>			11:14 11:14	_		
		That's fine.		2	Q.	trying to get the information about the as it
11:10 <b>2</b>		That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or	11:14	2	Q. A.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.
11:10 <b>2</b> 11:10 <b>3</b>	A.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.	11:14 11:14	2 3 4		trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.
11:10 <b>2</b> 11:10 <b>3</b> 11:10 <b>4</b>	A.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani	11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5	Α.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process,
11:10 <b>2</b> 11:10 <b>3</b> 11:10 <b>4</b> 11:11 <b>5</b>	A.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5 6	Α.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.
11:10 <b>2</b> 11:10 <b>3</b> 11:10 <b>4</b> 11:11 <b>5</b> 11:11 <b>6</b>	A. Q.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5 6 7	Α.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer
11:10 <b>2</b> 11:10 <b>3</b> 11:10 <b>4</b> 11:11 <b>5</b> 11:11 <b>6</b> 11:11 <b>7</b> 11:11 <b>8</b>	A. Q.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?
11:10 <b>2</b> 11:10 <b>3</b> 11:10 <b>4</b> 11:11 <b>5</b> 11:11 <b>6</b> 11:11 <b>7</b>	A. Q.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.
11:10 <b>2</b> 11:10 <b>3</b> 11:10 <b>4</b> 11:11 <b>5</b> 11:11 <b>6</b> 11:11 <b>7</b> 11:11 <b>8</b> 11:11 <b>9</b>	A. Q.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.
11:10 <b>2</b> 11:10 <b>3</b> 11:10 <b>4</b> 11:11 <b>5</b> 11:11 <b>6</b> 11:11 <b>7</b> 11:11 <b>8</b> 11:11 <b>9</b> 11:11 <b>10</b>	A. Q.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.
11:10 <b>2</b> 11:10 <b>3</b> 11:10 <b>4</b> 11:11 <b>5</b> 11:11 <b>6</b> 11:11 <b>7</b> 11:11 <b>8</b> 11:11 <b>9</b> 11:11 <b>10</b> 11:11 <b>11</b>	A. Q.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, pass the witness.
11:10 <b>2</b> 11:10 <b>3</b> 11:10 <b>4</b> 11:11 <b>5</b> 11:11 <b>6</b> 11:11 <b>7</b> 11:11 <b>8</b> 11:11 <b>9</b> 11:11 <b>10</b> 11:11 <b>11</b>	A. Q. A. Q.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, pass the witness.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Can we go off to change
11:10 <b>2</b> 11:10 <b>3</b> 11:10 <b>4</b> 11:11 <b>5</b> 11:11 <b>6</b> 11:11 <b>7</b> 11:11 <b>8</b> 11:11 <b>9</b> 11:11 <b>10</b> 11:11 <b>11</b> 11:11 <b>12</b> 11:11 <b>13</b>	<ul><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li></ul>	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  11:11 a.m.	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, pass the witness.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Can we go off to change the tapes?
11:10	<ul><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li></ul>	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  11:11 a.m.	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, pass the witness.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Can we go off to change the tapes?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, we're going to change
11:10	<ul><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li></ul>	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  11:11 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  It's FREEP 700, purports to be an e-mail from Stefani to wmitchell@mitchelllord.com dated January 23, 2008,	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, pass the witness.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Can we go off to change the tapes?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, we're going to change tapes.
11:10	A. Q. BY Q.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  11:11 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  It's FREEP 700, purports to be an e-mail from Stefani to wmitchell@mitchelllord.com dated January 23, 2008, at 5:40 p.m.?	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, pass the witness.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Can we go off to change the tapes?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, we're going to change tapes.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Going off the record.
11:10	A. Q. BY Q. A.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  11:11 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  It's FREEP 700, purports to be an e-mail from Stefani to wmitchell@mitchelllord.com dated January 23, 2008, at 5:40 p.m.?  Okay.	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, pass the witness.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Can we go off to change the tapes?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, we're going to change tapes.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Going off the record.  This marks the end of tape No. 1. The time is 11:13
11:10	A. Q. BY Q. A. Q.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  11:11 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  It's FREEP 700, purports to be an e-mail from Stefani to wmitchell@mitchelllord.com dated January 23, 2008, at 5:40 p.m.?  Okay.  Can you just take a look at that.	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, pass the witness.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Can we go off to change the tapes?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, we're going to change tapes.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Going off the record.  This marks the end of tape No. 1. The time is 11:13 and 31 seconds a.m.
11:10	A. Q. BY Q. A. Q.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  11:11 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  It's FREEP 700, purports to be an e-mail from Stefani to wmitchell@mitchelllord.com dated January 23, 2008, at 5:40 p.m.?  Okay.  Can you just take a look at that.  Okay.	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:15 11:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, pass the witness.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Can we go off to change the tapes?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, we're going to change tapes.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Going off the record.  This marks the end of tape No. 1. The time is 11:13 and 31 seconds a.m.  (Recess taken at 11:13 a.m.)
11:10	A. Q. BY Q. A. Q. A. Q.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  11:11 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  It's FREEP 700, purports to be an e-mail from Stefani to wmitchell@mitchelllord.com dated January 23, 2008, at 5:40 p.m.?  Okay.  Can you just take a look at that.  Okay.	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:15 11:15 11:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, pass the witness.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Can we go off to change the tapes?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, we're going to change tapes.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Going off the record.  This marks the end of tape No. 1. The time is 11:13 and 31 seconds a.m.  (Recess taken at 11:13 a.m.)  (Back on the record at 11:18 a.m.)
11:10	A. Q. BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  11:11 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  It's FREEP 700, purports to be an e-mail from Stefani to wmitchell@mitchelllord.com dated January 23, 2008, at 5:40 p.m.?  Okay.  Can you just take a look at that.  Okay.  Does that	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:15 11:15 11:15 11:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, pass the witness.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Can we go off to change the tapes?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, we're going to change tapes.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Going off the record.  This marks the end of tape No. 1. The time is 11:13 and 31 seconds a.m.  (Recess taken at 11:13 a.m.)  (Back on the record at 11:18 a.m.)  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We are back on the
11:10	A. Q. BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  11:11 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  It's FREEP 700, purports to be an e-mail from Stefani to wmitchell@mitchelllord.com dated January 23, 2008, at 5:40 p.m.?  Okay.  Can you just take a look at that.  Okay.  Does that  I don't think I've ever seen this.	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:15 11:15 11:15 11:20 11:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, pass the witness.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Can we go off to change the tapes?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, we're going to change tapes.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Going off the record.  This marks the end of tape No. 1. The time is 11:13 and 31 seconds a.m.  (Recess taken at 11:13 a.m.)  (Back on the record at 11:18 a.m.)  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We are back on the record. This starts the videotape No. 2. The time is
11:10	A. Q. BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	That's fine.  So I don't know that I had any discussions about it or not, about what's in it or not.  Okay. Did you have any agreement with Mr. Stefani that could be characterized as an obligation or duty of Mr. Stefani to notify you about any event relating to the  I had no agreements I don't think that I've ever spoken to Mr. Stefani.  Okay. Let me show you this. This is 5.  MARKED BY THE REPORTER:  DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5  11:11 a.m.  MR. ZUCKERMAN:  It's FREEP 700, purports to be an e-mail from Stefani to wmitchell@mitchelllord.com dated January 23, 2008, at 5:40 p.m.?  Okay.  Can you just take a look at that.  Okay.  Does that  I don't think I've ever seen this.  Okay. Is that your e-mail address?	11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:14 11:15 11:15 11:20 11:20 11:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. A.	trying to get the information about the as it relates to Ms. Beatty.  Yes.  Only about trying to understand the SkyTel process, what happened there.  And your representation of the mayor is over a longer period of time?  My representation of the mayor, I believe, continues.  Ah, okay.  Unless you know something that I don't.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, pass the witness.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Can we go off to change the tapes?  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay, we're going to change tapes.  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Going off the record.  This marks the end of tape No. 1. The time is 11:13 and 31 seconds a.m.  (Recess taken at 11:13 a.m.)  (Back on the record at 11:18 a.m.)  VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We are back on the record. This starts the videotape No. 2. The time is 11:18 and 34 seconds a.m.

		64	T -			63
11:20 <b>1</b>	Q.	Mr. Mitchell, my name's Bill Liedel, I'm representing	11:22	1		City Council approved a settlement in the Brown and
11:20 2	Œ.	the City, and I just want to cover a couple items I		2		Neithrop case, do you know whether your
11:20 3		think you already responded to. Number one, you were	11:22			representation of them
'0 <b>4</b>		not involved in the Brown, Nelthrop, or Harris	11:22	4	Α.	I really
1:20 5		lawsuits; is that correct?	11:22	5	Q.	commenced before or after that
11:20 6	Α.	No, in fact, while I'm trying to remember whether I	11:22	6	Α.	I really don't. I I believe it was after that
11:20 7	, ···	was in trial or otherwise engaged in something else	l	7		date, and and this is why. My recollection is I
11:20 8		when this was going on, and I do remember people	11:22	8		got a call sometime around, perhaps, the 20th or so
11:20 9		watching television and seeing things, but so I was	11:23	9		about talking to the mayor. I don't recall actually
11:20 10		aware that it was going on but not really involved or	11:23	10		doing that for about a week to ten days later. So
11:20 11		interested in it actually.	11:23	11		that's why I say the twenties, somewhere around the
11:20 12	Q.	Okay. In that regard then, you did not prepare nor	11:23	12		end of the month.
11:20 13		were involved in the negotiation of any of the	11:23	13	Q.	In the course of your representation, were you aware
11:20 14		settlement documents; is that true?	11:23	14		of the fact that the City Council had either approved
11:20 15	A.	That's absolutely true.	11:23	15		the settlement or was considering the approval of the
11:20 16	Q.	Okay, and you have you do not have any custody of	11:23	16		settlement, either one of those?
11:20 17		any settlement documents that were generated in that	11:23	17	A.	At some point, I became aware that they had
11:20 18		case; is that true?	11:23	18		approved had approved the settlement because I
11:20 19	A.	That's absolutely true.	11:23	19		was the day that the documents were exchanged was
11:20 20		MR. LIEDEL: Thank you, sir, nothing	11:23	20		the same day that the settlement was placed on the
11:21 <b>21</b>		further. Mr. Goodman, thank you.	11:23	21		record.
11:21 22		MR. GOODMAN: Oh, my pleasure.	11:23	22	Q.	Yeah, and
11:21 23		EXAMINATION	11:23	23	A.	So I, naturally, assumed that the City Council had
11:21 24	BY I	MR. GOODMAN:	11:23	24		approved the settlement.
1 · 21 <b>25</b>	Q.	Good morning, Mr. Mitchell.	11:23	25	Q.	Right. But I mean in terms of the actual event,
		0000	ļ			
		62				64
11:21 1			11:23	1		itself
	Α.	62	11:23	2	A.	itself I had no no no specific knowledge or
11:21 <b>1</b> 11:21 <b>2</b> 11:21 <b>3</b>	A. Q.	Good morning, sir, how are you?	11:23 11:23	2	Α.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.
11:21 <b>1</b> 11:21 <b>2</b> 11:21 <b>3</b> 11:21 <b>4</b>	A. Q.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but	11:23 11:23 11:23	2 3 4	A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when
11:21 <b>1</b> 11:21 <b>2</b> 11:21 <b>3</b> 11:21 <b>4</b> 11:21 <b>5</b>	A. Q. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.	11:23 11:23 11:23 11:24	2 3 4 5	Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that
11:21	A. Q. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a	11:23 11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6	Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.
11:21	A. Q. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is	11:23 11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?
11:21	A. Q. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a	11:23 11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q. A.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.
11:21	A. Q. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why	11:23 11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.
11:21	A. Q. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why  I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well	11:23 11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?
11:21	A. Q. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright
11:21	A. Q. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why  I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some contractors in they'd have somebody else to talk	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright as I can, I don't know whether whether I actually
11:21	A. Q. A. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why  I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some contractors in they'd have somebody else to talk about.	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright as I can, I don't know whether whether I actually had the conversation with him about this or whether I
11:21	A. Q. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why  I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some contractors in they'd have somebody else to talk about.  Anyway, it's a pleasure to meet you. Let me I have	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright as I can, I don't know whether whether I actually had the conversation with him about this or whether I you know, it was one of those things where I think
11:21	A. Q. A. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why  I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some contractors in they'd have somebody else to talk about.  Anyway, it's a pleasure to meet you. Let me I have a few just a few questions, also. The first is	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright as I can, I don't know whether whether I actually had the conversation with him about this or whether I you know, it was one of those things where I think I need to talk to John about this or but I I
11:21	A. Q. A. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why  I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some contractors in they'd have somebody else to talk about.  Anyway, it's a pleasure to meet you. Let me I have a few just a few questions, also. The first is that I think you indicated earlier in your	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright as I can, I don't know whether whether I actually had the conversation with him about this or whether I you know, it was one of those things where I think
11:21	A. Q. A. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why  I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some contractors in they'd have somebody else to talk about.  Anyway, it's a pleasure to meet you. Let me I have a few just a few questions, also. The first is that I think you indicated earlier in your testimony that your representation of both the mayor	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright as I can, I don't know whether whether I actually had the conversation with him about this or whether I you know, it was one of those things where I think I need to talk to John about this or but I I to the extent that some action with regard some
11:21	A. Q. A. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why  I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some contractors in they'd have somebody else to talk about.  Anyway, it's a pleasure to meet you. Let me I have a few just a few questions, also. The first is that I think you indicated earlier in your testimony that your representation of both the mayor and Ms. Beatty started at the end of October; is that	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright as I can, I don't know whether whether I actually had the conversation with him about this or whether I you know, it was one of those things where I think I need to talk to John about this or but I I to the extent that some action with regard some request with regard to action about these records had
11:21	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why  I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some contractors in they'd have somebody else to talk about.  Anyway, it's a pleasure to meet you. Let me I have a few just a few questions, also. The first is that I think you indicated earlier in your testimony that your representation of both the mayor and Ms. Beatty started at the end of October; is that correct?	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright as I can, I don't know whether whether I actually had the conversation with him about this or whether I you know, it was one of those things where I think I need to talk to John about this or but I I to the extent that some action with regard some request with regard to action about these records had to be made, it certainly was a part of my thought
11:21	A. Q. A. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why  I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some contractors in they'd have somebody else to talk about.  Anyway, it's a pleasure to meet you. Let me I have a few just a few questions, also. The first is that I think you indicated earlier in your testimony that your representation of both the mayor and Ms. Beatty started at the end of October; is that correct?  Yeah, or sometime near the end of October in the	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright as I can, I don't know whether whether I actually had the conversation with him about this or whether I you know, it was one of those things where I think I need to talk to John about this or but I I to the extent that some action with regard some request with regard to action about these records had to be made, it certainly was a part of my thought process that John might have to be involved now I
11:21	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why  I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some contractors in they'd have somebody else to talk about.  Anyway, it's a pleasure to meet you. Let me I have a few just a few questions, also. The first is that I think you indicated earlier in your testimony that your representation of both the mayor and Ms. Beatty started at the end of October; is that correct?	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright as I can, I don't know whether whether I actually had the conversation with him about this or whether I you know, it was one of those things where I think I need to talk to John about this or but I I to the extent that some action with regard some request with regard to action about these records had to be made, it certainly was a part of my thought process that John might have to be involved now I don't know whether I actually talked to him or not. I
11:21	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why  I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some contractors in they'd have somebody else to talk about.  Anyway, it's a pleasure to meet you. Let me I have a few just a few questions, also. The first is that I think you indicated earlier in your testimony that your representation of both the mayor and Ms. Beatty started at the end of October; is that correct?  Yeah, or sometime near the end of October in the twenties, I think.	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 21 22 21 22 21 22 21 21 21 21 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright as I can, I don't know whether whether I actually had the conversation with him about this or whether I you know, it was one of those things where I think I need to talk to John about this or but I I to the extent that some action with regard some request with regard to action about these records had to be made, it certainly was a part of my thought process that John might have to be involved now I don't know whether I actually talked to him or not. I know Mr. Johnson personally and I know his wife and I
11:21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some contractors in they'd have somebody else to talk about.  Anyway, it's a pleasure to meet you. Let me I have a few just a few questions, also. The first is that I think you indicated earlier in your testimony that your representation of both the mayor and Ms. Beatty started at the end of October; is that correct?  Yeah, or sometime near the end of October in the twenties, I think.  In the twenties?	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright as I can, I don't know whether whether I actually had the conversation with him about this or whether I you know, it was one of those things where I think  I need to talk to John about this or but I I to the extent that some action with regard some request with regard to action about these records had to be made, it certainly was a part of my thought process that John might have to be involved now I don't know whether I actually talked to him or not. I know Mr. Johnson personally and I know his wife and I just don't remember whether I actually discussed it
11:21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Good morning, sir, how are you?  I don't think we've ever met but  I've heard so much about you, Mr. Goodman, and actually some very good things, so it's nice to meet you.  That's a  Well, you know, in what we do, I have a friend that is a contractor, actually, I I invited him to join a club that I ran, and there were he was asked why  I was asked why I wanted him to come, I said well because I'm a lawyer and I figured if I brought some contractors in they'd have somebody else to talk about.  Anyway, it's a pleasure to meet you. Let me I have a few just a few questions, also. The first is that I think you indicated earlier in your testimony that your representation of both the mayor and Ms. Beatty started at the end of October; is that correct?  Yeah, or sometime near the end of October in the twenties, I think.  In the twenties?	11:23 11:23 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:24 11:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	itself  I had no no no specific knowledge or involvement.  And you were not present at council chambers when that  No.  occurred; is that right, sir?  No, that's correct, I was not.  You indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr.  John Johnson at some point; is that correct, sir?  I you know, I really am trying to be as forthright as I can, I don't know whether whether I actually had the conversation with him about this or whether I you know, it was one of those things where I think  I need to talk to John about this or but I I to the extent that some action with regard some request with regard to action about these records had to be made, it certainly was a part of my thought process that John might have to be involved now I don't know whether I actually talked to him or not. I know Mr. Johnson personally and I know his wife and I just don't remember whether I actually discussed it with him or not, maybe I did, maybe I didn't, but to

	<del>, _ , _ , _ , _ , _ , _ , _ , _ , _ , _</del>		65	<u> </u>			67
11:25	1		know whether the City had any specific conversation	11:28	1		between October 19th and the end of October of 2007?
11:25	_		with or Mr. Johnson had on the City's behalf of had	11:28	2	Λ	I don't I may have been aware but I'm not sure
11:25	_		any specific conversations with SkyTel or not.	11:28	_	Λ.	that I may have been aware. I mean I'm I am
5		Q.	All right. And I understand your answer to be you may	11:28	-		aware now.
, <sub>1</sub> 1:25	_	w.	or may not have had one conversation with Mr. Johnson.	11:28	5	Q.	Yes.
11:25	_	Α.	I yeah.	11:28	6		I just don't know when I became aware.
11:25	_	Q.	Were there more than one, is it possible that you had	11:28	7	Q.	
11:25	_		more than one conversation with him?	11:28	-		you or communicate with you in connection with that
11:25	_	Α.	Well, I I've had several conversations with Mr.	11:28	9		FOIA request?
11:25	-		Johnson about various things, but I this in	11:28	10	A.	Communicate with me in what regard? I mean as.
11:25			particular, I'm not sure whether I ever got to the	11:28	11	Q.	With
11:25			point where I actually I don't know whether I	11:28	12	Α.	As counsel or just
11:25	13		talked to him or not. But and and this is why I	11:28	13	Q.	In attempting to determine whether there were
11:26			say that: You know, I was kind of frustrated in my	11:28	14		settlement documents, whether you had those documents,
11:26	15		dealings with SkyTel and I didn't I didn't know	11:28	15		whether you knew anything about those documents?
11:26	16		whether the City had to make some requests or whether	11:28	16	A.	No, because I wasn't involved in the settlement at
11:26	17		the City should be involved, I was really trying to	11:28	17		all.
11:26	18		find those things out, and it's one of those things	11:28	18	Q.	I I understand
11:26	19		where I think I put a pin in it and said I need to	11:28	19	A.	Now.
11:26	20		probably talk to John about it, whether I actually did	11:28	20	Q.	and you've
11:26	21		or not, I don't know.	11:28	21	A.	No.
11:26	22	Q.	Did you ever in addition to the possibility of	11:28	22	Q.	And you've already testified to that but my question
11:26	23		conversations, did you ever do any written	11:28	23		really is whether they ever inquired of you, did you
11:26	24		communication with Mr. Johnson about these matters,	11:29	24		know anything about it?
¹ 1.26	25		memos, correspondence, anything like that?	11:29	25	A.	I can't imagine why they would.
_			66				68
11:26	1	Α.	I don't believe so.	11:29	1	Q.	All right.
11:26 11:26	1 2	Q.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department?	11:29	2	Q. A.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved
11:26 11:26 11:26	1 2 3	Q. A.	I don't believe so.  Or anyone else in the City Law Department?  I don't I don't believe so.	11:29 11:29	2		All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26	1 2 3 4	Q.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you	11:29 11:29 11:29	2 3 4		All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26	1 2 3 4 5	Q. A. Q.	I don't believe so.  Or anyone else in the City Law Department?  I don't I don't believe so.  Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29	2 3 4 5	A.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. A. Q.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back.	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29	2 3 4 5 6		All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead.	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29	2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena.	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena. And can you tell us what the nature of that	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time frame.
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27 11:27 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena. And can you tell us what the nature of that conversation was?	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time frame.  I I don't remember, and I'll give you this context.
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27 11:27 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena. And can you tell us what the nature of that conversation was? I was informed by Ms. Mullins that the Free Press had	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time frame.
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena. And can you tell us what the nature of that conversation was? I was informed by Ms. Mullins that the Free Press had filed it was actually very interesting because I'm	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time frame.  I I don't remember, and I'll give you this context.  Mr. McCargo and Mr. Copeland were able I had
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena. And can you tell us what the nature of that conversation was? I was informed by Ms. Mullins that the Free Press had filed it was actually very interesting because I'm not sure that the City even knew that the lawsuit had	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time frame.  I I don't remember, and I'll give you this context.  Mr. McCargo and Mr. Copeland were able I had several discussions with them. More so in trying to
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena. And can you tell us what the nature of that conversation was? I was informed by Ms. Mullins that the Free Press had filed it was actually very interesting because I'm not sure that the City even knew that the lawsuit had been filed. But certainly it appeared they did not	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time frame.  I I don't remember, and I'll give you this context.  Mr. McCargo and Mr. Copeland were able I had several discussions with them. More so in trying to get information about the protective orders and this
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena. And can you tell us what the nature of that conversation was? I was informed by Ms. Mullins that the Free Press had filed it was actually very interesting because I'm not sure that the City even knew that the lawsuit had	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:30 11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time frame.  I I don't remember, and I'll give you this context.  Mr. McCargo and Mr. Copeland were able I had several discussions with them. More so in trying to get information about the protective orders and this whole subpoena thing during the course of the trial
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena. And can you tell us what the nature of that conversation was? I was informed by Ms. Mullins that the Free Press had filed it was actually very interesting because I'm not sure that the City even knew that the lawsuit had been filed. But certainly it appeared they did not know that a subpoena had been issued, and I received a	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:30 11:30 11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time frame.  I I don't remember, and I'll give you this context.  Mr. McCargo and Mr. Copeland were able I had several discussions with them. More so in trying to get information about the protective orders and this whole subpoena thing during the course of the trial and all of that. There were I talked to them
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena. And can you tell us what the nature of that conversation was? I was informed by Ms. Mullins that the Free Press had filed it was actually very interesting because I'm not sure that the City even knew that the lawsuit had been filed. But certainly it appeared they did not know that a subpoena had been issued, and I received a call or an e-mail or something from Ms. Mullins	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time frame.  I I don't remember, and I'll give you this context.  Mr. McCargo and Mr. Copeland were able I had several discussions with them. More so in trying to get information about the protective orders and this whole subpoena thing during the course of the trial and all of that. There were I talked to them several times during this time period. I assumed that
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena. And can you tell us what the nature of that conversation was? I was informed by Ms. Mullins that the Free Press had filed it was actually very interesting because I'm not sure that the City even knew that the lawsuit had been filed. But certainly it appeared they did not know that a subpoena had been issued, and I received a call or an e-mail or something from Ms. Mullins indicating that there had been and I think I	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time frame.  I I don't remember, and I'll give you this context.  Mr. McCargo and Mr. Copeland were able I had several discussions with them. More so in trying to get information about the protective orders and this whole subpoena thing during the course of the trial and all of that. There were I talked to them several times during this time period. I assumed that that was the same time period that some of the
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so.  Or anyone else in the City Law Department?  I don't I don't believe so.  Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about  Let me go back.  I'm sorry, go ahead.  I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena.  And can you tell us what the nature of that conversation was?  I was informed by Ms. Mullins that the Free Press had filed it was actually very interesting because I'm not sure that the City even knew that the lawsuit had been filed. But certainly it appeared they did not know that a subpoena had been issued, and I received a call or an e-mail or something from Ms. Mullins indicating that there had been and I think I communicated that to Mr. Johnson.	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time frame.  I I don't remember, and I'll give you this context.  Mr. McCargo and Mr. Copeland were able I had several discussions with them. More so in trying to get information about the protective orders and this whole subpoena thing during the course of the trial and all of that. There were I talked to them several times during this time period. I assumed that that was the same time period that some of the settlement stuff was going on. Whether I knew that
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena. And can you tell us what the nature of that conversation was? I was informed by Ms. Mullins that the Free Press had filed it was actually very interesting because I'm not sure that the City even knew that the lawsuit had been filed. But certainly it appeared they did not know that a subpoena had been issued, and I received a call or an e-mail or something from Ms. Mullins indicating that there had been and I think I communicated that to Mr. Johnson. Were you aware of the fact that there was a pending	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.	Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time frame.  I I don't remember, and I'll give you this context.  Mr. McCargo and Mr. Copeland were able I had several discussions with them. More so in trying to get information about the protective orders and this whole subpoena thing during the course of the trial and all of that. There were I talked to them several times during this time period. I assumed that that was the same time period that some of the settlement stuff was going on. Whether I knew that there was that they were having settlement
11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:26 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27 11:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't believe so. Or anyone else in the City Law Department? I don't I don't believe so. Now, either Mr. Liedel or Mr. Zuckerman asked you about Let me go back. I'm sorry, go ahead. I do recall having a conversation with Mr. Johnson and/or one of the other people at his office about the subpoena by the Free Press of the SkyTel records, the initial subpoena. And can you tell us what the nature of that conversation was? I was informed by Ms. Mullins that the Free Press had filed it was actually very interesting because I'm not sure that the City even knew that the lawsuit had been filed. But certainly it appeared they did not know that a subpoena had been issued, and I received a call or an e-mail or something from Ms. Mullins indicating that there had been and I think I communicated that to Mr. Johnson. Were you aware of the fact that there was a pending Freedom of Information Act Request from the Free Press	11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:29 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q.	All right.  Because these were lawyers who were, in fact, involved in that litigation, so they know that I wasn't, so why would they I don't think that they inquired of me about it.  All right. And you've indicated as you just said that you were not involved in the settlement or in the drafting of any of the agreements; is that right?  I was not sir.  Were you ever shown any of the agreements?  MR. THOMAS: Objection, relevance time frame.  I I don't remember, and I'll give you this context.  Mr. McCargo and Mr. Copeland were able I had several discussions with them. More so in trying to get information about the protective orders and this whole subpoena thing during the course of the trial and all of that. There were I talked to them several times during this time period. I assumed that that was the same time period that some of the settlement stuff was going on. Whether I knew that there was that they were having settlement discussions, I don't know what they were, I don't know

69	71
11:30 1 disingenuous in that I wasn't aware that something was	11:33 <b>1</b> MR. THOMAS: Your microphone you are on
11:30 2 going on, it just I wasn't a part of it.	11:33 <b>2</b> an electronic tether.
11:31 <b>3</b> BY MR. GOODMAN:	11:33 <b>3</b> MR. GOODMAN: It's going to happen sooner
M <b>4 Q.</b> Okay.	11:33 <b>4</b> or later, might as well be now.
17:31 <b>5</b> A. Is that	11:33 <b>5</b> BY MR. GOODMAN:
11:31 <b>6 Q.</b> The question really was whether you were shown any of	11:33 <b>6 Q.</b> Whether that assumption was based on something
11:31 <b>7</b> these documents.	11:33 <b>7</b> somebody told you or not, you can't say at this time?
11:31 8 A. I don't and I just don't remember that.	11:33 8 A. I can't really say, I mean Mr. Goodman I was aware
11:31 <b>9 Q.</b> And just as to a follow up of that, and really we are	11:33 9 that they had been trying to they had been trying
11:31 <b>10</b> getting near the end of this line of questioning, all	11:33 10 to settle the case, I was not involved in that. I was
11:31 11 my questions, but were you ever told that there was	11:33 11 really more interested in whether Mr. Stefani had
11:31 <b>12</b> confidentiality agreement that the parties had agreed	11:33 12 gotten the records, how he had gotten them, what
11:31 13 upon and that there was to be no disclosure to any	11:33 13 SkyTel was doing, whether or not they were aware of
11:31 <b>14</b> third persons regarding the text messages, the context	11:34 14 these protective orders and how they got and
11:31 <b>15</b> of the text messages, and when I say the text	11:34 15 whether or not this was going to be happening again.
11:31 <b>16</b> messages, I mean those between the mayor and	11:34 16 What was going on with regard to the Brown
11:31 <b>17</b> Ms. Beatty.	11:34 17 and Nelthrop case was going on without me, I wasn't a
11:31 <b>18</b> A. I don't I don't	11:34 18 part of that, though I had conversations with some of
11:31 <b>19</b> MR. MORGANROTH: Objection to form and	11:34 19 the lawyers who were, so it's hard to separate what
11:31 <b>20</b> foundation.	11:34 20 for my own legal mind I deduced or whether or not
11:31 21 A. I don't recall well, I didn't see such a document.	11:34 <b>21</b> somebody said something that made me think or or
11:31 22 I know that there was some agreement because as a	11:34 <b>22</b> not.
11:31 23 result of that agreement, I was placed in a position	11:34 23 Q. And by some of the lawyers, you mean Mr. McCargo
11:31 24 of receiving some documents.	11:34 <b>24</b> A. Mr. McCargo, Mr
32 25 BY MR. ZUCKERMAN:	11:34 <b>25 Q.</b> Mr. Copeland?
70	72
11:32 <b>1 Q.</b> Yeah.	11:34 <b>1</b> A Copeland.
11:32 2 A. But you know, what the context or the the specifics	11:34 <b>2 Q.</b> And Ms. Osumwade?
11:32 <b>3</b> of the agreement were, I don't know. I didn't know.	11:34 <b>3</b> A. Yes.
11:32 4 I may have seen subsequently	11:34 <b>4 Q.</b> Mr. Johnson?
11:32 <b>5 Q.</b> Then you were never told that there was to be no	11:34 <b>5</b> A. Not so much.
11:32 <b>6</b> disclosure of this confidentiality agreement to the	11:34 <b>6 Q.</b> Okay, and Mr. Stefani, you've already described your
11:32 <b>7</b> Detroit City Council; is that correct?	11:34 <b>7</b> interactions with him?
11:32 8 A. I was under the impression, I'm not sure that I	11:34 8 A. I don't I really don't know Mr. Stefani. I have
11:32 9 wasn't told whether I was told or whether I in my	11:34 <b>9</b> met him maybe once or twice.
11:32 10 own process determined that these documents were being	11:34 10 Q. Okay. I'm going to have an exhibit marked and ask you
11:32 <b>11</b> exchanged, my I assume, I believe that they were	11:35 11 to take a quick look at it here.
11:32 12 being exchanged for the purpose of keeping them	11:35 12 COURT REPORTER: This will be No. 6.
11:32 <b>13</b> private.	11:35 13 MARKED BY THE REPORTER:
11:32 <b>14</b> MR. THOMAS: Objection as to assumption.	11:35 14 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6
11:32 15 A. Yeah, but I don't know that anybody actually told me	11:35 <b>15</b> 11:35 a.m.
11:32 16 that but why else would you do that.	11:35 <b>16</b> MR. LIEDEL: What number?
11:32 <b>17</b> BY MR. GOODMAN:	11:35 <b>17</b> COURT REPORTER: No. 6.
11:32 <b>18 Q.</b> My question went specifically to the Detroit	11:36 <b>18</b> A. Yes, sir.
11:32 19 A. Whether somebody told me and I don't know the answe	11:36 <b>19</b> BY MR. GOODMAN:
'1:32 <b>20</b> to that. I they may have, but I it seems to me	11:36 <b>20 Q.</b> You've had a chance to look at this?
/33 21 that I just came to the conclusion that this was an	11:36 <b>21</b> A. I have.
11:33 <b>22</b> effort to keep this information private.	11:36 <b>22 Q.</b> Do you remember it or?
11:33 23 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Your microphone	11:36 23 A. Do I remember the e-mail? No.
11:33 <b>24</b> BY MR. GOODMAN:	11:36 <b>24 Q.</b> Do you remember receiving
1	
11:33 <b>25 Q.</b> And as such	11:36 <b>25</b> A. I remember the time, I remember the substance, but I

			T			
		73				75
11:36 <b>1</b>		specifically receiving this e-mail, no or a copy of	11:38			EXAMINATION
11:36 2		it, I guess I was copied.	11:38	2	_	MR. THOMAS:
11:36 3			11:38		Q.	Briefly, Mr. Mitchell, what is your practice at this
3 <b>4</b>		apparently Mr. Moffitt received copies of these papers	11:38			point in time?
11:36 5		for in connection with the summary disposition	11:39	_	A.	I'm not sure what you mean what is my practice. I've
11:36 6	_	motions in the Brown Nelthrop and Harris cases?	11:39			been practicing nearly 30 years or about that, my
11:36 7		•	11:39	_		practice has involved product liability, it has
11:36 8		document.	11:39			involved transactional work, it has involved military
11:36 <b>9</b>		Did you ever receive those papers?	11:39	_		law, it has involved civil cases of various sorts. I
11:36 10		I am certain that I did at some point.	11:39			have been involved in contract litigation. My
11:36 <b>11</b>		Did you review them?	11:39			background is fairly varied. And my practice, tends
11:36 <b>12</b>		The the summary disposition motions?	11:39			to be so, as well.
11:37 13	Q.	Yeah.	11:39		Q.	Would you would you characterize your practice as a
11:37 <b>14</b>	A.	I no, I did not.	11:39			criminal defense practice or would it be a general
11:37 <b>15</b>		And what was your purpose in securing these motions in	11:39			practice?
11:37 <b>16</b>		February, 2008?	11:39		A.	I would characterize it more as a general practice. I
11:37 <b>17</b>	A.	Oh, that's I think that's attorney privileged	11:39			mean some of my I I have been involved in
11:37 <b>18</b>		information.	11:39			municipal representing municipalities. I've
11:37 <b>19</b>	Q.	Okay. All right.	11:39	19		represented the City of Flint I've represented the
11:37 20		I mean clearly, we were working this was working on	11:40	20		City of Warren, the mayors of both. So I don't know
11:37 21		the case.	11:40			what you'd call my practice.
11:37 22	Q.	I yes. Finally, and this is really the last, I'm	11:40	22	Q.	Well, I'd like to know whether or not you you would
11:37 23		interested you indicated that you had represented	11:40	23		call it a criminal defense practice?
11:37 <b>24</b>		the City or been involved with the City of Detroit as	11:40		A.	I would not.
37 <b>25</b>		co council with Miller Canfield	11:40	25	Q.	All right.
		74		_		76
11:37 <b>1</b>	A.	Miller Canfield.	11:40	1	A.	I would not limit it to that, as well I am involved in
11:37 <b>2</b>	Q.	in the GDRRA matter?	11:40	_		personal injury as well so.
11:37 <b>3</b>	A.	In the GDRRA I may have had I know I consulted	11:40	_	Q.	When you were contacted by the parties who you ended
11:37 4		but this is some years ago, and I don't recall I may	11:40	4		up representing in this case, was there a pending
11:37 5		have had one case where I consulted with the law	11:40	5		criminal action?
11:37 6		department on something, but it was not anywhere near	11:40	6	A.	There was not.
11:37 <b>7</b>		any of this, it was maybe some years ago.	11:40		Q.	When was it that you first found out that there was a
11:38 8		Were you bond counsel or underwriter counsel?	11:40			possibility that
11:38 <b>9</b>		No, I think it was there was some litigation or	11:40		A.	Sometime in 2008, but sometime in 2008.
11:38 10		something, that I was asked to take a look at, and	11:40		Q.	Would it be a fair characterization that your
11:38 11		I and I did, and I just don't recall	11:40			correspondence with SkyTel and your contacts with Ms.
11:38 12		And currently, you have no you are not representing	11:40			Mullins were were not related to any potential
11:38 13		anybody in connection with any City of Detroit bonds	11:40		_	criminal case?
11:38 <b>14</b>		at the present time?	11:40		A.	Absolutely, that's a fair statement. At the at the
11:38 <b>15</b>	A.	I'm sorry?	11:41			time this was going on, my biggest concern was how
11:38 16		Do you represent are you underwriter counsel or	11:41			did I didn't I don't think anyone actually
11:38 <b>17</b>		bond counsel in nay matters for the City of	11:41			believed that Mr. Stefani had these records because it
11:38 <b>18</b>		I'm not bond counsel, I have been co bond counsel in	11:41			seemed it seemed improbable that a company as large
11:38 <b>19</b>		some cases.	11:41			as SkyTel had made such a huge error, and so really my
38 20		But not currently?	11:41			concern was whether he'd gotten these documents and
/J8 <b>21</b>		No, not currently.	11:41			whether they were going to be in the paper somewhere.
11:38 22		MR. GOODMAN: All right, that's all I have,	11:41			I didn't I wasn't involved in
11:38 23		thank you very much.	11:41			the in the civil case, didn't know anything about
11:38 <b>24</b>		MR. STEWART: I have no questions on behalf	11:41			whether or not there was any any issue from a
11:38 25	;	of the Detroit News, thank you.	11:41	25		criminal standpoint, and I I guess I at the time I  07/09/2008 03:01:11 PM

			т	·		70
144.44		77	11:44	4		79 this on the record is I heard the rumblings and
11:41 1		would have assumed that if there had been, Mr. Stefani	11:44	_		mumblings, there was everything had been signed off
	-	would have would have brought some motion or done	11:44	_		and all the parties agreed and the lawyers seemed to
1		something since he had, I guess, the opportunity to	11:44			be at a loss for why it was necessary to do it in the
		review it, but my concern really was what was what	11:44	_		manner that the judge was insisting that it be done,
		was going on with these records and how if he'd gotten	11:44	_		but and it was it was brief. It took five
11:42 <b>6</b>		them, how he'd gotten them and what was SkyTel doing.	11:44	_		minutes to to he asked whether or not a
		3	11:44	_		settlement had occurred. He asked what was the
11:42 8		conversations with the prosecutor's office?	11:44	_		amount, all of these things, I think, had been placed
11:42 <b>9</b>			11:44	_		in the paper already, in fact. Can
11:42 10			11:44		Q.	Can you fix a date on that?
11:42 12		•	11:44		<b>α</b> . Α.	I believe it was December 6th, it's either December
11:42 13		Did you have an attorney with you at the time that you went to the prosecutor's office?	11:44		Α.	6th and 11th and I'm not sure which but I tend to
11:42 14		•	11:45			think it was December 6th, but after the settlement
11:42 14			11:45			was placed on the record, the judge asked the parties
		, , ,	11:45			the the plaintiffs, to come to go back with him
11:42 16			11:45			in chambers, and he was having some discussion with
11:42 17	_	•	11:45			them back there. He didn't invite any of the lawyers
11:42 18		disclosure with them as you have done here?	11:45			defense lawyers he didn't ask whether the defense
11:42 19		•	11:45			lawyers wanted to participate or had any objection to
11:42 20		,	11:45			them talking to him. Mr. Stefani and the two
11:42 21		with Mr. Zuckerman to the effect that you had received	11:45			plaintiffs went back to the judge's chambers, while
11:42 22		documents at Comerica Bank.	11:45			they were there, someone else of the judge's staff
11:42 23			11:45			came out and told one of the Free Press reporters, I
11:42 <b>24</b>			11:45			believe it wasn't Schaefer, it was
42 23		. I was in court.	11.40			80
11:43 <b>1</b>	( Q	•	11:45	1	Q.	You dropped your voice it wasn't Mr. Schaefer?
11:43 2			11:45	2	A.	It wasn't Mr. Schaefer, it was I'm terrible with
11:43 3		Recorder's Court or Frank Murphy Hall of Justice,	11:45	3		names now, the other his partner.
11:43 4		Judge Callahan's courtroom.	11:45	4	Q.	Would that be M.L. Elrick?
11:43 5			11:46	5	A.	Elrick, not to leave because the judge was going to
11:43 6	;	Division?	11:46	6		talk to him next.
11:43 7	' A	. That's correct.	11:46	7	Q.	And this is still while Stafani's in the back?
11:43 8			11:46	8	A.	This is still while Stafani and the two plaintiffs,
11:43 9			11:46	9		Mr. Nelthrop and Mr. Brown, were in the back.
11:43 10			11:46	10	Q.	When you say Stafani, there's Michael Stefani and his
11:43 <b>11</b>	l	the judge and the parties in this matter that were on	11:46	11		and his son. Are you talking about
11:43 12		the record?	11:46	12	A.	Mr Mr. Stefani, Sr.
11:43 13			11:46	13	Q.	Now was there anyone else besides Mr. Stefani from the
11:43 14			11:46	14		plaintiff's team that went back there?
11:43 15			11:46	15	A.	Only the two plaintiffs, themselves.
11:43 16		And subsequent to that, was there or did there appear	11:46	16	Q.	All right. And can you describe the woman that came
11:43 <b>17</b>		to be an off-the-record conversation between the judge	11:46			out and gave you that message?
11:43 18		and any other parties?	11:46	18	A.	I don't know, it was one of the it was I'd like
11:43 19			11:46	19		to say it was the judge's clerk, but I'm not sure
1:43 20		reason gave some reason for pause and one one of	11:46	20		whether it was the clerk or the secretary, it was a
/i3 <b>21</b>		the things that subsequently led me to being even more	11:46	21		part clearly a part of the judge's staff.
11:43 22		concerned about the press getting a hold of this: The	11:46		Q.	Was there a white female with silver hair?
11:44 23		judge at the conclusion of put first of all, no one	11:46			MR. ZUCKERMAN: Can I interrupt for a
11:44 24		seemed to understand why the Court was insisting upon	11:46			second? Can you explain how this leads to the
1		the parties all the parties coming in and putting	11:46	25		discovery of documents?
11:44 25						

			1	<u></u>		83
		81	44.40	4		settlement occurred, they were going to meet at the
11:46 <b>1</b>		MR. THOMAS: It leads to the discovery of	11:49	_		
11:46 2		documents the same way as your questions about the	11:49			bank, AND so I didn't know how long it was going to
11:47 3		settlement relates to it.	11:49	_		be, and so I decided to go to the Court and then walk
7 4		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Well, we were told not to	11:49	4		over to the bank, they're really across the street
11:47 5		go into that anymore. I'd like to know how this line	11:49	_		from each other, sort of.
11:47 6		of questions does anything other than to try to	11:49	6		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay. No further
11:47 <b>7</b>		develop a cause of action separate from this case.	11:49	_		questions.
11:47 8		MR. THOMAS: Do you have an objection?	11:49	8		MR. STEWART: I have one or two.
11:47 9		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Yes.	11:49	9		MR. THOMAS: I'm going to pass this under
11:47 10		MR. THOMAS: Objection noted.	11:49			the table.
11:47 <b>11</b>		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Okay.	11:49			EXAMINATION
11:47 <b>12</b>	BY	MR. THOMAS:	11:49			MR. STEWART:
11:47 13	Q.	Go ahead.	11:49			Mr. Mitchell, I'm Jim Stewart from the Detroit News.
11:47 <b>14</b>	A.	You know, I just my recollection is that I was I	11:49		_	Yes, sir.
11:47 <b>15</b>		was kind of shocked by the whole thing, and I was	11:49		Q.	You indicated, I think earlier, you had not seen the
11:47 16		wondering, you know, in my experience, a judge	11:49			so-called Stefani motion as we've come to refer to it?
11:47 <b>17</b>		would would say, you know, defense counsel, I'd	11:49			I never did, yes.
11:47 18		like to have some conversation with with the	11:49		Q.	Okay. When did you have you ever learned what was
11:47 19		plaintiffs or whatever, I'm goaling going to talk to	11:49	19		in it?
11:47 20		them about X, would you you're invited to come or	11:49	20	A.	I I've never seen it. And the only discussions
11:47 <b>21</b>		do you have any objection to my doing so? If so, I	11:50			were very general in nature, so I I I
11:47 22		won't or your I mean he just told them to come back	11:50	22		understood that there was there were things in it
11:47 23		to his chambers, and then the next thing I know I'm	11:50	23		that were, perhaps, embarrassing and people who were
11:47 24		still standing there and you know I'm kind of milling	11:50	24		discussing it were sort of embarrassed to discuss it,
47 25		around, I'm kind of looking kind of thinking well	11:50	25		so you know, it's I I never I never saw it
		82				84
11:48 <b>1</b>		what's this all about, and a couple minutes later,	11:50	1		and no one ever discussed with me the absolute
11:48 <b>2</b>		this lady comes out and tells Mr. Elrick not to leave	11:50	_	_	specifics of it.
11:48 <b>3</b>		because the judge is going to talk to him next.	11:50		Q.	Did anybody ever discuss with you the fact that
11:48 4	Q.	What did you see then next?	11:50			Mr. Stefani at least was alleging in that motion that
11:48 5	A.	Mr. Elrick stayed stayed still, and he	11:50			the text messages he had demonstrated that the mayor
11:48 6		acknowledged, as I recall, her saying it, and he	11:50	_		had lied under oath.
11:48 7		remained there.	11:50	7		MR. MORGANROTH: Objection to foundation
11:48 8	Q.	And then after that, did you remain in the courtroom?	11:50			and form also
11:48 9	A.	No, I left.	11:50	9	Α.	You know, I don't recall that, but you know, it seemed
11:48 10	Q.	Did you see Mr. Elrick or Mr. Schaefer go into the	11:51			to me that if Mr. Stefani and perhaps you know better
11:48 <b>11</b>		judge's chambers?	11:51			than me Mr. Stewart, if Mr. Stefani had had had
11:48 <b>12</b>	A.		11:51			actually had the text messages and he had conducted
11:48 13		MR. THOMAS: I have nothing further.	11:51			the trial and conducted the examination and he
11:48 <b>14</b>		Anyone else?	11:51			believed that there was perjury, it seemed to me that
11:48 <b>15</b>		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Yeah, let me ask a	11:51			it was his obligation to notify the Court and or the
11:48 <b>16</b>		question.	11:51			prosecutor and/or the bar, etcetera. He did none of
11:48 <b>17</b>		EXAMINATION	11:51			that to my knowledge. To my knowledge, what he did
11:48 18	BY	MR. ZUCKERMAN:	11:51			was put it in the so-called motion to extract a
11:48 19	Q.	You went through a discussion of what you observed	11:51			settlement.
1 11:48 20		that day in court.	11:51		_	MR. STEWART:
/48 <b>21</b>	A.	Yes.	11:51			Okay, when
11:48 22	Q.	Why were you there?	11:51		A.	But I don't but I don't know whether that was in
11:48 23	A.	To observe.	11:51			there or not. I don't.
11:48 <b>24</b>	Q.	Why?	11:51		Q.	
11:48 <b>25</b>	A.	Because I'd been I'd been told that after the	11:51			it in that motion? 07/09/2008 03:01:11 PM

nnnaen

1			Т			
		85		_		87
11:51 <b>1</b>		MR. MORGANROTH: Objection, form and	11:54	_		MR. MORGANROTH: Objection to form and
11:51 <b>2</b>		foundation.	11:54			foundation.
11:51 3	A.	I just said I don't know whether he put it in that	11:54			MR. THOMAS: The reason why I'm going to
1 4		motion or whether he put that language in the	11:54	4		object to the form of the question is he said it was
1:51 5		motion, I understand that there were alleged to be	11:54	5		an assumption and now it's a belief.
11:52 6		excerpts of text messages in that motion, but I don't	11:54			MR. GOODMAN: No, he's testified that it
11:52 7		know, I never saw the motion, nobody ever gave me any	11:54	7		was
11:52 8		point by point. All I understood from the motion was	11:54	_		MR. THOMAS: Well
11:52 <b>9</b>		that there was some there was something there	11:54			MR. GOODMAN: a belief.
11:52 10		were some things in there that were embarrassing in	11:54			MR. THOMAS: Based on an assumption.
11:52 <b>11</b>		nature, some statements alleged to be text messages.	11:54			MR. GOODMAN: Well, I don't I it
11:52 <b>12</b>	BY	MR. STEWART:	11:54		_	makes no difference what it was based on.
11:52 13	Q.	Embarrassing to who?	11:54		A.	Mr. Goodman, I will say this. I'm just not really
11:52 <b>14</b>		MR. MORGANROTH: Objection to foundation	11:54			comfortable saying what other people believed. I
11:52 <b>15</b>		and to form.	11:54			certainly will say that I I expressed my concerns
11:52 <b>16</b>	A.	To to I believe to be to the mayor and/or Ms.	11:54			or belief.
11:52 <b>17</b>		Beatty.	11:54		BY I	MR. GOODMAN:
11:52 <b>18</b>		MR. STEWART: Thank you very much, sir.	11:54		Q.	Let me ask you directly, and understanding that you're
11:52 19		MR. GOODMAN: I have some questions.	11:54			uncomfortable about this, but it does have some
11:52 20		RE-EXAMINATION	11:54	20		bearing upon the issues here. Did Mr. McCargo ever
11:52 21	BY	MR. GOODMAN:	11:54			express that belief to you? That that fact
11:52 22	Q.	Mr. Mitchell, I think you testified in response to	11:55		A.	Yes.
11:52 23		Mr. Thomas' questions and, more or less, in just now	11:55			Stefani didn't have it ^ ?
11:52 24		in response to Mr. Stewart's questions that you had	11:55		A.	In this when I was first brought into this and
52 <b>25</b>		assumed throughout or at least for some period of time	11:55	25		and we're discussing these things, I based upon
		9.0	1			88
		86				
11:53 <b>1</b>		that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages;	11:55	1		those discussions, I operated and believed that Mr.
11:53 <b>2</b>			11:55	2		Stefani did not have these things without saying
11:53 <b>2</b> 11:53 <b>3</b>	A.	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages;	11:55 11:55	2		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?
11:53 <b>2</b> 11:53 <b>3</b> 11:53 <b>4</b>	A.	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there	11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not
11:53 <b>2</b> 11:53 <b>3</b> 11:53 <b>4</b> 11:53 <b>5</b>	A.	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to
11:53 <b>2</b> 11:53 <b>3</b> 11:53 <b>4</b> 11:53 <b>5</b> 11:53 <b>6</b>	A.	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.
11:53 <b>2</b> 11:53 <b>3</b> 11:53 <b>4</b> 11:53 <b>5</b> 11:53 <b>6</b> 11:53 <b>7</b>	A.	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?
11:53 <b>2</b> 11:53 <b>3</b> 11:53 <b>4</b> 11:53 <b>5</b> 11:53 <b>6</b> 11:53 <b>7</b> 11:53 <b>8</b>	A.	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client
11:53	A.	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.
11:53 <b>2</b> 11:53 <b>3</b> 11:53 <b>4</b> 11:53 <b>5</b> 11:53 <b>6</b> 11:53 <b>7</b> 11:53 <b>8</b> 11:53 <b>9</b> 11:53 <b>10</b>	Α.	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.
11:53	Α.	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.
11:53		that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had them.	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.  MR. GOODMAN:  All right. Did Mr. Copeland ever express that belief
11:53	ВҮ	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had them.  MR. GOODMAN:	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.  MR. GOODMAN:  All right. Did Mr. Copeland ever express that belief to you?
11:53		that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had them.  MR. GOODMAN:  So that belief your belief that he didn't have them	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.  MR. GOODMAN:  All right. Did Mr. Copeland ever express that belief to you?  MR. THOMAS: Was Copeland representing the
11:53	ВҮ	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had them.  MR. GOODMAN:  So that belief your belief that he didn't have them was based upon what you presumed he would and should	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.  MR. GOODMAN:  All right. Did Mr. Copeland ever express that belief to you?  MR. THOMAS: Was Copeland representing the mayor?
11:53	ВҮ	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had them.  MR. GOODMAN:  So that belief your belief that he didn't have them was based upon what you presumed he would and should have done as a as a licensed attorney; is that	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.  MR. GOODMAN:  All right. Did Mr. Copeland ever express that belief to you?  MR. THOMAS: Was Copeland representing the mayor?  MR. MORGANROTH ^: City of Detroit.
11:53	BY <b>Q.</b>	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had them.  MR. GOODMAN:  So that belief your belief that he didn't have them was based upon what you presumed he would and should have done as a as a licensed attorney; is that right?	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.  MR. GOODMAN:  All right. Did Mr. Copeland ever express that belief to you?  MR. THOMAS: Was Copeland representing the mayor?  MR. MORGANROTH ^: City of Detroit.  MR. THOMAS: Is that something that's
11:53	BY <b>Q.</b>	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had them.  MR. GOODMAN:  So that belief your belief that he didn't have them was based upon what you presumed he would and should have done as a as a licensed attorney; is that right?  Absolutely.	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.  MR. GOODMAN:  All right. Did Mr. Copeland ever express that belief to you?  MR. THOMAS: Was Copeland representing the mayor?  MR. MORGANROTH ^: City of Detroit.  MR. THOMAS: Is that something that's already been established? And if not, then I say
11:53	BY <b>Q.</b>	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had them.  MR. GOODMAN:  So that belief your belief that he didn't have them was based upon what you presumed he would and should have done as a as a licensed attorney; is that right?  Absolutely.  Was that and you say there was a belief, was that	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.  MR. GOODMAN:  All right. Did Mr. Copeland ever express that belief to you?  MR. THOMAS: Was Copeland representing the mayor?  MR. MORGANROTH ^: City of Detroit.  MR. THOMAS: Is that something that's already been established? And if not, then I say  MR. GOODMAN: There's no question. He
11:53     2       11:53     3       11:53     4       11:53     5       11:53     6       11:53     7       11:53     8       11:53     10       11:53     11       11:53     12       11:53     13       11:53     14       11:53     15       11:53     16       11:53     17       11:53     18       11:53     19       11:54     20	BY <b>Q.</b> A. Q.	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had them.  MR. GOODMAN:  So that belief your belief that he didn't have them was based upon what you presumed he would and should have done as a as a licensed attorney; is that right?  Absolutely.  Was that and you say there was a belief, was that belief a belief of others	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.  MR. GOODMAN:  All right. Did Mr. Copeland ever express that belief to you?  MR. THOMAS: Was Copeland representing the mayor?  MR. MORGANROTH ^: City of Detroit.  MR. THOMAS: Is that something that's already been established? And if not, then I say  MR. GOODMAN: There's no question. He represented only the City of Detroit.
11:53	BY <b>Q.</b>	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had them.  MR. GOODMAN:  So that belief your belief that he didn't have them was based upon what you presumed he would and should have done as a as a licensed attorney; is that right?  Absolutely.  Was that and you say there was a belief, was that belief a belief of others That was my belief I don't want to really speak for	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.  MR. GOODMAN:  All right. Did Mr. Copeland ever express that belief to you?  MR. THOMAS: Was Copeland representing the mayor?  MR. MORGANROTH ^: City of Detroit.  MR. THOMAS: Is that something that's already been established? And if not, then I say  MR. GOODMAN: There's no question. He represented only the City of Detroit.  MR. THOMAS: There was a joint there was
11:53	BY <b>Q.</b> A. Q.	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had them.  MR. GOODMAN:  So that belief your belief that he didn't have them was based upon what you presumed he would and should have done as a as a licensed attorney; is that right?  Absolutely.  Was that and you say there was a belief, was that belief a belief of others  That was my belief I don't want to really speak for others but that was certainly my belief.	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.  MR. GOODMAN:  All right. Did Mr. Copeland ever express that belief to you?  MR. THOMAS: Was Copeland representing the mayor?  MR. MORGANROTH ^: City of Detroit.  MR. THOMAS: Is that something that's already been established? And if not, then I say  MR. GOODMAN: There's no question. He represented only the City of Detroit.  MR. THOMAS: There was a joint there was a joint defense in this case, and so I say to that,
11:53	BY <b>Q.</b> A. Q.	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had them.  MR. GOODMAN:  So that belief your belief that he didn't have them was based upon what you presumed he would and should have done as a as a licensed attorney; is that right?  Absolutely.  Was that and you say there was a belief, was that belief a belief of others  That was my belief I don't want to really speak for others but that was certainly my belief.  So you can't testify as to whether this was the belief	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.  MR. GOODMAN:  All right. Did Mr. Copeland ever express that belief to you?  MR. THOMAS: Was Copeland representing the mayor?  MR. MORGANROTH ^: City of Detroit.  MR. THOMAS: Is that something that's already been established? And if not, then I say  MR. GOODMAN: There's no question. He represented only the City of Detroit.  MR. THOMAS: There was a joint there was a joint defense in this case, and so I say to that, even though he is representing the City of Detroit,
11:53	BY <b>Q.</b> A. Q.	that Mr. Stefani really never had the text messages; is that right?  I think you know there was kind of a belief that he didn't have them which is why I mean I was there were two Court orders saying that this stuff had to go directly to the Court, okay? Mr. Stefani is an officer of the Court. I could not really believe that he had violated directly those orders, had requested these things from SkyTel and SkyTel having at least been served with both orders had actually given them to him, so no, I guess I didn't believe that he had them.  MR. GOODMAN:  So that belief your belief that he didn't have them was based upon what you presumed he would and should have done as a as a licensed attorney; is that right?  Absolutely.  Was that and you say there was a belief, was that belief a belief of others  That was my belief I don't want to really speak for others but that was certainly my belief.	11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55 11:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		Stefani did not have these things without saying specifically what anybody else said, is that helpful?  I'm not  MR. THOMAS: It may be, but I'm going to object to any conversations between the lawyers.  MR. GOODMAN: Based on what?  MR. THOMAS: Based on attorney-client privilege, they're both representing the mayor.  MR. MORGANROTH: Work product.  MR. GOODMAN:  All right. Did Mr. Copeland ever express that belief to you?  MR. THOMAS: Was Copeland representing the mayor?  MR. MORGANROTH ^: City of Detroit.  MR. THOMAS: Is that something that's already been established? And if not, then I say  MR. GOODMAN: There's no question. He represented only the City of Detroit.  MR. THOMAS: There was a joint there was a joint defense in this case, and so I say to that,

		89
11:56	1	MR. ZUCKERMAN: Is that in writing?
11:56	2	MR. THOMAS: Doesn't have to be.
11:56	3	MR. ZUCKERMAN: I didn't ask that. I said
6	4	is it in writing? Well, if there's a joint defense
1:56	5	agreement in writing, it ought to be produced because
11:56	6	it's been referenced before. If it's oral, it ought
11:56	7	to be stated as oral.
	8	MR. THOMAS: I don't think Mr. McCargo said
11:56	_	
11:56	9	it was in writing.
11:56		MR. ZUCKERMAN: That's what I'm asking you.
11:56		MR. THOMAS: I'm saying what he said,
11:56		because what he said in his deposition
11:56		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Oh, you don't have a copy
11:56		of one of those, do you?
11:56		MR. THOMAS: Am I on the stand?
11:56		MR. ZUCKERMAN: Well, then there's no found
11:56		there's no basis in this
11:56		MR. THOMAS: There is because a oral
11:56		^ Gentlemen
11:56		MR. THOMAS: agreement is is
11:56		entirely binding.
11:56	22	MR. ZUCKERMAN: Well, what are the terms
11:56	23	of it if you're going to rely on it?
11:56	24	MR. THOMAS: I rely on McCargo's
	25	deposition, I just want to make a record.
		90
11:56	1	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his
11:56 11:56	_	
11:56 11:56	2	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:
11:56	2	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.
11:56 11:56	2 3 4	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:
11:56 11:56 11:56	2 3 4 5	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr.
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:56	2 3 4 5 6	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall what Mr. Copeland said specifically.
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall what Mr. Copeland said specifically.  Q. How about Mr. Johnson?
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall what Mr. Copeland said specifically.  Q. How about Mr. Johnson?  A. Mr. Johnson was not a part of any discussion.
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall what Mr. Copeland said specifically.  Q. How about Mr. Johnson?  A. Mr. Johnson was not a part of any discussion.  Q. How about Ms. Colbert Osamuede?
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall what Mr. Copeland said specifically.  Q. How about Mr. Johnson?  A. Mr. Johnson was not a part of any discussion.  Q. How about Ms. Colbert Osamuede?  MR. THOMAS: Same objection.
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall what Mr. Copeland said specifically.  Q. How about Mr. Johnson?  A. Mr. Johnson was not a part of any discussion.  Q. How about Ms. Colbert Osamuede?  MR. THOMAS: Same objection.  A. I don't recall whether she was present or not.
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall what Mr. Copeland said specifically.  Q. How about Mr. Johnson?  A. Mr. Johnson was not a part of any discussion.  Q. How about Ms. Colbert Osamuede?  MR. THOMAS: Same objection.  A. I don't recall whether she was present or not.  MR. GOODMAN: That's all I have, thank you.
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall what Mr. Copeland said specifically.  Q. How about Mr. Johnson?  A. Mr. Johnson was not a part of any discussion.  Q. How about Ms. Colbert Osamuede?  MR. THOMAS: Same objection.  A. I don't recall whether she was present or not.  MR. GOODMAN: That's all I have, thank you.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Anyone else have any
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall what Mr. Copeland said specifically.  Q. How about Mr. Johnson?  A. Mr. Johnson was not a part of any discussion.  Q. How about Ms. Colbert Osamuede?  MR. THOMAS: Same objection.  A. I don't recall whether she was present or not.  MR. GOODMAN: That's all I have, thank you.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Anyone else have any questions?
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall what Mr. Copeland said specifically.  Q. How about Mr. Johnson?  A. Mr. Johnson was not a part of any discussion.  Q. How about Ms. Colbert Osamuede?  MR. THOMAS: Same objection.  A. I don't recall whether she was present or not.  MR. GOODMAN: That's all I have, thank you.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Anyone else have any questions?  MR. THOMAS: I have a question.
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 1	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall what Mr. Copeland said specifically.  Q. How about Mr. Johnson?  A. Mr. Johnson was not a part of any discussion.  Q. How about Ms. Colbert Osamuede?  MR. THOMAS: Same objection.  A. I don't recall whether she was present or not.  MR. GOODMAN: That's all I have, thank you.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Anyone else have any questions?  MR. THOMAS: I have a question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Well, wait. On the record
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall what Mr. Copeland said specifically.  Q. How about Mr. Johnson?  A. Mr. Johnson was not a part of any discussion.  Q. How about Ms. Colbert Osamuede?  MR. THOMAS: Same objection.  A. I don't recall whether she was present or not.  MR. GOODMAN: That's all I have, thank you.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Anyone else have any questions?  MR. THOMAS: I have a question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Well, wait. On the record or off?
11:56 11:56 11:56 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57 11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 12 22 3	MR. MORGANROTH: Also on the record, his assumptions are also work product under the law.  BY MR. GOODMAN:  Q. I'm asking him a question, and the question is did Mr. Copeland ever express to you the belief that, in fact, Stefani did not have these documents?  A. I I can't single out Mr. Copeland. I will say that I had discussions about it. Mr. Copeland may have been present. But I I can't single out and recall what Mr. Copeland said specifically.  Q. How about Mr. Johnson?  A. Mr. Johnson was not a part of any discussion.  Q. How about Ms. Colbert Osamuede?  MR. THOMAS: Same objection.  A. I don't recall whether she was present or not.  MR. GOODMAN: That's all I have, thank you.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Anyone else have any questions?  MR. THOMAS: I have a question.  MR. ZUCKERMAN: Well, wait. On the record or off?  MR. THOMAS: Off the record.

000462

Parallel Matheda III and a second			<del></del>	
	76:9	<b>630</b> [1] - 2:22	Act [2] - 46:3, 66:23	2:2
	<b>20th</b> [1] - 63:8	<b>660</b> [3] - 1:20, 2:7,	acted [2] - 15:9.	appeared [3] - 12:17,
	<b>225-7000</b> [1] - 2:16	5:9	15:15	24:21, 66:17
<b>'07</b> [1] - 59:24	<b>2290</b> [1] - 2:7	6th [6] - 12:16,	acting [2] - 21:5,	Appearing [6] - 2:10,
<b>'08</b> [1] - 59:24	<b>23</b> [1] - 58:16	22:21, 37:5, 79:12,	28:3	2:17, 2:25, 3:7, 3:15,
	23rd [2] - 1:20, 62:25	79:13, 79:14	action [5] - 64:16,	4:8
0	<b>2400</b> [1] - 3:12	70.10, 70.71	64:17, 64:24, 76:5,	appearing [1] - 3:22
	<b>248</b> [2] - 2:24, 4:7	7	81:7	appointment [1] -
			actual [2] - 45:15,	24:8
<b>08-100214-CZ</b> [2] -	<b>2632</b> [1] - 3:19		63:25	appreciated [1] - 7:3
1:7, 5:12	<b>26th</b> [4] - 32:5, 57:1,	<b>7</b> [2] - 10:8, 10:11	addition [1] - 65:22	approval [1] - 63:15
	57:3, 57:7	<b>700</b> [1] - 58:15	address [3] - 7:19,	approved [5] - 63:1,
1	27th [2] - 44:14, 47:5	<b>701</b> [3] - 35:12, 36:4,	58:23, 58:24	63:14, 63:18, 63:24
	<b>291-8020</b> [1] - 2:24	50:7	1	April [3] - 56:14,
4 044 040	<b>2nd</b> [1] - 36:18	<b>702</b> [3] - 36:24, 37:7,	<b>admissible</b> [1] - 88:25	1 -
<b>1</b> [8] - 8:14, 8:19,		43:4		56:24, 57:5
10:7, 10:10, 10:23,	3	<b>705</b> [2] - 48:2, 48:5	admitted [1] - 7:22	area [1] - 28:20
11:4, 11:5, 60:17		706 [4] - 44:14,	advance [2] - 54:7,	ascertain [2] - 21:15,
<b>100</b> [1] - 19:15	3 40 10:10 10:24	46:17, 46:22, 48:4	55:24	53:19
<b>1000</b> [1] - 7:20	<b>3</b> [10] - 10:10, 10:24,	<b>709</b> [4] - 35:12, 51:8,	advice [1] - 19:2	asserted [1] - 17:25
<b>10:01</b> [3] - 1:22, 5:3,	11:5, 35:11, 35:15,	51:9, 51:24	afternoon [1] - 6:23	assigned [1] - 45:23
5:8	35:19, 35:20, 44:19,	31.0, 31.24	afterwards [1] -	assistant [2] - 10:17,
<b>10:04</b> [1] - 8:20	46:17		78:19	35:8
<b>10:33</b> [1] - 32:12	<b>30</b> [1] - 75:6	9	ago [2] - 74:4, 74:7	associates [1] - 6:20
<b>11:04</b> [1] - 54:19	<b>3000</b> [1] - 4:5		agreed [2] - 69:12,	<b>Associates</b> [1] - 8:5
<b>11:08</b> [1] - 56:10	<b>31</b> [1] - 60:18	9 [2] - 1:23, 5:2	79:3	association [1] -
<b>11:11</b> [1] - 58:13	<b>313</b> [5] - 2:9, 2:16,	900 [1] - 2:14	agreement [9] -	51:21
<b>11:13</b> [2] - 60:17,	3:6, 3:14, 3:21	963-2420 [1] - 3:21	50:11, 58:4, 69:12,	assume [8] - 9:24,
60:19	<b>326</b> [1] - 11:4	1	69:22, 69:23, 70:3,	22:16, 27:14, 34:21,
<b>11:18</b> [2] - 60:20,	<b>327</b> [1] - 11:5	<b>983-4804</b> [1] - 3:14	70:6, 89:5, 89:20	40:2, 48:22, 70:11,
60:23	<b>34</b> [1] - 60:23	9th [1] - 5:7	agreements [3] -	73:7
	<b>355-3084</b> [1] - 4:7		58:8, 68:8, 68:10	assumed [7] - 12:17,
<b>11:35</b> [1] - 72:15		_ A	ahead [2] - 66:7,	39:7, 39:20, 63:23,
11th [2] - 22:21,	4		81:13	68:19, 77:1, 85:25
79:13		<b>a.m</b> [13] - 1:22, 5:3,	Alexandria [1] - 30:2	assuming [1] - 13:8
<b>12:30</b> [2] - 7:6, 7:8		5:8, 8:20, 32:12,	alleged [3] - 17:23,	assumption [6] -
<b>1394</b> [1] - 3:4	<b>4</b> [5] - 10:10, 10:25,	54:19, 56:10, 58:13,		48:16, 49:7, 70:14,
<b>150</b> [1] - 2:14	11:5, 56:9, 56:19	60:18, 60:19, 60:20,	85:5, 85:11	71:6, 87:5, 87:10
<b>1500</b> [1] - 4:5	<b>41</b> [1] - 5:8	60:23, 72:15	alleging [1] - 84:4	
<b>15th</b> [3] - 56:14,	<b>465-7000</b> [1] - 2:9	1	allow [1] - 47:12	assumptions [1] -
56:24, 57:5	<b>48067</b> [1] - 2:23	ability [3] - 41:15,	ALSO [1] - 4:10	90:2
<b>19th</b> [1] - 67:1	48075[2] - 4:6, 7:21	48:20, 51:6	amount[1] - 79:9	assure [2] - 41:5,
<b>1:30</b> [3] - 6:25, 7:1,	<b>48207</b> [1] - 3:5	<b>able</b> [4] - 25:2, 27:20,	<b>analysis</b> [1] - 28:3	47:11
7:6	<b>48226</b> [4] - 2:8, 2:15,	40:14, 68:14	<b>AND</b> [1] - 83:2	attachment [1] - 57:3
	3:13, 3:20	absolute [1] - 84:1	answer [19] - 13:10,	attempting [1] -
2	3.10, 3.20	absolutely [३] -	16:14, 17:13, 17:19,	67:13
	E	15:25, 61:15, 61:19	19:8, 20:16, 28:18,	attorney [10] - 13:6,
	5	Absolutely [4] -	42:23, 43:19, 46:9,	14:13, 28:12, 28:13,
<b>2</b> [8] - 10:10, 10:23,		25:22, 76:14, 77:19,	46:21, 52:20, 54:13,	31:10, 31:11, 73:17,
11:5, 32:8, 32:11,	<b>5</b> [5] - 10:11, 11:1,	86:18	57:22, 59:19, 59:20,	77:12, 86:16, 88:8
56:22, 60:22	11:5, 58:10, 58:12	accompany [2] -	65:4, 70:19	attorney-client [2] -
<b>2000</b> [4] - 7:20, 32:5,	<b>535</b> [2] - 3:12, 3:19	29:12, 29:15	answered [2] - 13:7,	13:6, 88:8
14:15, 57:7	<b>567-6170</b> [1] - 3:6	account[1] - 53:17	18:21	attorneys [1] - 5:16
<b>2001</b> [2] - 57:3, 57:10	<b>578</b> [2] - 56:13, 57:6	accountant [4] -	answers [6] - 37:8,	August[1] - 50:13
2004[1] - 50:13	<b>583</b> [1] - 57:6	15:7, 15:9, 15:12,	37:12, 43:5, 48:9,	authored [1] - 32:20
<b>2007</b> [12] - 11:16,		15:14	54:12, 54:24	authority [4] - 13:24,
12:16, 14:14, 14:18,	<b>588</b> [1] - 56:13	accounting [1] - 15:8	Anyway [1] - 62:14	14:4, 14:5, 51:3
15:1, 36:3, 36:18,	<b>5:40</b> [1] - 58:17	accounts [8] - 46:25,	anyway [3] - 17:13,	Authority [1] - 14:11
36:25, 37:5, 47:5,		47:24, 48:1, 48:3,	1 -	Avenue [4] - 1:20,
62:25, 67:1	6	48:4, 48:5, 48:7,	17:19, 25:2	
<b>2008</b> [10] - 1:23, 5:2,		53:18	Appeals [1] - 8:1	2:14, 3:4, 5:9
e-casses (100 = 1 2.3 () /	1	1 33,10	appear [2] - 6:22,	aware [14] - 11:19,
	6 m 40:44 36:35	1	1	
5:7, 11:17, 56:14, 5:7-5, 58:16, 73:16	<b>6</b> [5] - 10:11, 36:25,	acknowledged [1] -	78:16	20:19, 58:25, 61:10,

00046

57:5, 58:16, 73:16,

72:12, 72:14, 72:17

APPEARANCES [1] -

63:13, 63:17, 66:22,

67:2, 67:3, 67:4, 67:6, 69:1, 71:8, 71:13 В B-r-o-a-d-n-a-x [1] -30:13 background [1] -75:11 Bank [3] - 12:18, 23:2, 77:22 bank [5] - 23:9, 23:10, 23:11, 83:2, 83:4 bar [1] - 84:16 based [4] - 71:6, 86:15, 87:12, 87:25 Based [3] - 87:10, 88:7, 88:8 basis [3] - 17:22, 89:17 Bates [8] - 10:4, 10:5, 10:7, 11:4, 35:12, 35:22, 35:25 bear[1] - 59:22 bearing [1] - 87:20 Beatty [42] - 4:8, 6:10, 11:11, 12:3, 12:9, 12:17, 12:21, 12:23, 13:16, 13:17, 15:10, 15:16, 16:3. 16:11, 17:4, 18:25, 19:24, 22:9, 25:17, 36:15, 41:24, 43:16, 43:18, 45:23, 46:6, 46:18, 48:25, 49:19, 52:7, 52:8, 52:18, 52:23, 53:7, 53:16, 53:25, 54:3, 55:4, 59:24, 60:2, 62:18, 69:17, 85:17 Beatty's [4] - 42:3, 42:4, 46:7, 53:3 became [2] - 63:17, 67.6 become [1] - 41:8 behalf [20] - 2:10, 2:17, 2:25, 3:7, 3:15, 3:22, 4:8, 6:5, 6:12, 6:13, 12:21, 13:16, 16:25, 17:4, 45:2, 46:7, 52:5, 55:7, 65:2, 74:24 belief [17] - 22:5, 22:7, 86:3, 86:14, 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:22, 86:23, 87:5,

bet[1] - 90:23 better [2] - 38:12, 84:10 betting [2] - 33:4, Between [1] - 11:16 between [7] - 12:19, 44:7, 67:1, 69:16, 78:17, 88:6, 88:25 biggest [2] - 41:6, 76:15 Bill [3] - 26:20, 26:23, 61:1 binding [1] - 89:21 board [1] - 53:5 bond [4] - 74:8, 74:17, 74:18 bonds [1] - 74:13 bookkeeper[1] -15:14 **box** [3] - 14:23, 18:23, 33:12 brief [2] - 31:13, 79:6 briefly [1] - 5:16 Briefly [1] - 75:3 bring [1] - 30:22 bringing [2] - 27:3, 30:18 **Bringing** [1] - 30:22 broad [2] - 16:4, 19:5 broader[1] - 52:24 broadest [1] - 15:12 Broadnax [4] -30:11, 30:13, 51:19 BRODNAX [1] -51:19 brother [1] - 26:13 brought[4] - 27:4, 62:11, 77:2, 87:24 Brown [9] - 11:21, 20:20, 21:9, 61:4, 63:1, 66:25, 71:16, 73:6, 80:9 **Building** [1] - 23:3 business [10] - 7:19, 18:15, 40:10, 44:10, 44:13, 45:10, 48:13, 48:21, 49:2, 49:8 but. [1] - 46:4 Butzel [2] - 2:13, 54:15 **BY** [43] - 6:16, 8:18, 8:21, 13:18, 14:7, 17:5, 18:13, 25:13, 26:22, 27:17, 28:23, 30:24, 32:10, 32:14, 33:9, 36:2, 37:21, 54:25, 56:8, 56:11, 57:12, 58:11, 58:14, 60:25, 61:24, 69:3,

69:25, 70:17, 70:24,

71:5, 72:13, 72:19, 75:2, 81:12, 82:18, 83:12, 84:20, 85:12, 85:21, 86:13, 87:17, 88:11, 90:3

40:17 C Callahan [1] - 22:24 Callahan's [1] - 78:4 Canfield [3] - 13:23, 73:25, 74:1 capacity [2] - 14:25, 48:13, 49:2, 51:5, 15:15 care [2] - 12:11, 16:19 61:2, 63:1, 63:14, case [30] - 5:11, 8:15, 15:4, 16:7, 17:23, 17:25, 20:20, 21:9, 26:15, 26:19, 31:11, 31:15, 38:5, 42:7, 45:21, 52:9, 61:18, 63:2, 66:25, **CITY** [1] - 1:13 71:10, 71:17, 73:21, City's [1] - 65:2 74:5, 76:4, 76:13, 76:23, 78:14, 81:7, 23:3 88.22 Case [1] - 1:7 76:23 cases [3] - 73:6, 74:19, 75:9 casual [1] - 38:15 35:9, 46:16 cell[1] - 48:19 Center[2] - 4:5, 7:20 80:21 certain [10] - 16:16, 19:16, 21:5, 24:17, 27:5, 29:3, 51:11, 80:20 59:5, 59:11, 73:10 certainly [12] - 43:12, 45:13, 46:13, 49:3, 51:2 53:15, 54:22, 57:22, club [1] - 62:9 64:18, 66:17, 86:22, clue [1] - 22:4 87:15 Co [1] - 3:22 chain [1] - 44:8 chambers [5] - 64:4, 74:18 79:17, 79:22, 81:23, 82:11 3:22 **chance** [2] - 57:13, 72:20 13:23 change [2] - 60:12, Cohn [1] - 2:6 60:14 characterization [1]

49.19 **CIRCUIT**[1] - 1:2 Circuit [1] - 78:5 circuit [1] - 5:13 circumstances [1] -City [54] - 2:25, 3:7, 5:11, 6:6, 6:14, 11:22, 11:24, 13:19, 13:21, 13:22, 13:24, 16:2, 16:18, 18:2, 18:15, 19:2, 23:3, 32:5, 32:6, 44:7, 44:13, 48:1, 53:19, 55:5, 55:7, 55:22, 55:24, 56:15, 63:23, 64:25, 65:1, 65:16, 65:17, 66:2, 66:16, 67:7, 68:24, 70:7, 73:24, 74:13, 74:17, 75:19, 75:20, 88:16, 88:20, 88:23 City-County [1] civil [3] - 47:25, 75:9, claim [1] - 11:23 clear[4] - 25:8, 29:1, clearly [2] - 73:20, clergy [1] - 15:19 clerk [2] - 80:19, client [2] - 13:6, 88:8 clients [2] - 36:12, co [3] - 13:23, 73:25, Co-Counsel [1] co-counsel [1] -Colbert[1] - 90:13 collective [2] -35:21, 51:8 Colombo [1] - 1:8 Colombo's [1] -17:18 Comerica [6] -12:18, 14:23, 18:23, 23:2, 33:11, 77:22 comfortable [1] -

coming [3] - 77:7, 77:9, 78:25 commenced [1] -63:5 Commencing [1] communicate [1] -67:8 Communicate [1] -67:10 communicated [1] -66:21 communication [3] -27:14, 50:1, 65:24 communications [11] - 9:13, 17:7, 17:9, 17:10, 25:11, 32:7, 39:20, 53:7, 53:8, 55:24, 56:16 Communications [1] - 46:3 **companies** [1] - 39:8 company [5] - 9:9, 9:13, 39:7, 42:12, 76:18 complete [1] - 77:17 complicated [1] -53:12 comply [1] - 51:13 concern [11] - 38:20, 39:1, 39:10, 41:4, 41:6, 42:2, 45:16, 47:19, 76:15, 76:20, 77:4 concerned [5] - 41:2, 46:4, 48:13, 62:24, 78:22 concerns [4] - 39:14, 42:9, 44:12, 87:15 concluded [1] - 23:1 conclusion [4] -48:18, 49:17, 70:21, 78:23 conducted [2] -84:12, 84:13 confer [1] - 55:22 confidentiality [2] -69:12, 70:6 **confirms** [1] - 50:10 connection [11] -8:24, 14:17, 18:22, 26:9, 46:8, 52:4, 52:12, 55:3, 67:8, 73:5, 74:13 consideration [1] considered [1] - 3  $400046^{-1}$ 

87:9, 87:16, 87:21,

39:17, 39:22, 40:1

belonged [4] - 12:10,

88:12, 90:5

Christine [5] - 4:8,

6:10, 11:11, 48:25,

87:14

- 76:10

58:5

characterize [2] -

characterized [1] -

charges [6] - 26:12,

27:3, 27:4, 30:18,

75:13, 75:16

30:22, 30:23

considering [1] -

16:11, 18:2, 18:24,

consulted [7] - 16:1,

63:15

74:3, 74:5 contact [3] - 36:5, 67:7, 78:10 contacted [1] - 76:3 contacts [1] - 76:11 contained [3] - 9:2, 48:13, 48:17 container [1] - 31:1 contains [2] - 50:9, 57:24 content [3] - 17:7, 24:25, 44:20 context [4] - 59:17, 68:13, 69:14, 70:2 continues [1] - 60:8 contract[1] - 75:10 contractor [1] - 62:8 contractors [1] -62:12 conversation [19] -18:19, 24:24, 24:25, 25:10, 39:15, 39:16, 49:21, 56:2, 59:3, 59:13, 64:9, 64:13, 65:1, 65:5, 65:8, 66:8, 66:13, 78:17, 81:18 conversations [18] -16:21, 18:17, 37:24, 38:1, 38:8, 42:5, 47:21, 52:25, 65:3, 65:9, 65:23, 71:18, 77:8, 77:17, 88:6, 88:25 Cooney [1] - 3:11 Copeland [9] -68:14, 71:25, 72:1, 88:12, 88:14, 90:5, 90:7, 90:8, 90:10 copied [2] - 73:2, 73:3 copies [8] - 10:17, 41:12, 41:23, 42:19, 43:1, 43:17, 56:23, 73:4 **copy** [5] - 8:16, 9:25, 50:12, 73:1, 89:13 corporation [2] -45:20, 55:22 Corporation [1] - 1:5 Correct[1] - 40:25 correct[22] - 6:21, 9:10, 9:11, 10:8, 10:9, 21:2, 36:6, 36:12, 36:19, 36:21, 36:22, 39:24, 48:12, 51:17, 52:2, 61:5, 62:19, 64:8, 64:10, 70:7, 78:7, 78:9 correspondence [4] - 9:7, 9:9, 65:25,

Council [7] - 3:8, 6:6, 63:1, 63:14, 63:23, 68:24, 70:7 council [2] - 64:4, 73:25 counsel [14] - 7:9, 7:12, 13:23, 26:13, 45:20, 55:22, 67:12, 74:8, 74:16, 74:17, 74:18, 81:17 Counsel [2] - 3:7, 3:22 **COUNTY** [1] - 1:2 County [5] - 5:13, 6:23, 23:3, 78:2, 78:5 couple [2] - 61:2, 82:1 course [3] - 43:13, 63:13, 68:17 court[5] - 5:13, 5:15, 77:24, 77:25, 82:20 COURT [4] - 1:2, 16:24, 72:12, 72:17 Court [13] - 7:25, 38:17, 38:18, 47:16, 78:3, 78:5, 78:8, 78:24, 83:3, 84:15, 86:5, 86:6, 86:7 courtroom [2] - 78:4, 82:8 cover[1] - 61:2 **CPA**[3] - 15:5, 15:13 created [1] - 57:21 criminal [6] - 26:12, 75:14, 75:23, 76:5, 76:13, 76:25 **Criminal** [1] - 78:5 CRR [1] - 1:24 CSR-3500 [1] - 1:24 **custody** [1] - 61:16 customer [3] - 40:5, 44:21, 45:8 customer's [3] -41:20, 43:9, 45:9 cut [2] - 28:6, 28:17

# D

days [1] - 63:10

dealing [2] - 37:16

data [14] - 37:11, 37:15, 39:4, 39:17, 40:9, 40:15, 42:2, 42:22, 43:7, 44:1, 51:3, 52:22, 53:20, 55:21 destroy [10] - 41:9, date [6] - 22:20, 41:11, 41:15, 41:16, 36:3, 62:24, 62:25, 41:22, 42:18, 42:25, 63:7, 79:11 43:16, 46:11, 52:14 dated [3] - 36:25, destroyed [2] -56:14, 58:16 43:12, 45:14

dealings [1] - 65:15 December [8] -11:17, 12:16, 22:20, 22:21, 79:12, 79:14 decided [1] - 83:3 deduced [1] - 71:20 deemed [1] - 44:22 Defendant [3] - 1:14, 2:25, 3:7 defense [13] - 16:7, 17:22, 17:23, 18:4, 18:5, 18:11, 75:14, 75:23, 79:19, 81:17, 88:22, 89:4 defenses [1] - 15:4 degree [1] - 15:8 delete [2] - 40:4, 52:14 deleted [4] - 40:3, 40:6, 53:12, 59:10 deleting [1] - 55:20 deletion [1] - 45:19 deliberations [1] -28:2 deliberative [3] -16:8, 17:24 deliver[1] - 38:21 delivered [3] - 27:7, 28:20, 29:2 demonstrated [1] -84.5 **dep** [2] - 32:9, 35:15 Department [2] -66:2, 67:7 department [3] -44:9, 66:24, 74:6 deposed [1] - 54:10 deposit[2] - 14:23, 33:12 Deposition [2] -1:18, 11:3 **DEPOSITION** [5] -8:19, 32:11, 56:9, 58:12, 72:14 deposition [11] - 5:6, 9:2, 11:3, 54:5, 54:8, 54:17, 54:22, 77:7, 89:12, 89:25 describe [2] - 11:20, 80:16 described [3] -14:22, 16:10, 72:6 designation[1] -21:11

37:10, 37:23, 39:6, 39:9, 41:14, 42:21, 43:7, 43:8, 43:10, 43:13, 43:25, 45:5, 45:6, 45:7, 50:14, 50:25, 51:2 detail [1] - 11:20 determine [1] - 67:13 determined [1] -70:10 **DETROIT** [3] - 1:4, 1:9, 1:13 Detroit [40] - 1:21, 2:8, 2:10, 2:15, 2:17, 2:25, 3:5, 3:7, 3:13, 3:20, 5:1, 5:9, 5:10, 5:11, 6:6, 6:7, 11:22, 11:24, 12:18, 13:20, 13:22, 13:25, 14:9, 16:2, 30:1, 30:3, 32:6, 32:7, 44:7, 54:16, 62:25, 70:7, 70:18, 73:24, 74:13, 74:25, 83:13, 88:16, 88:20, 88:23 Detroit's [1] - 56:15 develop [1] - 81:7 device [2] - 19:19, 49:1 difference [2] -20:11, 87:12 difficult [2] - 52:19, 55:10 direct[1] - 77:20 directions [1] - 17:20 directive [3] - 32:6, 56:14, 56:15 directly [4] - 23:2, 86:6, 86:8, 87:18 disabled [1] - 44:22 disappeared [1] -23:14 disclosure [3] -69:13, 70:6, 77:18 discovery [2] -80:25, 81:1 discreet[1] - 42:13 discuss [4] - 54:8, 54:16, 83:24, 84:3 discussed [7] -16:18, 18:8, 18:14, 32:25, 44:19, 64:22, 84:1 discussing [2] -83:24, 87:25 discussion [9] -38:15, 40:8, 54:24, 55:10, 57:18, 57:20, 79:17, 82:19, 90:12 discussions [15] -

13:9, 13:11, 40:16,

44:9, 44:10, 44:13, 50:11, 51:17, 55:2, 58:2, 68:15, 68:23, 83:20, 88:1, 90:8 disingenuous [1] -69:1 disposition [2] -73:5, 73:12 distinction [1] -18:20 distraught[1] - 38:2 **Division** [1] - 78:6 document[19] -10:22, 32:23, 37:18, 39:6, 39:9, 43:8, 43:10, 45:5, 46:22, 50:25, 52:21, 57:14, 57:17, 57:19, 57:21, 57:23, 57:24, 69:21, 73:8 documents [67] -9:4, 9:5, 9:6, 10:20, 11:7, 11:21, 12:9, 12:14, 12:19, 12:21, 21:5, 21:12, 21:18, 21:21, 22:8, 22:9, 22:11, 22:12, 22:13, 22:19, 22:25, 23:15, 24:5, 24:12, 24:19, 24:22, 25:16, 26:3, 26:25, 27:6, 27:10, 28:21, 29:6, 29:7, 29:13, 29:22, 30:4, 30:25, 31:6, 33:10, 33:11, 35:13, 38:3, 39:4, 39:17, 41:9, 42:21, 47:10, 47:25, 52:22, 61:14, 61:17, 63:19, 66:24, 67:14, 67:15, 69:7, 69:24, 70:10, 76:20, 77:22, 80:25, 81:2, 90:6 done [10] - 7:5, 41:18, 43:22, 47:18, 55:14, 55:17, 77:2, 77:18, 79:5, 86:16 door[1] - 24:15 doubt [1] - 20:17 **DRAFT**[1] - 1:18 drafting [1] - 68:8 drastic [1] - 41:3 drifted [1] - 19:7 drop [1] - 29:16 dropped [4] - 29:7, 30:20, 31:3, 80:1 dropping [1] - 31:6 duly [1] - 5:20 during [2] - 68:17, 68:19 **duty** [1] - 58:5

00046

destruction [17] -

76:11

## E e-mail [7] - 20:15, 58:15, 58:23, 58:24, 66:19, 72:23, 73:1 e-mails [4] - 20:4. 20:5, 20:9, 59:9 early [1] - 45:25 easier [2] - 36:21, 42:16 East[2] - 2:22, 3:4 effect [1] - 77:21 effort[1] - 70:22 efforts [2] - 45:10, 52:12 eight[1] - 8:13 Either [1] - 29:11 either [20] - 11:23, 12:1, 12:3, 14:20, 16:2, 20:1, 20:7, 20:17, 20:18, 31:19, 32:25, 33:15, 42:18, 45:4, 49:2, 63:14, 63:16, 66:4, 79:12 elaborate [1] - 28:8 elected [1] - 40:22 electronic [8] - 32:7, 41:12, 41:23, 42:18, 42:25, 43:17, 56:15, 71:2 eliminated [1] -53:20 Elrick [5] - 80:4, 80:5, 82:2, 82:5, 82:10 embarrassed [1] -83:24 Embarrassing [1] -85:13 embarrassing [2] -83:23, 85:10 enclosure [2] - 47:1, 47:4 end [9] - 7:1, 46:14, 47:4, 60:17, 62:18, 62:20, 63:12, 67:1, 69:10 ended [1] - 76:3 engaged [3] - 21:1, 21:7, 61:7 enters [1] - 54:18 entirely [1] - 89:21 entitled [4] - 17:21, 18:1, 32:6, 47:16 envelope [5] - 29:9, 33:16, 35:1, 35:2, **envelopes** [1] - 31:1

established [1] -88:18 etcetera [6] - 37:17, 39:3, 55:19, 55:20, 84.16 evaluate [1] - 40:15 event[3] - 16:15, 58:6, 63:25 Ex [3] - 33:16, 34:16, 34:25 exact[1] - 29:7 exactly [2] - 12:24, **EXAMINATION** [7] -6:15, 60:24, 61:23, 75:1, 82:17, 83:11, 85:20 examination [1] -84:13 examined [1] - 5:22 excerpts [1] - 85:6 exchange [1] - 21:12 exchanged [4] -12:19, 63:19, 70:11, 70:12 Excuse [1] - 14:2 Exhibit [6] - 8:14, 11:4, 32:8, 35:11, 35:15, 35:20 **EXHIBIT** [5] - 8:19, 32:11, 56:9, 58:12, 72:14 exhibit [4] - 35:21, 51:8, 56:12, 72:10 experience [1] -81:16 explain [2] - 50:19, express [3] - 87:21, 88:12, 90:5 expressed [1] -87:15 extent [7] - 12:22,

## F

12:24, 12:25, 31:15,

39:18, 64:16, 64:24

extract[1] - 84:18

85:1, 85:15, 87:1, 87:4 forth [2] - 9:17, 15:4 face [1] - 55:17 forthright [1] - 64:11 fact [19] - 7:5, 20:19, foundation [5] -38:2, 38:4, 38:6, 69:20, 84:7, 85:2, 44:13, 47:24, 49:19, 85:14, 87:2 53:16, 54:9, 54:22, Fourth [1] - 2:22 61:6, 63:14, 66:22, fourth [2] - 46:24, 68:2, 79:10, 84:3, 47:1 87:21, 90:5 frame [2] - 18:10, fading [1] - 22:22 68:12 fair [3] - 48:14, Frank[1] - 78:3 76:10, 76:14 frankly [1] - 34:9 fairly [1] - 75:11

FREE [1] - 1:4 far [3] - 21:21, 52:7, 52:8 Free [10] - 2:10, 5:10, fashion [1] - 40:12 5:24, 6:2, 6:4, 35:24, February [1] - 73:16 66:10, 66:14, 66:23, Fed [3] - 33:16, 79:24 Freedom [1] - 66:23 34:16, 34:25 federal [1] - 47:18 FREEP [17] - 11:4, 35:12, 35:24, 36:4, fee [1] - 31:12 36:24, 37:7, 43:4, FEE[1] - 31:12 44:14, 46:17, 46:22, female [1] - 80:22 48:2, 48:5, 50:7, 51:8, few [2] - 62:15 56:13, 58:15 figure [2] - 47:8, 47:9 friend [1] - 62:7 figured [1] - 62:11 friends [1] - 49:11 filed [5] - 11:23, front [4] - 21:24, 33:21, 40:24, 66:15, 22:23, 33:2, 57:14 66:17 frustrated [1] - 65:14 files [5] - 41:12, full [2] - 7:15, 10:3 41:23, 42:19, 42:25, 43:17 G Finally [1] - 73:22 finances [1] - 22:10 fine [2] - 7:7, 58:1 gathering [1] - 55:13 firm [4] - 8:5, 8:6, 8:12, 30:10 74:2, 74:3 first[11] - 5:20, 14:25, 21:19, 35:19, 36:4, 50:9, 55:15,

GDRRA[3] - 14:6, general [6] - 18:23, 19:18, 41:25, 75:14, 75:16, 83:21 generally [1] - 11:20 generated [1] - 61:17 Gentlemen [1] -89:19 given [4] - 10:25, 38:3, 38:16, 86:10 goaling [1] - 81:19 God [1] - 26:13 God's [1] - 15:22 **GOODMAN** [34] -3:2, 6:5, 14:2, 14:5, 26:17, 27:25, 28:5, 28:8, 28:16, 37:3, 37:6, 57:7, 57:10, 61:22, 61:24, 69:3, 70:17, 70:24, 71:3, 71:5, 72:19, 74:22, 85:19, 85:21, 86:13, 87:6, 87:9, 87:11, 87:17, 88:7, 88:11, 88:19, 90:3, 90:16 Goodman [7] - 3:3, 6:5, 28:17, 61:21, 62:3, 71:8, 87:13 governmental [5] -37:10, 44:1, 44:3, 44:5, 49:25 great[1] - 38:10 Greater [1] - 14:9 Grinnan [1] - 2:21 Griswold [2] - 3:12, 3:19 grounds [1] - 11:8 quess [9] - 23:3,

36:22, 42:13, 59:9, 73.2, 73.7, 76:25, 77:3, 86:11 Н

hair [1] - 80:22

Hall [1] - 78:3 handed [4] - 23:15, 23:16, 24:22, 35:13 handle [1] - 40:11 handled [2] - 37:19, 39:1 handling [1] - 37:15 happy [1] - 10:16 hard [2] - 31:14, 71:19 Harris [4] - 11:23, 61:4, 66:25, 73:6 hear[3] - 14:2, 30:21, 37:3 heard [2] - 62:3, 79:1 held [2] - 5:12, 39:21 hello [1] - 59:14 helpful [1] - 88:3 herein [1] - 5:19 hesitated [1] - 28:18 Hirsch [1] - 6:9 HIRSCH [4] - 4:3, 6:9, 13:15, 17:3 hmm [4] - 37:1, 44:24, 50:16, 52:16 hold [2] - 27:25, 78:22 Hon [1] - 1:8 honest[1] - 22:3 Honigman [5] - 2:6, 5:24, 6:1, 6:3, 54:15 house [1] - 24:21 huge [1] - 76:19 hundred [1] - 20:4 Hurwitz [1] - 3:3 hypotheticals [1] -

# I

39:18

idea [4] - 29:6, 31:16, 47:22, 57:17 identification [1] -11:6 identified [1] - 43:4 identify [2] - 5:16, 35:22 **III** [3] - 1:19, 5:18, 8:4 imagine [1] - 67:25 immediate [2] - 41:4, 47:19

impression [1] - 70:8

improbable [1] -

00046

error[2] - 41:3,

76:19

62:15, 76:7, 78:23,

fish [1] - 36:20

fix [1] - 79:11

flap[1] - 22:2

Flint[1] - 75:19

Floor [1] - 1:20

**FOIA**[1] - 67:9

folder [1] - 23:18

follow [1] - 69:9

follows [2] - 5:22,

FOR[1] - 1:2

form [8] - 27:8,

27:13, 69:19, 84:8,

following [1] - 50:10

five [5] - 10:8, 39:5,

flag [2] - 47:24, 48:8

flagged [1] - 46:25

87:24

79:6

47:4

76:18 **IN** [1] - 1:2 inappropriate [1] -18:12 **INC** [2] - 1:4, 1:9 include [1] - 20:5 included [1] - 52:25 including [5] - 10:8, 35:12, 37:9, 43:6, 57:6 indicated [8] - 48:10, 49:25, 55:3, 62:16, 64:9, 68:6, 73:23, 83:15 indicating [1] - 66:20 individuals [2] -45:22, 51:6 Information [1] -66:23 information [13] -41:7, 44:7, 45:9, 45:18, 45:19, 48:14, 53:3, 53:4, 55:13, 60:1, 68:16, 70:22, 73:18 informed [1] - 66:14 initial [2] - 38:8, 66:11 **injury** [1] - 76:2 inquire [1] - 16:19 inquired [2] - 67:23, 68:4 inquiries [1] - 13:1 inquiry [2] - 46:12, 55:10 insisting [2] - 78:24, 79:5 instrument[1] -49:13 interactions [2] -55:2, 72:7 interested [4] -37:22, 61:11, 71:11, 73:23 interesting [2] -39:15, 66:15 interests [1] - 53:15 interim [1] - 47:9 interrupt [1] - 80:23 Intervening [1] -2:17 Intervenor [1] - 1:10 investigating [1] -55:20 invite [1] - 79:18 invited [2] - 62:8, 81:20 involved [25] - 11:25, 12:9, 13:23, 20:22, 28:14, 31:15, 44:13, 61:4, 61:10, 61:13,

64:19, 65:17, 67:16, 68:2, 68:7, 71:10, 73:24, 75:7, 75:8, 75:9, 75:10, 75:17, 76:1, 76:22 involvement[1] -**Involving** [1] - 36:10 issue [2] - 17:16, 76:24 issued [1] - 66:18 issues [5] - 18:3, 18:4, 19:2, 42:11, 87:20 items [4] - 11:2, 11:5, 17:17, 61:2 itself [2] - 53:19,

### J

64:1

Jackson [1] - 9:14 **JAMES** [2] - 2:12, 3:10 James [3] - 3:18, 6:7, 6:11 January [3] - 11:17, 58:16, 59:24 Jason [1] - 6:9 **JASON** [1] - 4:3 Jefferson [2] - 2:14, 3:4 Jewel [1] - 5:14 Jewell [1] - 4:12 Jim [1] - 83:13 John [5] - 56:2, 64:10, 64:15, 64:19, 65:20 Johnson [12] - 56:2, 64:10, 64:21, 65:2, 65:5, 65:10, 65:24, 66:8, 66:21, 72:4, 90:11, 90:12 join [1] - 62:8 joint [5] - 35:20, 51:24, 88:21, 88:22, 89:4 **jointly** [1] - 52:5 joke [1] - 54:10 Jr[1] - 1:8 Judge [3] - 17:17, 22:24, 78:4

judge [9] - 18:7,

79:5, 79:15, 80:5,

81:16, 82:3

80:21, 82:11

5:7

78:11, 78:17, 78:23,

judge's [6] - 17:20,

79:22, 79:23, 80:19,

July [3] - 1:23, 5:2,

June [4] - 32:5, 57:1, 57:3, 57:7 jurisdictions [1] -7:24 Justice [1] - 78:3

### K

keep [2] - 33:2, 70:22 keeping [2] - 17:19, 70:12 kids [1] - 49:11 Kilpatrick [3] - 3:15, 3:22, 6:12 kind [15] - 9:23, 38:15, 40:10, 45:24, 45:25, 49:7, 53:5, 54:10, 55:13, 65:14, 81:15, 81:24, 81:25,

knowing [1] - 9:23 knowledge [6] -33:1, 38:19, 64:2, 64:24, 84:17 Kwame [3] - 3:15, 3:22, 6:12

### L

L.L.P [1] - 2:6

label [1] - 56:18 lady [4] - 21:24, 23:7, 23:12, 82:2 language [3] - 46:17, 50:5, 85:4 **LARA**[1] - 2:5 Lara [1] - 6:1 large [1] - 76:18 last[5] - 13:10, 30:21, 51:7, 59:21, 73:22 late [1] - 11:16 **Law** [3] - 3:18, 66:2, 67:7 law [6] - 34:12, 47:18, 66:24, 74:5, 75:9, 90:2 lawsuit [2] - 11:23, 66:16 lawsuits [4] - 11:19, 11:25, 12:3, 61:5 lawyer [10] - 7:22, 15:24, 26:15, 26:18, 28:21, 30:1, 30:2, 36:19, 55:23, 62:11 lawyers [12] - 9:23, 12:20, 54:15, 68:2, 71:19, 71:23, 79:3, 79:18, 79:19, 79:20,

learn [1] - 84:24 learned [1] - 83:18 least[10] - 34:4, 36:3, 39:8, 40:23, 53:24, 54:3, 54:4, 84:4, 85:25, 86:9 leave [2] - 80:5, 82:2 led [2] - 24:18, 78:21 left[3] - 25:23, 82:9 legal [5] - 18:22, 21:1, 31:8, 44:9, 71:20 legality [1] - 24:20 legitimate [1] - 88:24 Leisa [1] - 1:24 lengthy [1] - 46:21 less [1] - 85:23 letter [15] - 35:19, 36:4, 36:18, 36:25, 37:5, 43:4, 43:23, 44:15, 44:19, 48:2, 50:9, 50:10, 51:22, 52:1, 52:4 letters [4] - 9:15. 9:17, 9:24, 37:25 Letters [1] - 9:17 liability [1] - 75:7 licensed [1] - 86:16 lied [1] - 84:6 LIEDEL [8] - 2:20, 6:13, 56:20, 56:24, 57:1, 60:25, 61:20, 72:16 Liedel [5] - 2:21, 6:13, 61:1, 66:4 light[1] - 38:4 limit[1] - 76:1 limited [2] - 6:20, 54:1 line [2] - 69:10, 81:5 list[1] - 46:25 listed [2] - 48:2, 48:5 litigation [3] - 68:3, 74:9, 75:10 **lobby** [1] - 23:12 located [2] - 5:9, 9:13 look [9] - 8:22, 36:24, 44:14, 56:13,

57:13, 58:19, 72:11,

looked [2] - 29:8,

looking [6] - 10:22,

43:12, 45:14, 46:13,

Lord [2] - 8:5, 8:7

loss [1] - 79:4

72:20, 74:10

47:11, 81:25

46:22

leading [1] - 10:8

leads [2] - 80:24,

81:1

lost [1] - 42:8 LTD[1] - 51:20

### M

M.L[1] - 80:4 mail [7] - 20:15, 58:15, 58:23, 58:24, 66:19, 72:23, 73:1 mails [4] - 20:4, 20:5, 20:9, 59:9 maintain [1] - 45:10 maintained [2] -40:9, 40:15 manner [2] - 36:20, 79:5 Manoogian [1] - 24:7 Mansion [1] - 24:7 mark [3] - 8:14, 32:8, MARKED [5] - 8:18, 32:10, 56:8, 58:11, 72:13 marked [1] - 72:10 marks [1] - 60:17 Mariene [2] - 4:11, Marsden [1] - 50:13 matter [8] - 5:10, 5:12, 6:22, 7:13, 48:1, 74:2, 77:15, 78:11 matters [11] - 16:16, 16:17, 16:18, 16:19, 37:9, 43:6, 44:10, 44:11, 54:20, 65:24, 74:17 **MAYER**[1] - 4:2 mayor [51] - 12:18, 12:21, 13:4, 13:11, 13:13, 14:12, 14:17, 14:18, 14:20, 14:21, 14:24, 15:10, 15:16, 16:3, 16:10, 17:1, 18:24, 19:13, 24:1, 24:2, 24:6, 24:12, 26:4, 36:15, 41:13,

49:19, 52:4, 52:5, 52:18, 53:1, 53:15, 53:24, 54:3, 54:21, 000467 55:4, 59:23, 60:6, 60:8, 62:17, 63:9, 69:16, 84:5, 85:16, 88:9, 88:15

71:24, 87:20, 89:8 McCargo's [1] -89:24

mayors [1] - 75:20

McCargo [7] - 23:6,

23:13, 68:14, 71:23,

42:20, 45:2, 45:22,

46:6, 46:19, 48:25,

07/09/2008 03:01:11 PM

88:6, 88:25

mean [28] - 8:3, 15:12, 15:24, 16:6, 16:20, 20:1, 20:3, 21:7, 22:13, 26:5, 28:17, 34:11, 38:22, 42:1, 49:6, 56:22, 59:17, 63:25, 67:3, 67:10, 69:16, 71:8, 71:23, 73:20, 75:5, 75:17, 81:22, 86:4 meant[1] - 50:19 meet [5] - 54:7, 54:14, 62:4, 62:14, 83:1 meeting [1] - 31:10 member [1] - 15:18 memo [1] - 47:5 memorandum [1] -32:5 memory's [1] - 22:21 memos [1] - 65:25 merely [1] - 57:4 message [4] - 19:13, 33:17, 49:15, 80:17 messages [39] -19:23, 20:10, 22:11, 22:13, 22:14, 33:17, 34:16, 34:20, 34:21, 34:22, 34:24, 34:25, 40:12, 41:12, 41:24, 42:19, 42:20, 48:12, 48:21, 49:1, 49:12, 49:18, 49:20, 52:15, 52:17, 53:3, 53:21, 53:24, 69:14, 69:15, 69:16, 84:5, 84:12, 85:6, 85:11, 86:1 messaging [2] -19:19, 49:1 met [8] - 23:1, 23:4, 23:10, 23:14, 54:9, 54:20, 62:2, 72:9 methods [5] - 37:10, 37:22, 43:7, 43:25, 55:20 MICHAEL [1] - 3:17 Michael [2] - 6:11, 80:10 MICHIGAN [1] - 1:1 Michigan [13] - 1:5, 1:21, 2:8, 2:15, 2:23, 3:5, 3:13, 3:20, 4:6, 5:1, 5:9, 7:20, 7:22 microphone [2] -70:23, 71:1 might[8] - 12:11, 13:5, 13:12, 22:16, 34:7, 59:21, 64:19, 71.4

Miller [7] - 2:6, 5:24, 6:2, 6:3, 13:23, 73:25, milling [1] - 81:24 mind [2] - 34:4, 71:20 minute [1] - 27:25 minutes [4] - 25:20, 26:1, 79:7, 82:1 missed [1] - 10:16 missing [1] - 18:20 Mississippi [1] mistake [2] - 41:3, 41:5 MITCHELL [2] - 1:19, Mitchell [22] - 5:7, 6:17, 7:15, 8:4, 8:5, 8:9, 8:11, 11:2, 11:3, 13:11, 13:17, 13:19, 18:14, 33:10, 33:16, 35:25, 61:1, 61:25, 75:3, 83:13, 85:22, 90:24 MOFFIT[1] - 30:12 Moffitt[17] - 26:20, 26:23, 28:21, 29:16, 29:17, 29:19, 29:20, 30:1, 30:11, 51:18, 52:1, 52:3, 52:6, 52:9, 73:4 MOFFITT[1] - 51:19 Moffitt's [1] - 30:10 moment[2] - 34:4, 38:10 month [1] - 63:12 months [1] - 12:7 Morganroth [5] - 4:4, 6:9, 6:10, 54:18 MORGANROTH [9] -4:2, 69:19, 84:7, 85:1, 85:14, 87:1, 88:10, 88:16, 90:1 morning [4] - 6:17, 6:18, 61:25, 62:1 most [4] - 37:9, 39:8, 43:3, 43:6 motion [13] - 31:13, 49:23, 50:4, 77:2, 83:16, 84:4, 84:18, 84:25, 85:4, 85:5, 85:6, 85:7, 85:8 motions [3] - 73:6, 73:12, 73:15 MR [162] - 5:23, 6:5, 6:7, 6:9, 6:11, 6:13, 6:16, 8:21, 13:3,

17:3, 17:5, 17:8, 17:12, 17:15, 17:21, 18:6, 18:9, 18:10, 18:13, 25:7, 25:13, 26:17, 26:22, 27:8, 27:13, 27:17, 27:23, 27:25, 28:1, 28:5, 28:7, 28:8, 28:16, 28:23, 30:20, 30:24, 32:14, 33:4, 33:6, 33:7, 33:9, 35:18, 35:21, 35:23, 35:24, 36:2, 37:3, 37:4, 37:6, 37:7, 37:21, 54:25, 56:7, 56:11, 56:17, 56:19, 56:20, 56:22, 56:24, 56:25, 57:1, 57:2, 57:7, 57:8, 57:10, 57:11, 57:12, 58:14, 60:11, 60:14, 60:25, 61:20, 61:22, 61:24, 68:11, 69:3, 69:19, 69:25, 70:14, 70:17, 70:24, 71:1, 71:3, 71:5, 72:16, 72:19, 74:22, 74:24, 75:2, 80:23, 81:1, 81:4, 81:8, 81:9, 81:10, 81:11, 81:12, 82:13, 82:15, 82:18, 83:6, 83:8, 83:9, 83:12, 84:7, 84:20, 85:1, 85:12, 85:14, 85:18, 85:19, 85:21, 86:13, 87:1, 87:3, 87:6, 87:8, 87:9, 87:10, 87:11, 87:17, 88:5, 88:7, 88:8, 88:10, 88:11, 88:14, 88:16, 88:17, 88:19, 88:21, 89:1, 89:2, 89:3, 89:8, 89:10, 89:11, 89:13, 89:15, 89:16, 89:18, 89:20, 89:22, 89:24, 90:1, 90:3, 90:14, 90:16, 90:17, 90:19, 90:20, 90:22, 90:23 **MS** [2] - 6:1, 6:3 Mullins [7] - 37:25, 39:9, 40:19, 47:21, 66:14, 66:19, 76:12

### N

name [6] - 7:15, 8:5,

21:25, 26:14, 26:20, 30:10 name's [1] - 61:1 names [1] - 80:3 narrow [1] - 12:5 natural [1] - 49:7 naturally [1] - 63:23 nature [8] - 19:6, 48:22, 50:1, 51:1, 66:12, 83:21, 85:11 Naughton [1] - 6:12 NAUGHTON [1] nay [1] - 74:17 near [3] - 62:20, 69:10, 74:6 nearly [1] - 75:6 necessarily [1] -25:6 necessary [1] - 79:4 need [3] - 11:20, 64:15, 65:19 needed [3] - 38:11, 40:10, 40:14 negotiation [2] -20:22, 61:13 Neithrop [7] - 20:20, 61:4, 63:2, 66:25, 71:17, 73:6, 80:9 Nelthrope [1] - 11:22 Never [1] - 31:20 never [26] - 22:3, 23:23, 23:24, 29:8, 31:18, 31:19, 31:22, 32:23, 33:4, 33:7, 34:18, 57:14, 57:17, 57:18, 57:20, 57:23, 59:3, 59:6, 70:5, 83:17, 83:20, 83:25, 85:7, 86:1 **NEWS** [1] - 1:9 News [5] - 2:18, 6:8, 54:16, 74:25, 83:13 newspapers [1] -41:8 next [7] - 24:4, 28:5, 39:3, 80:6, 81:23, 82:3, 82:4 **nice** [1] - 62:4 nobody [1] - 85:7 none [1] - 84:16 nonetheless [1] -9:25 noted [2] - 48:7, 81:10

nothing [5] - 5:21,

32:23, 61:20, 64:24,

82:13 notice [2] - 38:5, 42:10 noticed [2] - 10:4, 51:21 notify [4] - 47:24, 58:6, 59:1, 84:15 November [5] -36:18, 36:25, 37:4, 44:14, 47:5 Number [1] - 61:3 number [7] - 35:22, 35:25, 37:19, 56:7, 56:17, 72:16 **NUMBER** [5] - 8:19, 32:11, 56:9, 58:12, 72:14

#### 0

Oak [1] - 2:23 Oakland [1] - 6:23 oath [2] - 34:23, 84:6 object [5] - 16:23, 17:3, 17:11, 87:4, 88:6 objected [1] - 16:24 objecting [1] - 16:25 objection [9] - 13:16, 25:8, 27:15, 28:9, 28:11, 79:20, 81:8, 81:21, 90:14 Objection [10] - 27:8, 27:23, 68:11, 69:19, 70:14, 81:10, 84:7, 85:1, 85:14, 87:1 objections [1] -28:16 **obligation** [3] - 58:5, 58:25, 84:15 observe [2] - 78:10, **observed** [1] - 82:19 obtain [4] - 50:13, 50:20, 50:21, 50:22 obviously [1] - 16:21 occurred [4] - 64:7, 78:19, 79:8, 83:1 October [8] - 11:16, 15:1, 59:24, 62:18, 62:20, 62:25, 67:1 **OF** [3] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:13 off-the-record [1] -78:17 office [9] - 12:20, 33:20, 34:12, 35:6, 55:23, 59:17, 66:9, 77:8, 77:13

officer [1] - 86:7

Offices [1] - 3:18

officials [1] - 44:8

00046

mumblings [1] - 79:2

municipal [1] - 75:18

municipalities [1] -

Murphy [1] - 78:3

must[2] - 24:16,

75:18

44:22

13:14, 13:15, 13:18,

14:2, 14:5, 14:7,

16:23, 16:25, 17:2,

Military [1] - 7:25

military [1] - 75:8

old [3] - 39:5, 39:6, once [1] - 72:9 one [30] - 6:19, 11:21, 12:1, 21:23, 23:15, 23:16, 29:1, 30:14, 32:1, 38:2, 39:8, 44:12, 59:10, 61:3, 63:16, 64:14, 65:5, 65:7, 65:8, 65:18, 66:9, 74:5, 78:20, 78:23, 79:24, 80:18, 83:8, 84:1, 89:14 one's [2] - 32:25, 56:14 ones [1] - 48:7 open [3] - 23:21, 23:22, 24:15 opened [3] - 22:3, 23:23, 23:24 operated [1] - 88:1 operations [1] - 16:2 opinion [1] - 41:2 opportunity [1] -77:3 oral [3] - 89:6, 89:7, 89:18 order[7] - 17:18, 38:5, 40:13, 47:3, 49:24, 50:3, 50:12 orders [10] - 38:6, 38:17, 38:23, 47:16, 55:18, 68:16, 71:14, 86:5, 86:8, 86:10 originally [1] - 50:12 Osamuede [1] -90:13 Osumwade [1] -72:2 otherwise [5] - 9:8, 11:8, 44:22, 52:15, 61:7 ought [2] - 89:5, 89:6 outside [1] - 9:13 owe [2] - 36:20, 55:9 own [5] - 40:9, 45:5, 45:10, 70:10, 71:20

### P

P.C [6] - 2:13, 2:21, 3:3, 3:11, 3:18, 8:4 P.L.L.C [1] - 4:4 p.m [1] - 58:17 package [1] - 34:16 packet[1] - 36:4 page [6] - 10:10, 35:22, 51:7 pager[1] - 39:19 paper[5] - 41:23,

42:19, 43:17, 76:21, 43:23, 46:17, 46:24, 47:1, 50:9 Paragraph [1] -44:19 paraphrasing [1] -48:10 Pardon [1] - 33:6 part [9] - 30:21, 45:16, 53:9, 64:18, 69:2, 71:18, 80:21, 90:12 participate [1] -79:20 particular [4] -24:18, 26:5, 47:4, 65:11 particularly [4] -37:9, 39:20, 43:6, 62:24 parties [10] - 23:1, 23:4, 69:12, 76:3, 78:11, 78:18, 78:25, 79:3, 79:15 partner [1] - 80:3 pass [2] - 60:11, 83:9 past[1] - 55:19 Pastor[1] - 1:24 pause [2] - 38:10, peculiar [1] - 15:3 pending [2] - 66:22, 76:4 Pennsylvania [1] -7:25 people [10] - 42:6, 49:4, 49:8, 53:1, 54:9, 54:20, 61:8, 66:9, 83:23, 87:14 percent [1] - 19:15 perhaps [6] - 15:23, 49:22, 59:13, 63:8,

papers [2] - 73:4,

paragraph [6] - 37:2,

83:23, 84:10 period [5] - 11:16, 60:7, 68:19, 68:20, perjury [1] - 84:14 person [1] - 21:11 personal [8] - 14:13, 14:24, 19:6, 44:11, 48:14, 48:22, 49:2, 76:2 personally [3] -14:21, 34:12, 64:21 persons [1] - 69:14 pertain [1] - 12:3 Philip [1] - 22:1

PHILLIP [2] - 2:5, 6:1 Phillip [1] - 6:1 Phillips [1] - 22:2 phone [3] - 6:19, 48:19, 49:3 phones [5] - 45:23, 49:8, 51:5, 53:18, 55:12 phrase [2] - 50:19, 55:1 pick [5] - 27:6, 27:20, 29:2, 29:12, 29:16 picked [6] - 27:11, 28:24, 29:3, 29:4, 29:6, 30:25 piles [1] - 42:25 pin [1] - 65:19 place [1] - 38:14 placed [6] - 6:19, 22:23, 63:20, 69:23, 79:9, 79:15 Plaintiff [4] - 1:6, 1:10, 2:10, 2:17 plaintiff's [1] - 80:14 plaintiffs [5] - 79:16, 79:22, 80:8, 80:15, 81:19 pleasure [2] - 61:22, 62:14 Plunkett [1] - 3:11 point[14] - 13:3, 20:25, 36:3, 40:18, 46:25, 47:14, 51:17, 63:17, 64:10, 65:12, 73:10, 75:4, 85:8 policies [2] - 37:14, policy [8] - 37:18, 39:7, 39:10, 41:14, 41:19, 43:9, 45:6, 50:25 position [2] - 40:1, 69:23 possessed [4] -31:19, 31:20, 31:22, 34:5 possession [1] -9:15

prepare [1] - 61:12 prepared [1] - 57:16 prepping [1] - 54:11 presence [1] - 24:13 PRESENT[1] - 4:10 present[4] - 64:4, 74:14, 90:9, 90:15 press [1] - 78:22 PRESS [1] - 1:4 Press [10] - 2:10, 5:10, 5:25, 6:2, 6:4, 35:24, 66:10, 66:14, 66:23, 79:24 presume [1] - 13:16 presumed [2] -48:24, 86:15 pretty [1] - 48:23 prevailed [1] - 47:10 previous [1] - 57:24 previously [3] -14:22, 35:13, 44:20 printed [1] - 39:21 privacy [2] - 45:9, 53:15 private [2] - 70:13, 70:22 privilege [8] - 11:9, 13:6, 13:12, 16:8, 16:14, 17:16, 17:24, privileged [9] - 9:8, 9:20, 17:10, 18:18, 19:11, 25:12, 27:14, 50:15, 73:17 privileges [1] - 13:5 procedure [1] - 51:2 procedures [3] -37:14, 39:2, 55:19 process [16] - 17:24, 28:2, 35:6, 39:2, 42:24, 43:21, 45:4, 46:13, 47:11, 47:12, 47:17, 50:23, 52:13, 60:4, 64:19, 70:10 produce [1] - 9:21 produced [6] -36:10, 38:3, 42:4, 52:23, 55:16, 89:5 producing [2] - 9:19, 41:3 product[7] - 27:23, 28:4, 28:14, 28:20, 75:7, 88:10, 90:2 production [2] - 9:3, 37:16 promulgated [2] -32:18, 57:16 property [1] - 44:21

proposed[1] - 39:18

prosecutor [1] -

54:5, 54:11

84:16 prosecutor's [2] -77:8, 77:13 protecting [1] -53:14 protective [9] - 38:5, 38:6, 38:23, 49:24, 50:3, 50:12, 55:18, 68:16, 71:14 provide [4] - 21:1, 47:23, 50:11, 51:10 provided [1] - 47:15 providing [2] - 18:21, 46:24 **public** [1] - 15:13 **purports** [1] - 58:15 purpose [4] - 31:5, 36:6, 70:12, 73:15 purposes [4] - 11:6, 20:8, 40:10, 49:8 put[12] - 15:4, 33:21, 36:1, 36:22, 42:15, 65:19, 78:23, 84:18, 84:24, 85:3, 85:4 **putting** [1] - 78:25 Q

question's [1] - 16:4 questioning [2] -20:8, 69:10 questions [19] -15:2, 32:2, 32:3, 35:17, 37:8, 37:11, 42:13, 43:5, 62:15, 69:11, 74:24, 77:15, 81:2, 81:6, 83:7, 85:19, 85:23, 85:24, 90:18 quick [2] - 8:22, 72:11

#### R

raise [2] - 13:15,

28:11 ran [1] - 62:9 randomly [1] - 40:13 RE[1] - 85:20 **RE-EXAMINATION** [1] - 85:20 read [5] - 43:23, 49:14, 49:23, 50:4 really [37] - 12:14, 20:16, 21:14, 22:3, 22:15, 27:1, 28:25, 33:25, 38:15, 40:18, 41:2, 41:21, 43:3, 46:4, 53:1, 53:13,

53:18, 55:12, 61:10,

000469

possibility [3] - 45:7,

possible [1] - 65:7

potential [1] - 76:12

**practice** [12] - 7:22,

8:2, 75:3, 75:5, 75:7,

75:11, 75:13, 75:14,

75:15, 75:16, 75:21,

practicing [1] - 75:6

prep[1] - 54:24

preparation [2] -

75:23

possibly [1] - 9:7

65:22, 76:8

63:4, 63:6, 64:11, 65:17, 67:23, 69:6, 69:9, 71:8, 71:11, 72:8, 73:22, 76:19, 77:4, 83:4, 86:1, 86:7, 86:21, 87:13 reason [8] - 22:4, 22:7, 40:22, 41:6. 44:6, 78:20, 87:3 reasonably [1] - 22:4 reasons [3] - 31:8, 45:11. 57:21 receipt[1] - 21:18 receive [12] - 8:24, 9:3, 12:19, 20:3, 21:11, 21:21, 22:25, 26:8, 26:9, 33:10, 49:12, 73:9 received [15] - 9:18, 12:20, 19:13, 20:17, 21:11, 21:23, 22:19, 26:12, 33:17, 35:1, 49:1, 49:18, 66:18, 73:4, 77:21 receiving [7] - 19:23, 19:25, 20:7, 34:9, 69:24, 72:24, 73:1 Recess [1] - 60:19 recipient [2] - 12:9, 21:5 recollection [7] -26:2, 33:14, 33:24, 35:9, 35:10, 63:7, 81:14 record [21] - 5:6, 5:17, 10:24, 10:25, 11:2, 13:4, 22:23, 25:9, 51:18, 60:16. 60:20, 60:22, 63:21, 78:12, 78:17, 79:1, 79:15, 89:25, 90:1, 90:20, 90:22 record's [1] - 46:16 Recorder's [2] -78:3, 78:8 records [17] - 10:23, 21:16, 36:10, 38:17, 41:4, 41:15, 42:3, 42:4, 46:12, 47:15, 50:15, 53:11, 64:17, 66:10, 71:12, 76:17, 77:5 **Recovery** [1] - 14:9 red [4] - 21:23, 23:17, 23:20, 31:1 refer[1] - 83:16 reference [2] - 51:18, 77:20 referenced [2] -51:22, 89:6 referencing [2] -

12:15, 48:4 referred [2] - 11:3, 52:10 refresh [1] - 33:14 regard [10] - 37:14, 37:15, 43:15, 49:24, 61:12, 64:16, 64:17, 64:25, 67:10, 71:16 regarding [8] -16:16, 37:8, 41:24, 43:5, 46:19, 54:20, 66:24, 69:14 regards [1] - 39:13 relate [1] - 15:3 related [2] - 13:24, 76:12 relates [6] - 13:6, 13:7, 13:13, 17:16, 60:2, 81:3 relating [11] - 22:9, 22:11, 22:12, 22:13, 31:9, 48:1, 52:23, 53:4, 54:21, 58:6, 77:15 relation [1] - 53:16 released [1] - 21:16 relevance [1] - 68:11 relevant [1] - 9:8 rely [2] - 89:23, 89:24 remain [3] - 40:4, 42:12, 82:8 remained [1] - 82:7 remember [23] -17:6, 21:25, 22:19, 23:5, 23:7, 23:13, 24:5, 24:20, 26:20, 28:24, 28:25, 30:16, 34:9, 61:6, 61:8, 64:22, 68:13, 69:8, 72:22, 72:23, 72:24, 72:25 repetitive [1] - 59:22 reporter [1] - 5:15 REPORTER [8] -8:18, 16:24, 32:10, 56:8, 58:11, 72:12, 72:13, 72:17 reporters [1] - 79:24 represent [2] -14:21, 74:16 representation [18] -77:4 11:18, 12:2, 12:6, 12:8, 12:22, 13:8, 13:24, 14:20, 14:25, 16:9, 21:14, 54:21,

14:24, 28:13, 59:23, 73:23, 75:19, 88:20 representing [12] -13:22, 52:3, 55:4, 55:5, 55:23, 61:1, 74:12, 75:18, 76:4, 88:9, 88:14, 88:23 represents [2] -7:12, 54:16 Request [1] - 66:23 request [19] - 9:3, 12:17, 40:6, 41:20, 42:2, 43:10, 44:23, 45:8, 45:15, 45:19, 45:24, 46:7, 46:11, 46:15, 51:2, 52:22, 53:11, 64:17, 67:9 requested [3] -27:20, 53:2, 86:8 requests [12] -10:22, 37:15, 37:16, 37:19, 44:25, 47:25, 50:14, 51:4, 52:21, 56:4, 65:16, 68:24 requirements [2] -37:9, 43:6 **Resource** [1] - 14:9 respect[11] - 10:23, 12:25, 14:22, 16:1, 16:10, 41:19, 42:1, 42:11, 47:10, 59:25 respond [2] - 59:6, 59:12 responded [2] -52:21, 61:3 responding [1] -45:17 response [3] - 39:14, 85:22, 85:24 responsive [1] -10:21 rest[1] - 32:3 result [6] - 13:9, 13:10, 17:17, 28:3, 37:24, 69:23 retain [1] - 42:19 retained [8] - 36:5, 36:7, 41:11, 41:12, 41:23, 42:25, 43:17, 52:6 retention [1] - 37:18 review [2] - 73:11, Richard [2] - 5:24, 35:18 **RICHARD** [1] - 2:4 rid [1] - 52:15 **rights** [2] - 13:5, 47:9 **RING** [1] - 6:3 Ring [2] - 4:11, 6:3 robe [1] - 21:23

Robert [1] - 1:8
role [1] - 14:18
room [6] - 24:18,
24:20, 24:21, 54:14,
54:18, 54:20
rope [3] - 23:17,
23:20, 31:1
ROUGH [1] - 1:18
Royal [1] - 2:23
RPR [1] - 1:24
rumblings [3] 26:12, 30:22, 79:1

safeguards [1] -

38:14 safety [2] - 14:23, 33:12 **Sam** [1] - 23:6 satisfied [1] - 47:14 saw [3] - 34:18, 83:25. 85:7 Schaefer [4] - 79:25, 80:1, 80:2, 82:10 Schwartz [1] - 2:6 second [3] - 37:2, 50:9, 80:24 seconds [3] - 5:8, 60:18, 60:23 secretary [1] - 80:20 securing [1] - 73:15 see [15] - 10:2, 10:11, 24:8, 24:23, 33:14, 43:12, 44:3, 46:25, 47:3, 50:17, 59:10, 69:21, 82:4, 82:10 See [1] - 20:11 seeing [4] - 33:19, 33:22, 59:7, 61:9 seeking [4] - 37:8, 37:11, 43:5, 43:20 seem [1] - 15:3 send [5] - 9:4, 33:20, 48:20, 48:21, 49:11 sending [3] - 19:23, 19:25, 33:24 sense [4] - 12:5, 15:13, 32:2, 32:4 sensitive [5] - 37:11, 44:1, 50:1, 50:14 sent[13] - 9:16, 9:17, 19:13, 33:15, 34:3, 34:8, 34:23, 35:2, 35:7, 49:1, 49:18, 50:3, 50:12 sentence [1] - 50:10 separate [2] - 71:19, 81:7

served [3] - 8:15, 38:19, 86:10 services [2] - 18:22, 21:1 **set**[1] - 10:3 settle [1] - 71:10 settled [1] - 20:20 settlement [26] -12:4, 20:23, 20:25, 21:9, 22:23, 23:1, 61:14, 61:17, 63:1, 63:15, 63:16, 63:18, 63:20, 63:24, 66:24, 67:14, 67:16, 68:7, 68:21, 68:22, 78:14, 79:8, 79:14, 81:3, 83:1, 84:19 several [7] - 37:8, 43:5, 53:18, 54:9, 65:9, 68:15, 68:19 **Sherbow** [1] - 8:9 **SHERBOW** [1] - 8:11 shocked [1] - 81:15 show [4] - 11:21, 32:8, 56:12, 58:10 shown [2] - 68:10, sic [2] - 51:20, 57:3 side [1] - 5:23 signed [4] - 32:22, 51:24, 52:5, 79:2 silver [1] - 80:22 simply [1] - 48:7 single [2] - 90:7, 90.9 **sit** [1] - 34:7 situation [1] - 28:3 six [1] - 39:6 size [1] - 21:23 SkyTel [44] - 9:7, 9:10, 9:12, 9:13, 9:16, 13:1, 21:16, 33:18, 36:5, 36:10, 36:19, 36:25, 37:12, 38:18, 40:19, 42:9, 42:18, 43:16, 44:17, 44:25, 46:4, 47:14, 48:25, 51:10, 51:13, 51:16, 51:22, 52:13, 53:11, 53:22, 55:2, 55:5, 55:25, 56:4, 60:4, 65:3, 65:15, 66:10, 71:13, 76:11, 76:19, 77:6, 86:9

so-called [2] - 83:16,

someone [4] - 24:16,

Someone [1] - 31:24

27:18, 55:23, 79:23

**so..** [1] - 39:11

sole [1] - 31:5

84:18

000471

60:6, 60:8, 62:17,

represented [13] -

11:13, 12:25, 13:19,

13:21, 14:12, 14:17,

63:3, 63:13

28:13, 40:23, 77:9 someplace [1] stamped [4] - 10:4, subpoena [6] - 8:14, testified [5] - 5:22, 11:8, 66:10, 66:11, 34:23, 67:22, 85:22, today's [3] - 8:25, 10:7, 11:4, 35:12 stand [1] - 89:15 66:18, 68:17 87:6 54:5, 54:7 sometime [6] together [2] - 8:7, 12:16, 27:1, 30:17, standing [2] - 28:11, subpoenas [1] testify [2] - 5:20, 62:20, 63:8, 76:9 42:15 81:24 37:17 86:23 took [2] - 40:1, 79:6 Sometime [2] standpoint[1] subsequent[1] testimony [2] towards [3] - 45:14, 26:11, 76:9 78:16 62:17, 77:20 76:25 46:14 sometimes [1] start [1] - 38:14 subsequently [2] tether [1] - 71:2 49:11 70:4, 78:21 text [39] - 19:13, **Town** [2] - 4:5, 7:20 started [2] - 28:19, somewhat [1] - 38:1 62:18 substance [1] -19:17, 19:19, 19:23, tracked [1] - 46:18 72:25 20:9, 20:15, 22:11, transactional [1] somewhere [5] starting [1] - 47:17 22:12, 22:13, 22:14, 21:10, 23:14, 30:8, starts [1] - 60:22 sue [1] - 40:22 75:8 63:11, 76:21 suffice [1] - 28:20 33:17, 34:21, 34:24, transmissions [1] state [1] - 13:4 40:11, 41:12, 41:24, Somewhere [1] -44:21 **STATE** [1] - 1:1 suggested [1] -42:5, 42:19, 48:12, 46:2 statement [2] -38:11 transpired [1] -48:25, 49:1, 49:15, son [3] - 23:6, 23:13, 48:15, 76:14 suggesting [2] -23:11 49:18, 49:19, 52:15, travels [1] - 14:23 statements [1] -34:2, 40:5 52:17, 53:3, 53:21, sooner[1] - 71:3 suing [1] - 40:18 **Travis** [2] - 4:12, 85:11 53:24, 69:14, 69:15, sophisticated [1] -States [1] - 7:25 **suit**[1] - 40:24 5:13 73:7, 84:5, 84:12, Suite [6] - 2:7, 2:14, trial [3] - 61:7, 68:17, stayed [2] - 82:5 85:6, 85:11, 86:1 sorry [10] - 16:24, 3:12, 3:19, 4:5, 7:20 84:13 Stefani [45] - 12:20, texter [1] - 19:18 21:24, 26:17, 29:15, **summary** [2] - 73:5, **trip** [1] - 31:5 21:17, 23:5, 31:12, texts [1] - 20:5 30:14, 37:4, 57:11, 73:12 trips [1] - 55:24 33:11, 33:15, 33:16, THE [10] - 1:2, 8:18, 66:7, 74:15, 78:2 33:24, 34:10, 34:11, suppose [3] - 35:7, true [4] - 61:14, 14:4, 14:6, 32:10, sort [4] - 22:10, 34:23, 36:11, 37:20, 39:18, 53:6 61:15, 61:18, 61:19 56:8, 58:11, 72:13, 37:20, 83:5, 83:24 38:4, 38:16, 41:4, supposed [5] truth [4] - 5:20, 5:21, 90:25 19:14 **sorts** [1] - 75:9 42:3, 47:15, 55:17, 18:11, 22:5, 22:8, themselves [3] sought[1] - 41:14 58:4, 58:6, 58:9, 22:10, 34:15 **try** [5] - 7:1, 28:5, 5:16, 22:14, 80:15 36:7, 55:13, 81:6 sound [2] - 40:21, 58:15, 59:1, 59:3, supposedly [1] thereabout [1] - 20:4 59:13, 59:15, 71:11, 68:25 34:16 trying [22] - 16:8, thereof [1] - 12:4 72:6, 72:8, 76:17, 21:15, 37:13, 37:17, sounding [1] - 53:5 swear [1] - 5:15 thereupon [1] - 5:19 Southfield [2] - 4:6, 77:1, 79:21, 80:10, 37:18, 41:17, 43:3, sworn [1] - 5:20 thinking [1] - 81:25 80:12, 80:13, 83:16, system [9] - 32:7, 45:3, 47:8, 47:19, 84:4, 84:10, 84:11, **third** [3] - 7:16, 7:17, 49:14, 53:1, 53:14, special [1] - 47:23 38:13, 39:21, 40:7, 86:1, 86:6, 87:23, 53:18, 60:1, 60:4, specific [12] - 20:16, 40:8, 40:11, 40:15, **THOMAS** [49] - 3:10, 88:2, 90:6 21:14, 45:18, 45:22, 42:22, 56:16 61:6, 64:11, 65:17, 6:11, 13:3, 16:23, Stefani's [2] - 23:6, 68:15, 71:9 46:11, 46:15, 50:13, 16:25, 17:8, 17:15, 53:11, 53:20, 64:2, T turn [1] - 51:7 STEWART [8] - 2:12, 18:6, 18:10, 25:7, 65:1, 65:3 twenties [3] - 62:21, 27:8, 27:13, 27:23, 6:7, 74:24, 83:8, 62:22, 63:11 specifically [6] **t's** [1] - 30:13 28:1, 28:7, 30:20, 83:12, 84:20, 85:12, 43:11, 43:20, 70:18, twice [1] - 72:9 table [1] - 83:10 33:4, 33:7, 35:18, 85:18 73:1, 88:3, 90:10 two [14] - 11:19, tape [1] - 60:17 35:23, 56:17, 68:11, Stewart [5] - 6:7, 12:3, 30:13, 38:6, Specifically [1] tapes [2] - 60:13, 46:3, 54:15, 83:13, 70:14, 71:1, 75:2, 38:17, 42:13, 55:17, 21:6 60:15 81:1, 81:8, 81:10, 84:11 specifics [6] - 16:17, 56:20, 56:23, 79:21, team [2] - 28:22, 81:12, 82:13, 83:9, Stewart's [1] - 85:24 80:8, 80:15, 83:8, 16:20, 18:3, 21:6, 80:14 87:3, 87:8, 87:10, still [8] - 34:5, 39:23, 70:2, 84:2 86:5 **Technician** [1] - 4:12 88:5, 88:8, 88:14, 42:12, 52:9, 80:7, type [2] - 15:7, 48:14 speculation [1] -TECHNICIAN [5] -88:17, 88:21, 89:2, 80:8, 81:24, 82:5 27:2 5:5, 60:12, 60:16, 89:8, 89:11, 89:15, stood [1] - 23:12 U **spell** [2] - 8:10, 14:8 60:21, 70:23 89:18, 89:20, 89:24, Street [3] - 2:22, **spelled** [1] - 51:19 90:14, 90:19, 90:22 technician [1] - 5:14 3:12, 3:19 split[1] - 42:15 uncomfortable [1] **television** [1] - 61:9 Thomas [2] - 3:18, street[2] - 23:3, 83:4 spoken [1] - 58:9 87:19 Ten [1] - 25:20 strike [2] - 11:18, spouse [1] - 49:10 under [7] - 18:10, Thomas' [1] - 85:23 ten [2] - 26:1, 63:10 41:10 Sr[1] - 80:12 34:23, 40:16, 70:8, tend [1] - 79:13 thoughts [1] - 28:1 stuff [4] - 45:10, Stafani [2] - 80:8, 83:9, 84:6, 90:2 three [3] - 8:7, 12:7, tends [1] - 75:11 55:16, 68:21, 86:5 80:10 understood [9] - 000471 38:5 terms [5] - 54:11, style [1] - 8:2 **Stafani's** [1] - 80:7 38:7, 44:8, 45:18, throughout[1] -56:3, 63:25, 89:22 subject [2] - 41:8, staff[2] - 79:23, 48:24, 52:22, 53:21, 85:25 terrible [2] - 26:19, 53:8 80:21

**stamp** [1] - 10:5

80:2

subjects [1] - 13:2

today [4] - 6:20,

54:1, 83:22, 85:8

underwriter [2] -

74:8, 74:16 **United** [1] - 7:25 universe [1] - 40:5 Unless [2] - 47:3, 60:10 unless [1] - 18:4 **up** [20] - 7:1, 18:7, 20:14, 27:7, 27:11, 27:20, 28:24, 29:2, 29:3, 29:4, 29:7, 29:12, 29:16, 30:25, 42:15, 47:22, 54:23, 69:9, 76:4 useful [1] - 55:21 V varied [1] - 75:11 various [2] - 65:10, 75:9 vent[1] - 35:9

verify [1] - 22:6 versus [2] - 5:11, 11:22 Video [1] - 4:12 **VIDEO** [5] - 5:5, 60:12, 60:16, 60:21, 70:23 video [1] - 5:14 videotape [1] - 60:22 Videotaped [1] -1:18 videotaped [1] - 5:6 view [2] - 20:12, 28:4 violated [1] - 86:8 violation [2] - 47:16, 47:17 Virginia [4] - 30:2, 30:6, 30:9, 31:5 virtue [1] - 45:5 visit[1] - 33:12 voice [2] - 30:20, 80:1 volume [1] - 40:11

wait [1] - 90:20
waiting [1] - 24:21
waive [3] - 18:4,
18:5, 18:6
waived [1] - 13:13
waiving [1] - 13:5
walk [1] - 83:3
Warren [1] - 75:20
watching [1] - 61:9
Wayne [3] - 5:13,
78:2, 78:5
WAYNE [1] - 1:2

**vs**[1] - 1:12

Wednesday [3] -1:23, 5:2, 5:7 week [1] - 63:10 West[1] - 2:14 whatever's [1] -10:13 white [1] - 80:22 whole [6] - 5:21, 21:16, 39:16, 42:11, 68:17, 81:15 wife [1] - 64:21 Willard [1] - 50:13 WILLIAM [4] - 1:19, 2:20, 3:2, 5:18 William [5] - 5:6, 6:5, 6:13, 7:15, 8:4 withholding [1] -11:7 **WITNESS** [3] - 14:4, 14:6, 90:25 witness [4] - 5:16, 5:19, 28:12, 60:11 wmitchell@ mitchelliord.com [1] -58:16 woman [1] - 80:16 wondering [1] -81:16 Woodward [3] -1:20, 2:7, 5:9 word [4] - 34:21, 34:25, 44:3, 44:5 works [2] - 35:6, 40:8 Worthy [2] - 27:2, 30:21 Worthy's [1] - 30:17 writing [4] - 89:1, 89:4, 89:5, 89:9 written [2] - 42:5,

Υ

wrote [1] - 36:18

65:23

years [8] - 8:7, 8:13, 38:6, 39:5, 39:6, 74:4, 74:7, 75:6 young [3] - 21:24, 23:7, 23:12 yourself [3] - 25:23, 25:24, 30:6

Z

**zeros** [1] - 10:8 **Zuckerman** [7] -5:24, 13:3, 17:15, 53:13, 66:4, 77:21, 90:25

ZUCKERMAN [57] -2:4, 5:23, 6:16, 8:21, 13:14, 13:18, 14:7, 17:2, 17:5, 17:12, 17:21, 18:9, 18:13, 25:13, 26:22, 27:17, 28:23, 30:24, 32:14, 33:6, 33:9, 35:21, 35:24, 36:2, 37:4, 37:7, 37:21, 54:25, 56:7, 56:11, 56:19, 56:22, 56:25, 57:2, 57:8, 57:11, 57:12, 58:14, 60:11, 60:14, 69:25, 80:23, 81:4, 81:9, 81:11, 82:15, 82:18, 83:6, 89:1, 89:3, 89:10, 89:13, 89:16, 89:22, 90:17, 90:20, 90:23

000472